RESEARCH Open Access

Straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilization regulate soil quality, enzyme activities and maize crop productivity in dual maize cropping system

Li Yang^{1†}, Teng Yan Chen^{1†}, Zhong Yi Li^{2†}, Ihsan Muhammad¹, Yu Xin Chi¹ and Xun Bo Zhou^{1*}

Abstract

Background Straw incorporation serves as an effective strategy to enhance soil fertility and soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC), which in turn improves maize yield and agricultural sustainability. However, our understanding of nitrogen (N) fertilization and straw incorporation into soil microenvironment is still evolving. This study explored the impact of six N fertilization rates (N0, N100, N150, N200, N250, and N300) with and without straw incorporation on soil fertility, SMBC, enzyme activities, and maize yield.

Results Results showed that both straw management and N fertilization significantly affected soil organic carbon (SOC), total N, SMBC, soil enzyme activities, and maize yield. Specifically, the N250 treatment combined with straw incorporation significantly increased SOC, total N, and SMBC compared to lower fertilization rates. Additionally, enzyme activities such as urease, cellulase, sucrose, catalase, and acid phosphatase reached their peak during the V6 growth stage in the N200 treatment under for both straw management conditions. Compared to N250 and N300 treatments of traditional planting, the N200 treatment with residue incorporation significantly increased yield by 8.30 and 4.22%, respectively. All measured parameters, except for cellulase activity, were significantly higher in spring than in the autumn across both study years, with notable increases observed in 2021.

Conclusions These findings suggest that optimal levels of SOC, soil total N (STN), and SMBC, along with increased soil enzyme activities, is crucial for sustaining soil fertility and enhancing maize grain yield under straw incorporation and N200 treatments.

Keywords Straw management, Soil fertility, Soil enzyme, Nitrogen fertilization, Maize

† Li Yang, Teng Yan Chen and Zhong Yi Li contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence:

Xun Bo Zhou

xunbozhou@gmail.com

¹Guangxi Key Laboratory of Agro-environment and Agro-products Safety, Key Laboratory of Crop Cultivation and Physiology, College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China ² Agricultural Resources and Environmental Research Institute, Guangxi

Key Laboratory of Arable Land Conservation, Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning 530004, China

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it.The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.), a major food crop, has seen a signifincat increase grain yield, rising by 460% from 1961 to 2019. This growth is crucial for sustaining global food security and economic stability [[1,](#page-12-0) [2\]](#page-12-1). According to a United Nations estimate, this is anticipated to increase maize grain yield by 40% till 2050 [\[3](#page-12-2)]. Research has shown that straw management, including incorporation and mulching, wnhances soil fertility and crop productivity, while also mitigating environmental pollution by reducing N fertilization requirments [\[4](#page-12-3)[–6\]](#page-12-4). Such practices not only improve soil fertility but also increase SOC, other soil nutrients, soil structure, and overall crop production $[7-10]$ $[7-10]$ $[7-10]$. Furthermore, appropriate C/N ratio accelerated straw decomposition, releasing nutrients essential for crop growth, thus optimizing N fertilizer utilization $[11]$ $[11]$. X Meng, et al. $[12]$ $[12]$ reported that straw incorporation with N fertilization (150–225 kg ha $^{-1}$) dramatically improved plant N uptake and increased grain and biomass yield.

Nitrogen fertilization greatly increased soil nutrient availability, bosted SOC, and resulted in a higher soil carbon pool $[13]$ $[13]$. However, long-term excessive N fertilization leads to increased losses of N through ammonia volatilization [\[14](#page-13-2)], NO_3^- leaching [[15\]](#page-13-3), and N_2O emissions [[6\]](#page-12-4). Conversely, combining straw incorporation with N fertilization not only improves soil fertility and agriculture sustainability but also reduced environmental pollution $[6]$ $[6]$. The gradual release of nutrients from decomposing straw mitigates N losses, thereby enhancing soil quality and promoting sustainable crop productivity $[16]$ $[16]$. Research indicates that maize grain yield increases with N fertilization rate up to 200 kg N ha[−]¹ , but higher rates, such as 300 kg N ha[−]¹ , substantially decrease grain yield [[17,](#page-13-5) [18](#page-13-6)]. The yield reduction could stem from soil acidification, which accelerates the decomposition of soil organic and inorganic carbon and is particularly noted in southern China [\[19](#page-13-7), [20\]](#page-13-8). Such acidification adversely affects the growth of soil microorganisms and their contribution to SOC pool [\[21](#page-13-9)].

China, a leading producer of crop residues [\[22](#page-13-10)], generated 598 million tons of crop straw in 2017. Straw incorporation, a prevalent and benefical practice, enhances soil fertility, especially SOC, through decomposition and reduced reliance on chemical N fertilization [[23](#page-13-11), [24](#page-13-12)]. It also increased soil enzymatic activities, which are a pri-mary source and key indicator of soil quality [[16,](#page-13-4) [25](#page-13-13)]. Soil organic carbon is crucial in defining soil's physical, chemical, and biological soil properties [[26\]](#page-13-14), improving soil structure, nutrient availability, and soil microbial biomass and activity [\[27](#page-13-15)]. The faster decomposition of residues and SOC turnover mainly depends on the structure and activity of soil microbial biomass [[27,](#page-13-15) [28](#page-13-16)]. Straw incorporation, which facilitates close contact with soil, enhances soil microbial biomass and activity, making it highly responsive to changes in soil quality [\[28](#page-13-16)]. The response of SOC to N fertilization is greatly affected by environmental and agriculture management practices like crop residue incorporation, fertilizer application, and tillage management [\[29,](#page-13-17) [30](#page-13-18)]. Straw incorporation directly increases SOC, SMBC, and N thereby improving soil fertility [[31,](#page-13-19) [32](#page-13-20)] and mitigate the negative impacts of excessive chemical N fertilization [\[15,](#page-13-3) [33\]](#page-13-21). Moreover, it reduces evaporation, increase soil moisture content and water use efficiency, leading to enhanced plant growth and grain yield [\[28,](#page-13-16) [34](#page-13-22)]. Changes in soil enzymes or soil degradation affect soil fertility, maintaining environmental stability and soil quality [\[15](#page-13-3)].

Incorporating straw into soil notably enhances the interaction between straw and soil microbes [[31\]](#page-13-19), concurrently boosting and activating soil enzyme activities which elevate nutrient mobilization [[35](#page-13-23)]. Urease, an extracellular enzyme in soil, aids in converting organic N into ammonium N, thus making it more readily available for plants adsorption by soil particles [\[27\]](#page-13-15). Found absorbed on clay particles or within humic complexes, urease released from both living and decomposed microbial organisms. Phosphatase enzymes, potentially originating from the plant roots associated with mycorrhiza, other fungi, or soil bacteria, catalyze the conversion of organic phosphate esters and anhydrides to inorganic phosphorus [[27](#page-13-15), [36,](#page-13-24) [37\]](#page-13-25). Additionally, straw incorporation not only enhances soil organic matter and significantly impacts soil cellulase activity but also markedly increases SOC and active C fractions [\[8](#page-12-8)]; these outcomes demonstrate a substantial and positive correlation between SOC and soil sucrase activity.

Organic and inorganic fertilization in combination significantly improves soil physical, chemical, and biological properties [\[38](#page-13-26), [39](#page-13-27)]. S Huang, et al. [[40\]](#page-13-28) reported that straw incorporation increased crop yield in subtropical areas because warm weather accelerates the breakdown of incorporated straw, thus increasing the availability of soil nutrients [[41](#page-13-29)]. Additionally, the open burning of agricultural straw emits dangerous air pollution and is prohibited in China [\[42](#page-13-30)]. Few studies have investigated the comparative effects of straw incorporation combined with N fertilization on SOC, STN, SMBC, and soil enzyme activities in a double cropping system. However, no study has reported the effect of straw incorporation with and without N fertilization on soil fertility, SMBC, and soil enzymes across different seasons in South China. Therefore the aims of the study were: (1) to investigate the effect of straw incorporation with N fertilization on soil fertility and soil enzyme activities under a doublecropping system; (2) to determine the effect of growth stages and seasons on straw decomposition and crop yield; and (3) to understand the seasonal differences with

and without straw incorporation on soil fertility, enzyme activities, and maize grain yield in subtropical monsoon humid region, South China. We hypothesized that straw incorporation with N200 treatment would economically improve STN, SOC, and SMBC, urease, cellulase, sucrose, catalase, acid phosphatase activities, maize grain yield. We also hypothesized that V6 growth stage would increase soil urease, sucrose, catalase, and acid phosphatase activities under both season.

Materials and methods

Experimental site

The field experiment was performed at the Agronomy Research Farm of Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi, China (22°50' N, 108°17' E). This region is characterized by a subtropical climate with a mean annual precipitation of 1298.0 mm and a mean annual temperature of 21.7 °C [\[15](#page-13-3)]. Generally, this region has two distinct maize growing seasons: spring growing season from March to July and autumn from August to November. According to Chinese Soil Taxonomy, the soil was classified as clay loam, with a pH of 6.5, SOC of 14.6 g kg^{-1} , total N, available phosphorus, and potassium of 0.80 g kg⁻¹, 0.43 g $\rm kg^{-1}$, and 0.89 g $\rm kg^{-1}$, respectively.

Experimental design

The experiment was carried out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications in a split-plot arrangement whereas the straw management was allotted to the main plot, and N fertilization treatments were allotted to the sub-plot. The two straw management were straw incorporation (maize straw incorporated) and traditional planting (maize straw removed) during spring and autumn seasons in 2020 and 2021. The N fertilization treatments were N0 (0 kg N ha^{−1}), N100 (100 kg N ha^{−1}), N150 (150 kg N ha^{−1}), N200 (200 kg N ha[−]¹), N250 (250 kg N ha[−]¹), and N300 (300 kg N ha⁻¹).

The "Zhengda-619" maize variety was planted twice in the spring and autumn seasons. During 2020 the maize was sown on 11th March and 2nd August, and harvested on 9th July and 30th November in spring and autumn seasons, respectively. Likewise, during 2021, the maize was sown on 4th March and 8th August, and harvested on 5th July and 26th November in spring and autumn seasons, respectively. The sub-plot size was 4.2×4.2 m² having a planting density of 55,556 plants ha $^{\rm -1}$ with rowto-row spacing of 60 cm and plant-to-plant spacing of 30 cm. Calcium magnesium phosphate $(P_2O_5 18%)$ and potassium chloride (K_2 O 60%) were incorporated at the rate of 100 kg ha[−]¹ into the soil before sowing as a basal recommended fertilizer. The field management followed standard agricultural practices, with two-thirds of the N

fertilizer applied before sowing and the remaining onethird applied during the 12-leaf stage.

Soil sampling

Before maize harvest, at the jointing stage (V6) and maturity stage (R6), five random soil samples were taken from each sub-plot at a depth of 0–20 cm soil depth. The collected soil samples were completely mixed, sieved through a mesh of 2 mm to remove the stones, roots and gravel. The sieved soils were then divided into two sections, one immediately kept at 4 °C in the refrigerator for soil microbial carbon analysis. The remaining portion of the soil samples was air-dried at room temperature and sieved through 0.069 mm mesh for determining enzyme activities and/or 0.15 mm mesh for determining STN and SOC.

Soil fertility and enzyme activities analysis

Soil microbial biomass carbon was measured by the chloroform fumigation culture method [[43](#page-13-31)]. Soil organic carbon was quantified using volumetric potassium dichromate and an external heating method previously used by [\[44\]](#page-13-32), whereas the semi-micro kelvin method was used to measure STN content [\[45](#page-13-33)]. Soil enzyme activities were analyzed using Solarbio Science & Technology Co. (Beijing, China). The activities of soil urease (BC0125; BC0245), sucrase (BC0155S), cellulase (BC0105), catalase (BC0145), and acid phosphatase (S-ACP) were determined using the soil enzymatic kits.

Grain yield

At the maturity growth stage of maize, an area of 2 $m²$ was randomly selected in each plot, and the grain yield was determined after maize threshing and drying.

```
Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) = maize grain weight (kg m<sup>-2</sup>) × 10000 (m<sup>2</sup>) (1)
```
Statistical analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyzed STN, SOC, SMBC, soil enzymatic activities, and grain yield using an f-test (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Straw management and N fertilization rates were fixed effects. However, seasons and years were repetitive measure factors and fixed effects, whereas all the interactions were taken as fixed effects except treatment \times replication interaction, which was taken as a random effect. Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, *P*<0.05) was used to compare the mean value of the three replications within treatments. Data are expressed as the mean and \pm standard deviation (SD). All graphs were drawn with Origin Pro, Version 2021 (Origin Lab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA). The GGally package was used in R v.3.63

to evaluate the relationship between the N fertilization rates and plant enzyme activities.

Results

Soil organic carbon

The incorporation of maize straw, N fertilization, various growth stages, seasons, and annual variation positively affected SOC (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). In both years, the highest SOC content was observed at the V6 growth stage under straw incorporated plot, while the lowest was observed at R6 under traditional planting (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). Averaged across seasons, stages, and straw management, 2021 resulted in significantly higher SOC content compared to 2020. Similarly, the spring season had significantly higher SOC compared to the autumn season in both years. The lower SOC in autumn season might be due to higher temperatures, lower solar radiation, and a shorter growing season for autumn crops. Moreover, SOC significantly increased with increasing N fertilization in both growth stages and seasons. Compared to N0, the SOC content was significantly higher for N300 treatment under both years and seasons. There were no significant differences between N200 and N250 in straw management and years, while there were differences among N200, N250, and N300 treatments in 2020 under traditional planting. The interaction between N fertilization and straw management was not significant, except in spring season of 2020 (Fig. [1A](#page-3-0)). Our results showed that the N200 treatment coupled with straw returning, is the best choice to reduced the N fertilization use and increase SOC content, with increases of 0.74% and 0.61% compared to N250 and N300 under traditional planting (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)).

Soil total nitrogen

Soil total N content under different straw management and N fertilization across two seasons is shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0). The effect of straw incorporation with N fertilization on STN was statistically higher at V6 than at the R6 growth stage in both seasons and years. Similarly, under traditional planting, the STN content at the V6 growth stage was 6.42 and 3.98% higher in the spring and autumn seasons during 2020 and 9.46 and 9.56% higher in spring and autumn seasons during 2021, respectively (Fig. [2A](#page-4-0)-C). On average, the V6 growth stage had 6.55 and 10.13% higher STN content compared to R6 growth stage in 2020 and 2021. Compared to the autumn season, the spring season

Fig. 1 Effect of straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilization on soil organic carbon (SOC) under dual-cropping system during spring in 2020 (**A**) and 2021 (**B**), and autumn seasons in 2020 (**C**) and 2021 (**D**). SM: straw management, N: nitrogen fertilization. Different letters indicate significant differences between samples (*P*<0.05)

Fig. 2 Effect of straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilization on soil total nitrogen under dual-cropping system during spring in 2020 (**A**) and 2021 (**B**), and autumn seasons in 2020 (**C**) and 2021 (**D**). The names of the treatments are the same as in (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). Different letters indicate significant differences between samples (*P*<0.05)

increased STN content by 13.02 and 12.16% in 2020 and 2021, respectively. Additionally, the results demonstrated that the overall STN content was 1.75 g kg^{-1} in 2020 and 1.92 g kg^{-1} in 2021, suggesting that t STN in 2021 was 8.85% higher than in 2020. Our results showed that STN content increased with increasing N fertilization rates in both seasons, straw management, and years. Moreover, the STN content was significantly higher for N300 treatment compared to other N fertilization rates but not significantly different from N250 (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)A-D). These results suggest that straw incorporation significantly increased the STN content under the same N fertilization rates. The interaction between straw incorporation and N fertilization was significant in the autumn season of 2020, and in both seasons of 2021 (*P*<0.05; Fig. [1B](#page-3-0) and D).

Soil microbial biomass carbon

Soil microbial biomass carbon significantly increased with N fertilization rates and maize straw incorporation into the field (*P*<0.05; Fig. [3](#page-5-0)). Soil microbial biomass carbon was significantly higher at the V6 growth stage under straw incorporation, while the lowest was observed at R6 growth stage under traditional planting. On an average,

straw incorporation in spring and autumn seasons significantly increased the SMBC by 15.43 and 32.64% during 2020 (Fig. [3A](#page-5-0) and C) and by 17.30 and 22.87% during 2021 compared to traditional planting (Fig. [3B](#page-5-0) and D). The results showed that the spring season resulted in higher SMBC (178.98 mg C kg⁻¹ of soil) compared to autumn season (134.35 mg C kg⁻¹ of soil). Moreover, our results demonstrated that SMBC significantly increased with increasing N fertilization. The results also showed that the N300 treatment had significantly higher SMBC compared to the N0, N100, N150 treatments (*P*<0.05), but but was not statistically different from the N200 and N250 treatments (*P*>0.05). Soil microbial biomass carbon was significantly higher in straw incorporated plots compared to traditional planting with the same N fertilization rates, might be due to higher decomposition and SOC content. The interaction between straw incorporation and N fertilization rates was not significant, except in autumn 2021.

Fig. 3 Effect of straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilization on soil microbial biomass carbon under dual-cropping system during spring in 2020 (**A**) and 2021 (**B**), and autumn seasons in 2020 (**C**) and 2021 (**D**). The names of the treatments are the same as in (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). Different letters indicate significant differences between samples (*P*<0.05)

Soil enzyme activities

Soil urease

Soil urease activity increased significantly with higher N fertilization rates across both seasons, growth stages, and years (Fig. S1). Plots incorporated straw exhibited 5.64 and 9.56% higher soil urease activity in 2020 and 2021, respectively, compared to traditional planting (Table [1](#page-6-0)). The lowest urease activities were observed in the N0 treatment (218.13 U g^{-1} and 228.11 U g^{-1}), while the highest were in the N300 treatment (284.23 U $\rm g^{-1}$ and 305.60 U $\rm g^{-1}$), which did not differ from the N250 treatment (276.67 U g^{-1} and 303.39 U g^{-1}) in 2020 and 2021, respectively. These results showed that N250 treatment increased soil urease activity by 26.84, 16.90 and 10.54% in 2020 and by 33.00, 20.31 and 12.59% in 2021, compared to N0, N100, and N150 treatments, respectively (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The interaction between straw management and N fertilization was significant in 2021 (*P*<0.001). In addition, the soil urease activity was significantly higher at the V6 growth stage in spring 2021 and lower at the R6 growth stage in autumn 2020 (Table [2](#page-6-1)). Regressions analysis showed that soil urease activity increased positively and polynomially with SOC (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.9[4](#page-7-0); *P*<0.001; Fig. 4A),

STN $(R^2=0.84; P<0.001;$ $(R^2=0.84; P<0.001;$ $(R^2=0.84; P<0.001;$ Fig. 4B), and increasing SMBC $(R^2=0.95; P<0.001; Fig. 4C)$ $(R^2=0.95; P<0.001; Fig. 4C)$ $(R^2=0.95; P<0.001; Fig. 4C)$.

Soil cellulase

Soil cellulase activity was significantly affected by N fertilization, growth stages, seasons, and years (Fig. S2). The N300 treatment significantly increased soil cellulase activity compared to other N fertilization rates in 2020, but it was not significantly different from N250 in 2021 (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Similarly, N250 treatment was statistically similar to N200 treatment, suggesting that N200 treatment with straw incorporation is a better choice for improved soil enzyme activity and reduced N fertilization. Compared to traditional planting, straw incorporation significantly increased soil cellulase by 9.43 and 11.25% in 2020 and 2021, respectively (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Moreover, soil cellulase activity was significantly higher at R6 during the autumn of 2021 compared to V6 during the spring of 2020 (Table [2](#page-6-1)). All interactions were significant except among years, seasons, and growth stages. Regressions analysis showed that soil cellulase activity increased positively and polynomially with increasing SOC (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.90; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4](#page-7-0)D), STN (R²=0.85; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4E](#page-7-0)), and SMBC (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.93; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4F](#page-7-0)). This increase in soil

Note: Means followed by different lowercase letters within each column indicate significant differences (*p*<0.05) using LSD test. Values are means±SE (*n*=3). Whereas, the nitrogen treatments N0, N100, N150, N200, N250, and N300 represent the application of nitrogen at the rate of 0, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 kg ha $^{-1}\!.$ *Significant at *P*<0.05; **Significant at *P*<0.01; ***Significant at *P*<0.001; ns, not significant

Note: Means followed by different lowercase letters within each column indicate significant differences (p<0.05) using LSD test. Values are means±SE (n=3).
Whereas, the nitrogen treatments N0, N100, N150, N200, N250, and *Significant at *P*<0.05; **Significant at *P*<0.01; ***Significant at *P*<0.001; ns, not significant

Fig. 4 Relationships of soil organic carbon (**A**, **D**, **G**), total nitrogen (**B**, **E**, **H**), microbial biomass carbon (**C**, **F**, **I**) with soil enzyme activities

cellulase activity could be attributed to higher SOC, STN, and SMBC due to optimum N fertilization rates and straw incorporation.

Soil sucrase

Similar to soil urease, sucrase activity was significantly higher in spring compared to autumn (Fig. S3). Soil sucrase activity was significantly higher in the V6 growth stage compared to R6 in both seasons and years (Fig. S3). Nitrogen fertilization with straw incorporation significantly increased soil sucrase activity. Additionally, soil sucrase activity increased with higher N fertilization rates, suggesting that N300 treatment increased soil sucrase activity by 48.79, 30.16, 21.39, 7.12, and 2.32% compared to N0, N100, N150, N200, and N250 in 2020 (Table [1](#page-6-0)). However, in 2021 the N300 and N250 treatments had a statistically similar soil sucrase activity, whereas the N250 and N200 were also statistically similar. Soil sucrase activity was significantly higher at the V6 growth stage in spring 2021 compared to the R6 growth stage in autumn 2020 (Table [2](#page-6-1)). Soil sucrase activity was 15.17% higher in 2021 compared to 2020,

with the highest activity observed in spring (35.36 U g^{-1}) compared to autumn (26.68 U g^{-1}). Regression analysis showed a positive relationship between soil sucrase activity and SOC, STN, and SMBC (Fig. [4D](#page-7-0), E, and F). These results suggest that soil enzyme activities correlate with higher soil nutrient availability and SMBC. The higher soil sucrase activity in straw incorporated plots might be due to higher SOC, STN, and SMBC.

Soil catalase

The potential activity of soil catalase was determined at two growth stages (V6 and R6) in the spring and autumn seasons of 2020 and 2021 (Fig. S4). Soil catalase activity was significantly higher at V6 growth stage compared to R6 in both seasons and years, wiht activity significantly higher in 2021 than in 2020 (Table [1](#page-6-0); Fig. S4). The activity in straw incorporated plots was 5.70 and 19.74% higher in 2020 and 2021, respectively, compared to traditional planting. In 2020, the N300 treatment resulted in 29.91, 17.10, 13.07, 5.67 and 3.04% higher catalase activity compared to N0, N100, N150, N200, and N250 treat-ments, respectively (Table [1](#page-6-0)). There were no significant

differences between N300 and N250 treatments or N250 and N200 treatments in 2021, possibly due to higher straw decomposition and microbial activity compared to 2020. Furthermore, the activity in 2021 was 21.06% higher than in 2020 (Table [2](#page-6-1)). On average, the activity was significantly higher in spring (22.90 U g^{-1}) compared to autumn (17.62 U g^{-1}), suggesting that the spring season increased the catalase activity by 29.97% (Table [2\)](#page-6-1). The results showed that activity was much higher at V6 than at the R6 growth stage, likely due to higher soil nutrients and SMBC at V6. Soil catalase was positively and significantly correlated with SOC (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.92; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4D](#page-7-0)), STN (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.84; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4E](#page-7-0)), and SMBC (\mathbb{R}^2 =0.93; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4](#page-7-0)F). Our results demonstrated that higher soil fertility and SMBC could be the possible reason for improved soil enzymatic activity.

Soil acidic phosphatase

Nitrogen fertilization with and without straw incorporation significantly increased soil acidic phosphatase activity in both years during the spring and autumn seasons (Fig. S5).The activity was significantly enhanced with straw incorporation in both seasons and years, with the highest activity observed in spring [2](#page-6-1)021 (Fig. S5; Table 2). Compared to traditional planting, straw incorporated plots enhanced acidic phosphatase activity by 10.91 and 18.39% in 2020 and 2021, respectively (Table [1](#page-6-0)). Acidic phosphatase activity significantly increased with increasing N fertilization rates, with N300 resulting in 27.13% higher activity than N0 treatment in 2020 (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). However, in 2021, acidic phosphates activity was statistically similar for N300 and N250 treatments, and for N250 and N200 treatments. The interaction between straw management and N fertilization was significant (*P*<0.001). Moreover, soil acidic phosphatase activity was significantly higher at the V6 growth stage during the spring of 2021 and the lowest at R6 growth stage during the autumn of 2020 (Table [2\)](#page-6-1). Regression analysis explained that soil acidic phosphatase activity was positively correlated with SOC, STN, and SMBC. These results suggest that soil acidic phosphatase activity significantly increased with increasing SOC $(R^2=0.87; P<0.001;$ Fig. [4](#page-7-0)G), STN (R^2 =0.91; *P*<0.001; Fig. [4H](#page-7-0)), and SMBC $(R^2=0.98; P<0.001; Fig. 4I).$ $(R^2=0.98; P<0.001; Fig. 4I).$ $(R^2=0.98; P<0.001; Fig. 4I).$

Grain yield

The maize grain yield was significantly affected by N fertilization, straw management, seasons, and their interactions (Table [3](#page-9-0)). Grain yield increased significantly with higher N fertilization during both seasons and years. In 2020, the N300 treatment significantly increased grain yield by 144.35 and 160.83% in the spring and by 155.26 and 236.19% in autumn compared to N0 treatment in straw incorporated and traditional planting, respectively (Table [3\)](#page-9-0). There were no significant differences between the N300 and N250 treatments and between N250 and N200 in both seasons and straw management. In the of 2021, the N300 increased grain yield by 137.14, 70.08, 35.62, 7.79 and 3.75% in straw incorporated plots, and by 167.61, 71.95, 35.71, 7.34 and 5.56% in traditional planting compared to N0, N100, N150, N200, and N250 treatments, respectively. The mean results showed that N300 treatment significantly increased grain yield compared to other N fertilization rates in both straw management and years. Our results suggest that N200 treatment in straw incorporated plots significantly increased maize yield by 8.30 and 4.22% compared to N250 and N300 treatments of traditional planting systems. Therefore, the N200 treatment with straw incorporation economically increased crop yield and agriculture sustainability while reducing the negative impact of N fertilization. Compared to traditional planting, straw incorporated plot increased grain yield by 10.79 and 12.06% in the spring and autumn of 2020 and 9.77 and 10.71% in the spring and autumn of 2021, respectively (Table [3\)](#page-9-0). Average across the seasons and straw management, the highest grain yield was obtained in 2021 compared to 2020. On average, the N300 treatment resulted in significantly higher grain yield than other N fertilization rates. The interaction between straw management and N fertilization was significant in both season during 2020 but had no significant effect during 2021.

Correlation analysis

The correlation analysis revealed that soil sucrose, catalase, and acidic phosphatase activities were positively and strongly correlated with soil urease activity (*P*<0.001; Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0). Soil cellulase activity was negatively correlated with urease activity under the N100 treatment (*P*<0.05). Soil catalase and acidic phosphatase activities were positively correlated with soil cellulase activity (*P*<0.05), while soil sucrase activity was negatively correlated under N150 treatment. Additionally, soil catalase and acidic phosphatase activities were positively correlated with soil sucrase activity. Acidic phosphatase was strongly and positively correlated with catalase (*P*<0.001; Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0). Soil urease activity was strongly correlated with sucrase and catalase activities at all the N fertilization rates. Similarly, sucrase was highly correlated with catalase, and catalase with acidic phosphatase activity in all N fertilization rates.

Discussion

Overall, N fertilization and straw incorporation considerably improved maize growth performance. However, lower soil fertility and yield were found under the traditional planting system. The four factors such as SOC, STN, soil microbial biomass, and soil enzyme activities

Note: Means followed by different lowercase letters within each column indicate significant differences (*p*<0.05) using LSD test. Values are means±SE (*n*=3). Whereas, the nitrogen treatments N0, N100, N150, N200, N250, and N300 represent the application of nitrogen at the rate of 0, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 kg ha⁻¹. *Significant at *P*<0.05; **Significant at *P*<0.01; ***Significant at *P*<0.001; ns, not significant

are responsible for the distinct impacts of N fertilization under straw incorporated and traditional planting systems. Straw incorporation is well documented to increase soil fertility by providing accessible C and N substrates for microbial populations $[4, 6]$ $[4, 6]$ $[4, 6]$ $[4, 6]$. Soil organic carbon is an important and useful indicator of soil fertility, impacting crop development both directly and indirectly [\[46](#page-13-34)], and its fractions are sensitive to changes in C supply [[47\]](#page-13-35). Similarly, J Wang, et al. [[48\]](#page-13-36) also reported that straw incorporation significantly increased SOC and total N compared to traditional planting, indicating improved soil fertility. Previous meta-analysis showed that residue incorporation with a high C/N ratio or lower N content dramatically increased straw decomposition through soil microorganisms, thereby improving SOC content [\[49](#page-13-37)]. In addition, straw incorporation boosted soil microbial activity and biomass, accelerating SOC decomposition and improving soil nutrient content [[50,](#page-13-38) [51\]](#page-13-39).

Straw incorporation positively correlated with soil nutrient content [\[52](#page-13-40)], and increased biomass incorporation and decomposition led to an increase in soil organic matter [[53](#page-13-41)]. It also reduces SOC losses from the agroecosystem and increases crop productivity and

sustainability [[54\]](#page-13-42). In the current study, straw incorporation significantly increased SOC content, especially in spring season, compared to traditional planting. In contrast, traditional planting drastically decreased SOC content by 3.87 and 5.09% during 2020 and 2021 compared to straw incorporation (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). Compared to the R6 growth stage, the incremental changes in SOC content at the V6 growth stage were 7.31 and 8.48% higher in straw incorporated plots, and 4.18 and 5.10% higher in traditional planting during 2020 and 2021, respectively. These results suggested that straw incorporation into the soil is essential to maintaining SOC levels [[32\]](#page-13-20). Additionally, our finding revealed that continuous straw incorporation significantly and sustainably boosted soil fertility in both years, independent of N fertilization in double-cropping system [\[6](#page-12-4), [55\]](#page-13-43). Moderate N application increases SOC content and eventually increases crop yield [[52,](#page-13-40) [56\]](#page-13-44), while high amounts of N application encourage SOC mineralization, which decreases SOC content and crop yield [[57\]](#page-14-0). Straw incorporation with the N200 treatment significantly increased SOC content compared to N0, N100, N150, N250, and N300 treatments of traditional planting. Consistent with our results, ME Duval, et al. [\[58](#page-14-1)] also

Fig. 5 Correlation analysis among soil enzyme activities and nitrogen fertilization rates that are significantly positively/negatively correlated with each other. "*" *P*≤0.05, "**" *P*≤0.01, and "***" *P*≤0.001

reported a strong and positive relationship between carbon input and SOC storage.

Nitrogen is an essential macronutrient and is well understood as one of the key limiting nutrients for plant growth and development [\[59](#page-14-2)]. Exogenous application of N improves plant growth and increases crop yield [\[56](#page-13-44)]. However, the increase in soil N content with N fertilization might not be the main factor ameliorating maize growth under straw incorporated plots might be due to higher decomposition rates (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). Similarly to N fertilization, straw incorporation also releases more N content into the soil and becomes available to plants via microbial mineralization [\[28](#page-13-16)]. The current results showed that straw incorporation with the N200 treatment resulted in higher STN content compared to the N250 and N300 treatments of traditional planting. These results suggest that straw incorporation drastically reduces the excessive use of N fertilization and slowly releases nutrients to the soil, reducing water pollution [[56](#page-13-44)], soil acidification, and other adverse environmental effects [[60\]](#page-14-3). Moreover, it facilitates the transition of soil N into a crop-appropriate form, thereby increasing N efficiency $[61]$ $[61]$. The variations

in STN contents are probable due to straw incorporation combined with different N fertilization rates [\[28](#page-13-16)].

Straw incorporation replenishes soil nutrients and enhances the physio-chemical properties of the plow layer, benefiting sustainable crop growth, biomass, and grain yield $[31, 39]$ $[31, 39]$ $[31, 39]$ $[31, 39]$ $[31, 39]$. During 2020 and 2021, this practice significantly increased SOC, STN, and SMBC in doublecropping systems (Figs. 1 and 2 , and 3). These fertility gains likely results from the enhanced decomposition of maize straw, driven by soil microbial activity [\[49,](#page-13-37) [62](#page-14-5)], which releases nutrients such as dissolved organic matter and stimulates microbial development [[42,](#page-13-30) [59](#page-14-2)]. Similarly, N fertilization significantly elevated SMBC and improved soil carbon sequestration and microbial activity [\[28](#page-13-16), [63](#page-14-6)]. Notably, the N200 treatment in straw incorporated plots considerably enhanced STN and SMBC compared to N250 and N300 treatments in traditional planting systems during both study years (Figs. [2](#page-4-0) and [3](#page-5-0)). Benbi et al. [\[64](#page-14-7)] reported similar increases in soil nutrients and SMBC with straw incorporation due to greater decomposition and soil moisture content.The SOC levels were also higher unde the N200 treatment with straw incorporation

than under the N250 treatment in traditional planting (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). These results aligns with previous trsearch indicating that straw incorporation combined with optimal N fertilizer application significantly improves soil fertility and SMBC by faciliating nutrient release from residues decomposition and maintaining sustainable C/N ratio [\[65\]](#page-14-8). In addition, the effects of environmental variables, such as precipitation patterns, should be considered. In thisstudy, spring rainfall was significantly higher than autumn rainfall, potentailly increasing maize straw decomposition and, consequently, soil fertility [[28\]](#page-13-16).

Soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities are more sensitive to changes in soil quality than SOC and STN content [\[66\]](#page-14-9). Straw incorporation significantly enhanced the physio-chemical characteristics of soil, providing a more suitable environment for soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities [\[67,](#page-14-10) [68\]](#page-14-11). In 2021, straw incorporation in the N300 treatment significantly increased the activities of soil enzymes such as urease, cellulase, sucrase, catalase, and soil acid phosphatase compared to traditional planting. These increase were statistically similar to those in the N250 and N200 treatments. However, in 2020, the N300 treatment showed significant increases in the activities of cellulase, sucrase, catalase, and soil acid phosphatase, surpassing the effects observed in the N250 treatment (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The increase in soil enzyme activities can be attributed to straw incorporation, which auguments SMBC, N, and microbial population. This, in turn, provided organic matter that serves as a substrate for soil enzymes [[24\]](#page-13-12). Furthermore, straw decomposition not only provides energy but alsi fosters a favorable environment for soil enzyme activity [\[24](#page-13-12)]. There is a positive correlation between soil enzyme activities and both soil organic matter and microbial population [[69\]](#page-14-12). Similarly, activities of soil urease, cellulase, sucrase, and acidic phosphatase are strongly and positively correlated with soil organic matter [[69\]](#page-14-12).

Soil urease, an amide enzyme that uses urea as a substrate, is mainly affected by soil nutrients and microorganisms. It plays a crucial role in soil N cycling and indicates soil N supply capacity. Additionally, cellulase and sucrase activities are pivotal in regulating SOC decomposition [\[70\]](#page-14-13). Straw incorporation boosts the turnover of easily oxidized SOC and STN, enhancing their availability to soil microorganisms and thereby increasing soil enzyme activities [\[4](#page-12-3)]. Previous study have show that phosphatase activity significantly increased with straw incorporation, likely due to increased substrate availability [[24](#page-13-12), [36](#page-13-24)]. Our results also showed that soil acidic phosphatase activity was significantly higher in straw incorporated plots compared to traditional planting (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Notably, the highest activity was observed in 2021 for the N300 treatment, which was not significantly different from N250 treatment.

According to R Murugan, et al. [[65](#page-14-8)], N fertilization improves soil enzyme activity by preferentially allocating N to enzyme production, under the influence of soil microorganisms. Additionally, N can stabilize the soil colloidal structure and prevent soil urease degradation. A previous study demonstrated that chemical and organic fertilizer increased soil N levels, subsequently boosting the activity of carbon cycling enzymes in the soil [\[71](#page-14-14)]. Similarly to Y Liu, et al. [\[19](#page-13-7)], our results also showed that the activities of soil urease, cellulase, sucrase, catalase, and acidic phosphatase enhanced by N fertilization and straw incorporation (Table [1](#page-6-0)). This increase in enzyme activities may result from higher carbon demand for microbial activity and development in high N conditions. Previous research has shown that straw decomposition accelerates initially after incorporation and increases with increasing temperature and perception [[6,](#page-12-4) [28\]](#page-13-16). It is also influenced by the quality (C/N ratio) and quantity of biomass [[31](#page-13-19)].

Straw incorporation and N fertilization have a varing effects on soil enzymes at different growth stages and across seasons. Our results showed that activities of urease, sucrase, catalase, and acidic phosphatase were significantly higher at V6 growth stage than at the R6 stage in both seasons. However, with the exception of cellulase, all soil enzyme activities were significantly higher during the spring than in the autumn (Table [2\)](#page-6-1). The enhanced enzymatic activities in spring may result from more rapid straw decomposition at an appropriate temperature, which produce a huge amount of SOC and N for soil microbes as a substrate [\[28,](#page-13-16) [63](#page-14-6)]. Conversely, cellulase activity was significantly higher in than in spring (Table [2\)](#page-6-1), potentially due to greater abundance of cellulose-decomposing fungi during the autumn [\[72](#page-14-15)].

Straw incorporation established a favourable chemical, physical, and biological soil environment that enhances enzymatic activities, increasing soil fertility and crop yield [\[73](#page-14-16)]. Similarly, our results also demonstrated that straw incorporation and N fertilization significantly increased maize grain yield in a dual cropping system (Table [3](#page-9-0)). Notably, the highest grain yield was observed in spring season compared to autumn season. This increase in straw incorporated plots could be due to improved SOC, along with enhanced biological and physical properties of soil [\[74](#page-14-17)]. A Khan, et al. [\[39](#page-13-27)] reported that N fertilization in combination with organic manure significantly increased soil fertility, N use efficiency, SOC, and crop yield. Additionally, straw incorporation has been shown to substantially increase soil nutrients, microbial biomass and activity as well as improve soil texture, resulting in higher nutrient uptake and maize grain yield [[16,](#page-13-4) [28](#page-13-16), [72\]](#page-14-15).

The N300 treatment resulted in higher grain yield compared to N0 treatment, although it was not significantly

different from N250 treatment across both seasons and straw management practices in 2020. Similar results were observed in autumn season during 2021. However, in spring, the N300 significantly increased grain yield compared to other N treatments. S Li, et al. [\[75\]](#page-14-18) reported that excessive N fertilization could increased plant nutrient uptake and affected crop reproductive growth, ultimately decreased the economic value of maize yield. Incorporating straw incorporation with the N200 treatment significantly increased crop yield, soil fertility, and SMBC compared to N250 and N300 treatments in traditional planting systems over both years. These results are in line with the previously published studies, and they demonstrated that N fertilization with straw incorporation resulted in higher grain yield [[2](#page-12-1), [28\]](#page-13-16). Similarly, A Khan, et al. [\[39\]](#page-13-27) reported that the combine application of organic and inorganic N fertilization significantly increased crop yield due to the slow release of N improved soil fertility and soil microbial biomass (carbon and N) on a sustainable basis and thus increased both biological and grain yield [[38](#page-13-26)].

Conclusions

Our study investigated the impact of straw incorporation and N fertilization rates on SOC, STN, SMBC, enzyme activities, and maize yield. In 2021, N fertilization particularly enhanced physio-chemical properties, enzyme activities, and grain yield in straw incorporated plots. Both straw incorporation and N fertilization significantly improved SOC, STN, SMBC, and soil enzyme activities at the V6 growth stage across all seasons and years compared to R6. The N200 treatment with straw incorporation has a significant higher maize grain yield than the N250 and N300 treatments of traditional planting. In spring season, across both years, increases in SOC, STN, SMBC, and activities of urease, sucrose, catalase, and acid phosphatase activities were notably higher, whereas soil cellulase activity peaked in autum season during 2020. All the other parameters showed significant enhancements in 2021. We concluded that optimum N fertilization (N200) with straw incorporation is the most effectivte strategy for improved soil fertility, SMBC, and crop yield. Furthermore, this approach helps mitigate the environmental impacts of straw burning, reduced fertilizer cost, and increased agricultural sustainability.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-024-05451-4) [org/10.1186/s12870-024-05451-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-024-05451-4).

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

The study is funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Province (2024GXNSFBA010391), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Project

(2023MD744185), and Guangxi Key Laboratory of Arable Land Conservation (23-026-12-23KF04).

Author contributions

Author contributions: Conceptualization: LY. Methodology: IM. Formal analysis: LY and ZYL. Investigation: YXC and TYC. Resources: XBZ. Data curation: LY and YXC. Writing-original draft preparation: LY. Writing-review and editing: IM. Supervision: XBZ.

Funding

The study is funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Province (2024GXNSFBA010391), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Project (2023MD744185), and Guangxi Key Laboratory of Arable Land Conservation (23-026-12-23KF04).

Data availability

Data is provided within the manuscript or supplementary information files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 5 May 2024 / Accepted: 23 July 2024 Published online: 30 July 2024

References

- 1. Haarhoff SJ, Swanepoel PA. Plant population and maize grain yield: a global systematic review of rainfed trials. Crop Sci. 2018;58(5):1819–29.
- 2. Su W, Kamran M, Xie J, Meng X, Han Q, Liu T, Han J. Shoot and root traits of summer maize hybrid varieties with higher grain yields and higher nitrogen use efficiency at low nitrogen application rates. PeerJ. 2019;7:e7294.
- 3. Searchinger T, Hanson C, Ranganathan J, Lipinski B, Waite R, Winterbottom R, Dinshaw A, Heimlich R, Boval M, Chemineau P. Creating a sustainable food future. A menu of solutions to sustainably feed more than 9 billion people by 2050. World resources report 2013-14: interim findings: World Resources Institute (WRI); World Bank Groupe-Banque Mondiale; United ….
- 4. Cui H, Luo Y, Chen J, Jin M, Li Y, Wang Z. Straw return strategies to improve soil properties and crop productivity in a winter wheat-summer maize cropping system. Eur J Agron 2022;133:126436.
- 5. Nie L, Guo L, Niu H, Wei J, Li Z, Ning T. Effects of rotational tillage on tilth soil structure and crop yield and quality in maize-wheat cropping system. Acta Agron Sinica. 2015;41(3):468–78.
- 6. Muhammad I, Sainju UM, Zhao F, Khan A, Ghimire R, Fu X, et al. Regulation of soil CO₂ and N₂O emissions by cover crops: a meta-analysis. Soil Tillage Res. 2019;192:103–12.
- 7. He J, Shi Y, Yu Z. Subsoiling improves soil physical and microbial properties, and increases yield of winter wheat in the Huang-Huai-Hai Plain of China. Soil Tillage Res. 2019;187:182–93.
- 8. Xu J, Han H, Ning T, Li Z, Lal R. Long-term effects of tillage and straw management on soil organic carbon, crop yield, and yield stability in a wheat-maize system. Field Crops Res. 2019;233:33–40.
- 9. Andrews M, Raven J, Lea P. Do plants need nitrate? The mechanisms by which nitrogen form affects plants. Ann Appl Biol. 2013;163(2):174–99.
- 10. Yang H, Yang B, Dai Y, Xu M, Koide RT, Wang X, Liu J, Bian X. Soil nitrogen retention is increased by ditch-buried straw return in a rice-wheat rotation system. Eur J Agron. 2015;69:52–8.
- 11. Latifmanesh H, Deng A, Li L, Chen Z, Zheng Y, Bao X, Zheng C, Zhang W. How incorporation depth of corn straw affects straw decomposition rate and C&N release in the wheat-corn cropping system. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2020;300:107000.
- 12. Meng X, Guo Z, Yang X, Su W, Li Z, Wu X, Ahmad I, Cai T, Han Q. Straw incorporation helps inhibit nitrogen leaching in maize season to increase yield and efficiency in the Loess Plateau of China. Soil Tillage Res. 2021;211:105006.
- 13. Ni H, Jing X, Xiao X, Zhang N, Wang X, Sui Y, Sun B, Liang Y. Microbial metabolism and necromass mediated fertilization effect on soil organic carbon after long-term community incubation in different climates. ISME J. 2021;15(9):2561–73.
- 14. Liu G, Li Y, Alva A. Moisture quotients for ammonia volatilization from four soils in potato production regions. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2007;183(1):115–27.
- 15. Muhammad I, Lv JZ, Yang L, Ahmad S, Farooq S, Zeeshan M, et al. Low irrigation water minimizes the nitrate nitrogen losses without compromising the soil fertility, enzymatic activities and maize growth. BMC Plant Biol. 2022;22:159.
- 16. Guan XK, Wei L, Turner NC, Ma SC, Yang M-D, Wang TC. Improved straw management practices promote in situ straw decomposition and nutrient release, and increase crop production. J Clean Prod. 2020;250:119514.
- 17. Muhammad I, Yang L, Ahmad S, Zeeshan M, Farooq S, Ali I, et al. Irrigation and nitrogen fertilization alter soil bacterial communities, soil enzyme activities, and nutrient availability in maize crop. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:833758.
- 18. Yang X, Lu Y, Ding Y, Yin X, Raza S. Optimising nitrogen fertilisation: a key to improving nitrogen-use efficiency and minimising nitrate leaching losses in an intensive wheat/maize rotation (2008–2014). Field Crops Res. 2017;206:1–10.
- 19. Liu Y, Pan Y, Yang L, Ahmad S, Zhou X. Stover return and nitrogen application affect soil organic carbon and nitrogen in a double-season maize field. Plant Biol. 2022;24(2):387–95.
- 20. Chi YX, Gao F, Muhammad I, Huang JH, Zhou XB. Effect of water conditions and nitrogen application on maize growth, carbon accumulation and metabolism of maize plant in subtropical regions. Arch Agron Soil Sci. 2023;69:693–707.
- 21. Ma X, Liu Y, Shen W, Kuzyakov Y. Phosphatase activity and acidification in lupine and maize rhizosphere depend on phosphorus availability and root properties: coupling zymography with planar optodes. Appl Soil Ecol 2021;167:104029.
- 22. Li H, Cao Y, Wang X, Ge X, Li B, Jin C. Evaluation on the production of food crop straw in China from 2006 to 2014. Bioenergy Res. 2017;10(3):949–57.
- 23. Zhang M, Cheng G, Feng H, Sun B, Zhao Y, Chen H, Chen J, Dyck M, Wang X, Zhang J, et al. Effects of straw and biochar amendments on aggregate stability, soil organic carbon, and enzyme activities in the Loess Plateau, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2017;24(11):10108–20.
- 24. Akhtar K, Wang W, Ren G, Khan A, Feng Y, Yang G. Changes in soil enzymes, soil properties, and maize crop productivity under wheat straw mulching in Guanzhong, China. Soil Tillage Res. 2018;182:94–102.
- 25. Badiane NNY, Chotte J-L, Pate E, Masse D, Rouland C. Use of soil enzyme activities to monitor soil quality in natural and improved fallows in semi-arid tropical regions. Appl Soil Ecol. 2001;18(3):229–38.
- 26. Parihar CM, Yadav MR, Jat SL, Singh AK, Kumar B, Pradhan S, Chakraborty D, Jat ML, Jat RK, Saharawat YS, et al. Long term effect of conservation agriculture in maize rotations on total organic carbon, physical and biological properties of a sandy loam soil in north-western Indo-Gangetic Plains. Soil Tillage Res. 2016;161:116–28.
- 27. Roldan A, Salinas-Garcia JR, Alguacil MM, Caravaca F. Changes in soil enzyme activity, fertility, aggregation and C sequestration mediated by conservation tillage practices and water regime in a maize field. Appl Soil Ecol. 2005;30(1):11–20.
- 28. Yang L, Muhammad I, Chi YX, Wang D, Zhou XB. Straw return and nitrogen fertilization to maize regulate soil properties, microbial community, and enzyme activities under dual cropping system. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:823963.
- 29. Yan X, Zhou H, Zhu Q, Wang X, Zhang Y, Yu X, Peng X. Carbon sequestration efficiency in paddy soil and upland soil under long-term fertilization in southern China. Soil Tillage Res. 2013;130:42–51.
- 30. Zhu L, Hu N, Zhang Z, Xu J, Tao B, Meng Y. Short-term responses of soil organic carbon and carbon pool management index to different annual straw return rates in a rice–wheat cropping system. Catena. 2015;135:283–9.
- 31. Muhammad I, Wang J, Sainju UM, Zhang S, Zhao F, Khan A. Cover cropping enhances soil microbial biomass and affects microbial community structure: a meta-analysis. Geoderma. 2021;381:114696.
- 32. Wang X, Jia Z, Liang L, Zhao Y, Yang B, Ding R, Wang J, Nie J. Changes in soil characteristics and maize yield under straw returning system in dryland farming. Field Crops Res. 2018;218:11–7.
- 33. Zhao S, Qiu S, Xu X, Ciampitti IA, Zhang S, He P. Change in straw decomposition rate and soil microbial community composition after straw addition in different long-term fertilization soils. Appl Soil Ecol. 2019;138:123–33.
- 34. Tao ZQ, Peng S, Chen YQ, Chao L, Nie ZJ, Yuan SF, et al. Subsoiling and ridge tillage alleviate the high temperature stress in spring maize in the North China Plain. J Integr Agric. 2013;12(12):2179–88.
- 35. Li F, Yu J, Nong M, Kang S, Zhang J. Partial root-zone irrigation enhanced soil enzyme activities and water use of maize under different ratios of inorganic to organic nitrogen fertilizers. Agric Water Manage. 2010;97(2):231–9.
- 36. Bamagoos AA, Alharby HF, Belal EE, Khalaf AEA, Abdelfattah MA, Rady MM, et al. Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria as a panacea to alleviate stress effects of high soil CaCO₃ content in *Phaseolus vulgaris* with special reference to P-releasing enzymes. Sustainability. 2021;13(13):7063.
- 37. Ge G, Li Z, Fan F, Chu G, Hou Z, Liang Y. Soil biological activity and their seasonal variations in response to long-term application of organic and inorganic fertilizers. Plant Soil. 2010;326(1):31–44.
- 38. Muhammad I, Khan F, Khan A, Wang J. Soil fertility in response to urea and farmyard manure incorporation under different tillage systems in Peshawar, Pakistan. Int J Agric Biol. 2018;20:1539–47.
- 39. Khan A, Jan MT, Afzal M, Muhammad I, Amanullah J, Shah Z. An integrated approach using organic amendments under a range of tillage practices to improve wheat productivity in a cereal based cropping system. Int J Agric Biology. 2015;17(3):467–74.
- 40. Huang S, Zeng Y, Wu J, Shi Q, Pan X. Effect of crop residue retention on rice yield in China: a meta-analysis. Field Crops Res. 2013;154:188–94.
- 41. Cropping P. Crop residue management for nutrient cycling and improving soil productivity in rice-based cropping systems in the tropics. Adv Agron. 2005;85:269–407.
- 42. Huang W, Wu JF, Pan XH, Tan XM, Zeng YJ, Shi QH, et al. effects of long-term straw return on soil organic carbon fractions and enzyme activities in a double-cropped rice paddy in South China. J Integr Agric. 2021;20(1):236–47.
- 43. Wu J. Method of determination of soil microbial biomass and its applications. Beijing: In.: China Meteorological; 2006.
- 44. Chen LF, He ZB, Wu XR, Du J, Zhu X, Lin PF, et al. Linkages between soil respiration and microbial communities following afforestation of alpine grasslands in the northeastern Tibetan Plateau. Appl Soil Ecol. 2021;161:103882.
- 45. Wang G, Bei S, Li J, Bao X, Zhang J, Schultz PA, Li H, Li L, Zhang F, Bever JD. Soil microbial legacy drives crop diversity advantage: linking ecological plant–soil feedback with agricultural intercropping. J Appl Ecol. 2021;58(3):496–506.
- 46. Liang F, Li J, Zhang S, Gao H, Wang B, Shi X, Huang S, Xu M. Two-decade long fertilization induced changes in subsurface soil organic carbon stock vary with indigenous site characteristics. Geoderma. 2019;337:853–62.
- 47. Haynes R. Labile organic matter as an indicator of organic matter quality in arable and pastoral soils in New Zealand. Soil Biol Biochem. 2000;32(2):211–9.
- 48. Wang J, Sun N, Xu M, Wang S, Zhang J, Cai Z, Cheng Y. The influence of longterm animal manure and crop residue application on abiotic and biotic N immobilization in an acidified agricultural soil. Geoderma. 2019;337:710–7.
- 49. Muhammad I, Wang J, Khan A, Ahmad S, Yang L, Ali I, Zeeshan M, Ullah S, Fahad S, Ali S. Impact of the mixture verses solo residue management and climatic conditions on soil microbial biomass carbon to nitrogen ratio: a systematic review. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2021;28(45):64241–52.
- 50. Fang Y, Nazaries L, Singh BK, Singh BP. Microbial mechanisms of carbon priming effects revealed during the interaction of crop residue and nutrient inputs in contrasting soils. Glob Change Biol. 2018;24(7):2775–90.
- 51. Ma S, Kan Z, Qi J, Zhang H. Effects of straw return mode on soil aggregates and associated carbon in the north China plain. Agronomy. 2020;10(1):61.
- 52. He Y, Zhang W, Xu M, Tong X, Sun F, Wang J, Huang S, Zhu P, He X. Long-term combined chemical and manure fertilizations increase soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in aggregate fractions at three typical cropland soils in China. Sci Total Environ. 2015;532:635–44.
- 53. De-shui T, Ji-yun J, Shao-wen H, Shu-tian L, Ping H. Effect of long-term application of K fertilizer and wheat straw to soil on crop yield and soil K under different planting systems. Agricultural Sci China. 2007;6(2):200–7.
- 54. Karami A, Homaee M, Afzalinia S, Ruhipour H, Basirat S. Organic resource management: impacts on soil aggregate stability and other soil physicochemical properties. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2012;148:22–8.
- 55. Fu X, Wang J, Sainju UM, Liu W. Soil nitrogen fractions under long-term crop rotations in the Loess Plateau of China. Soil Tillage Res. 2019;186:42–51.
- 56. Damatirca C, Moretti B, Bertora C, Ferrarini A, Lerda C, Mania I, et al. Residue incorporation and organic fertilisation improve carbon and nitrogen turnover and stabilisation in maize monocropping. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2023;342:108255.
- 58. Duval ME, Galantini JA, Capurro JE, Martinez JM. Winter cover crops in soybean monoculture: effects on soil organic carbon and its fractions. Soil Tillage Res. 2016;161:95–105.
- 59. Wang H, Ren T, Mueller K, Van Zwieten L, Wang H, Feng H, Xu C, Yun F, Ji X, Yin Q. Soil type regulates carbon and nitrogen stoichiometry and mineralization following biochar or nitrogen addition. Sci Total Environ. 2021;753:141645.
- 60. Du Q, Zhou L, Chen P, Liu X, Song C, Yang F, Wang X, Liu W, Sun X, Du J. Relayintercropping soybean with maize maintains soil fertility and increases nitrogen recovery efficiency by reducing nitrogen input. Crop J. 2020;8(1):140–52.
- 61. Zibilske L, Bradford J, Smart J. Conservation tillage induced changes in organic carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus in a semi-arid alkaline subtropical soil. Soil Tillage Res. 2002;66(2):153–63.
- 62. Manzoni S, Trofymow JA, Jackson RB, Porporato A. Stoichiometric controls on carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus dynamics in decomposing litter. Ecol Monogr. 2010;80(1):89–106.
- 63. Muhammad I, Lv JZ, Wang J, Ahmad S, Farooq S, Ali S, et al. Regulation of soil microbial community structure and biomass to mitigate soil greenhouse gas emission. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:868862.
- 64. Benbi DK, Kiranvir B, SHARMA S. Sensitivity of labile soil organic carbon pools to long-term fertilizer, straw and manure management in rice-wheat system. Pedosphere. 2015;25(4):534–45.
- 65. Murugan R, Parama VRR, Madan B, Muthuraju R, Ludwig B. Short-term effect of nitrogen intensification on aggregate size distribution, microbial biomass and enzyme activities in a semi-arid soil under different crop types. Pedosphere. 2019;29(4):483–91.
- 66. Bolinder M, Angers D, Gregorich E, Carter M. The response of soil quality indicators to conservation management. Can J Soil Sci. 1999;79(1):37–45.
- 67. Tejada M, Hernandez M, Garcia C. Soil restoration using composted plant residues: effects on soil properties. Soil Tillage Res. 2009;102(1):109–17.
- 68. Muhammad I, Yang L, Ahmad S, Farooq S, Al-Ghamdi AA, Khan A, et al. Nitrogen fertilizer modulates plant growth, chlorophyll pigments and enzymatic activities under different irrigation regimes. Agronomy. 2022;12:845.
- 69. Wei T, Zhang P, Wang K, Ding R, Yang B, Nie J, Jia Z, Han Q. Effects of wheat straw incorporation on the availability of soil nutrients and enzyme activities in semiarid areas. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(4):e0120994.
- 70. Grandy AS, Strickland MS, Lauber CL, Bradford MA, Fierer N. The influence of microbial communities, management, and soil texture on soil organic matter chemistry. Geoderma. 2009;150(3–4):278–86.
- 71. Henriksen T, Breland T. Nitrogen availability effects on carbon mineralization, fungal and bacterial growth, and enzyme activities during decomposition of wheat straw in soil. Soil Biol Biochem. 1999;31(8):1121–34.
- 72. Guo T, Zhang Q, Ai C, Liang G, He P, Zhou W. Nitrogen enrichment regulates straw decomposition and its associated microbial community in a doublerice cropping system. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):1847.
- 73. Zhang P, Chen X, Wei T, Yang Z, Jia Z, Yang B, Han Q, Ren X. Effects of straw incorporation on the soil nutrient contents, enzyme activities, and crop yield in a semiarid region of China. Soil Tillage Res. 2016;160:65–72.
- 74. Blanco-Canqui H, Lal R. Crop residue removal impacts on soil productivity and environmental quality. Crit Reviews Plant Sci. 2009;28(3):139–63.
- 75. Li S, Li X, Zhu W, Chen J, Tian X, Shi J. Does straw return strategy influence soil carbon sequestration and labile fractions? Agron J. 2019;111(2):897–906.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.