RESEARCH



Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increased biomass, nutritional value, and cochineal resistance of *Opuntia ficus-indica* plants



Teame Gebrehiwot Kebede^{1,2*}, Emiru Birhane^{1,3,5}, Kiros-Meles Ayimut⁴ and Yemane G. Egziabher⁴

Abstract

Background *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller is dominantly growing on degraded soils in arid and semi-arid areas. The plants might establish a strong association with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) to adapt to nutrient, drought, and herbivore insect stress. The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of AMF inoculations and variable soil water levels (SWA) on the biomass, nutrient concentration, nutritional composition, and nutrient digestibility of the spiny and spineless *O. ficus-indica* by inducing resistance to cochineal stress. One mother *Opuntia ficus-indica* cladode was planted in a single pot in each field with 24 kg mixed soil. AMF inoculums were cultured in sorghum plants in a greenhouse and were inoculated in the planted cladodes. The planted cladodes were arranged using a completely randomized design (CRD) with three factors: AMF (present and absent); *O. ficus-indica* type (spiny and spineless) and four water treatments with 0–25% of plant available soil water (SWA), 25–50% of SWA, 50–75% of SWA, and 75–100% of SWA.

Results Drought stress reduced the below and above-ground biomass, cladode nutrient content, nutritional composition, and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD). AMF colonization significantly increased biomass production with significant changes in the macro and micro-nutrient concentrations of *O. ficus-indica*. AMF inoculation significantly increased the IVDMD and IVOMD of both *O. ficus-indica* types by improving the biomass, organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), and reduced fiber and ash contents. AMF-inoculated cladodes improved the nutrient concentrations of the cladodes. AMF caused an increase in biomass production, increased tolerance to cochineal stress, and improved nutrient concentration, nutritional composition, and nutrient digestibility performance of *O. ficus-indica* plants.

Conclusions AMF improved the performance of the *O. ficus-indica* plant to resist drought and cochineal stress and increased the biomass, nutrient concentration, nutritional composition, and nutrient digestibility. The potential of *O. ficus-indica* to adapt to cochineal stress is controlled by the macro and micro-nutrient concentration brought by the AMF association.

Keywords AMF inoculation, Cochineal stress, Drought stress, Nutrient digestibility, Macro and micro-nutrients

*Correspondence: Teame Gebrehiwot Kebede teame2004@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate dot events in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

The crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants occur in 300 genera belonging to over 16,000 species [1]. and grouped into three subfamilies, namely *Opuntioideae*, *Pereskioideae*, and *Cactoideae* [2, 3]. *Opuntioideae* that has 220–350 species [3] are the largest and differ from all other *Cactaceae* in having glochids and seeds. *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller is an important economic *Opuntioideae* species with delicious fruits and stems used for food and feed [4]. *O. ficus-indica* cladodes are cost-effective feed for ruminant animals because it is fast growing, easy and inexpensive to grow, edible, and able to survive prolonged droughts [5].

Nutrient content, nutritional composition, and digestibility of *O. ficus-indica* vary with age, cultivar types, species, and fertilization [6, 7]. For instance, *O. ficusindica* types with few, short, and thin spines are higher in nutrient contents than long and thick spiny types [6, 8]. However, spiny and spineless types of *O. ficus-indica* are not significantly varied in mineral content and nutritional composition [9]. *O. ficus-indica* grows in the wild with low fertilizer, no irrigation inputs, and soils with low nutrient contents [8].

Animals found in arid and semi-arid zones get waterhydration benefits from feeding on cladodes of cactus [10] and improve their production performances [6]. Cladodes of the plants are high in carbohydrates, dry matter (DM), starch, and calcium [9]; water, ash, and energy [5]. On the other side, the crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), phosphorus (P), and nitrogen (N) content of the plant are low [8, 9, 11]. *O. ficus-indica* has a low CF, CP, P, and N content and high water content, and these limitations can lead to metabolic disorders, low DM intake, nutrient digestibility, diarrhea, and weight loss in animals [12, 13].

Proper interventions on cactus growth can improve the nutrition of cactus for animal feed. [11] studied the effect of silage making by spineless cladodes of Opuntia stricta associated with forages such as Buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris L.), Gliricidia (Gliricidia sepium) and Pornunça (Manihot sp.) on improving CF, CP, and N content, DM intake, and DM digestibility of the silage. Cladodes silagemaking with other forage species had improved intake, digestibility, and nutrient contents. Mixing O. ficus indica with forage legumes can improve the nutrient contents of the feed diets and the silage [14]. O. ficus indica diets mixed with corn maize plants can improve protein stress and improve animal performance [15]. Mixing O. ficus indica diets with tef (Eragrostis tef) straw improves nutrient concentration and nutrient composition [16]. Interventions employed to improve the nutrient content of O. ficus indica help to improve the nutrient concentration, nutrient composition, and nutrient digestibility of the diet from the species. However, these studies focused on the improvement of the nutrient content and digestibility of the *O. ficus indica* plant when mixed with other plant species. *O. ficus indica* silage-making with protein-rich plants also demands inflated costs in terms of energy, sugar, water, labor, and handling [12]. AMF technology can independently improve the biomass and availability of nutrient contents in plants [17, 18]. Improving the nutrient content of plants is important to maximize digestive microbial efficiency and stimulate dry matter digestibility [19].

AMF is a key factor in promoting the primary productivity of plants [20] and nutrient content of woody plants [18, 21]. The role of AMF inoculation on the nutrient composition and nutrient digestibility of spiny and spineless O. ficus indica by inducing cochineal infestation resistance was not studied. O. ficus indica growing in arid and semi-arid areas is challenged by cochineal insects [22, 23]. Cochineal sucks the nutrients and water content of O. ficus-Indica plants [24] and brings poor nutritional quality of the plant and animal starvation effects [25]. In arid and semi-arid areas, interactions between plants and AMF play a significant role in inducing plant resistance to insect stresses and in maintaining the nutrient content of plant communities under insect stress [26]. AMF cochineal interactions in O. ficus-indica plants varied with different environmental factors [23]. Water stress impact on insect performance is complex and difficult, as water stress may have a positive, negative, or neutral effect on the performance of various herbivore insects [27, 28].

In our previous study, we concluded that colonization of O. ficus-indica plants with AMF has a major contribution to improved growth, biomass, and gas exchanges of the species [21]. However, nutrient concentration and nutritional quality improvements of O. ficus-indica through AMF inoculation need further study. A study of the underground process through AMF could help in the nutritional improvement of the O. ficus-indica and its digestible quality in a way that fulfills the needs of the livestock. In this study, we focused on the role of AMF symbiosis in improving the nutrient contents, nutritional values, and digestion of spiny and spineless O. ficusindica under various soil water conditions (SWA). We hypothesized that: (1) mycorrhizal O. ficus-indica shows higher below and above-ground biomass productions, nutritional content, and composition than without AMF; (2) the nutritional content and composition of O. ficusindica cladodes increase with an increase in soil water available; (3) mycorrhizal O. ficus-indica shows higher nutritional content, composition, in vitro digestibility and resistance against cochineal stress than non-mycorrhizal cladodes.

Materials and methods

O. ficus-indica plants were cultured in the greenhouse of the Mekelle agricultural research center in Mekelle City, Tigray, Ethiopia ($13^{\circ}29'N$, $39^{\circ}28'E$; 2000 m a. s. l.) for nearly two years before cochineal inoculation (from 10-Sep- 2019 to 14-Mar- 2021) and one year after cochineal infestation (from 15-Mar- 2021 to 20-Mar- 2022). The greenhouse had mean day/night temperatures of 26 °C/22°C. The mean daily average relative humidity of the greenhouse was 51%.

Growing of O. ficus-indica plants

We collected one-year-old *O. ficus-indica* mother cladodes from the Mekelle research center. The mother cladodes were spiny and spineless. The morphological traits of the cladodes were not significantly varied [21]. We dried the cladodes under a shaded area for four weeks. We planted one air-dried cladode in an upright position in one pot filled with 23.5 kg of autoclaved river pure sand and field soil excavated from the rhizosphere of the *O. ficus-indica* plantation. The clay, silt, and sand soil textures for the potted soils were 12.8%, 7.1%, and 80.1% respectively. The potted soil had pH 7.77, electrical conductivity 0.11 dSm⁻¹, organic carbon 1.04%, total nitrogen 0.7%, available potassium 0.5 mg/100 g soil, and available phosphorous content 1.103 mg/100 g soil.

Rhizosphere soil sampling, AMF spore extraction, cultivation, and application

We excavated soil samples from the rhizosphere of O. ficus-indica plantation following [23] procedures. AMF spore density and AMF genera types were evaluated using the excavated soil samples following [29] procedures. Potting soil was sieved and autoclaved at 121 ^OC for two hours before inoculation. We cultivated AM fungi in pot cultures with Sorghum bicolor as the host plant. The viability of the sorghum seeds was determined before planting. Twelve sorghum seeds were planted in each pot and grown for 60 days. Soil and root samples were collected from each pot culture for further determination of AMF root colonization and spore density. Spore density and AMF root colonization were determined using a compound microscope with 400x magnification. The average spore density and AM fungi root colonization of the Sorghum bicolor plants 60 days after planting was 198.8 spore 100 g⁻¹soil and 99.21% respectively and these values were used to determine the weight of AMF inoculum added to the experimental pot. The fractional AMF root colonization and spore density from the AMF-inoculated Sorghum bicolor plants were determined and then the fungal inoculum and microbial wash were added to the center of each pot planted with mother cladodes [21]. The number of spores added to the center of each pot were close to 400 spores (198.8 spores \times 201 g 100 g⁻¹ soil). Fungal inoculums were composed of a mixture of soil, spores, and root fragments, and 201 g of inoculum added to the center of each pot of the mother cladode. To mimic the optimum rhizosphere ecosystem and increase the AMF performance, 300 mL microbial wash created through the extraneous extraction solution (without spores) from fungi inoculums was added to the center of each pot. Adding 400 numbers of spores and 300 mL microbial wash is recommended for improved performance of plants and positive protection of insects [30].

Greenhouse experimental design and treatments

In this study, a three-factorial experiment with two levels of AMF (presence and absence), two *O. ficus-indica* type (spiny and spineless), and four levels of plant available soil water (SWA), 0–25% of SWA representing T1, 25–50% of SWA representing a T2, 50–75% of SWA representing a T3, and 75–100% of SWA representing a T4 [21]. We arranged the treatments in a greenhouse workbench in a completely randomized design with seven replications giving a total of 112 *O. ficus indica* plants. In this study, an additional 12 controlled experimental pots filled with the same amount of mixed soil as the mother cladode planted pots were prepared to determine the exact percent of SWA [21] and we calculated the amount of water added to the planted pots following [31].

Collecting ovipositing females, culturing, and inoculating crawler

We detached ovipositing females from cochineal-infested *O. ficus-indica* plants using a brush and collected in plastic jars [32]. The small female and male cochineals, crawlers, and dusty waxes were separated from the female cochineal by sieving. We cultured the alienated ovipositing females in plastic jars and kept them in a shaded area for 72 h. We produced enough crawlers for the treatments and sixty crawlers inoculated to the two sides of the treated cladodes on March 15/2021 following [33].

Mycorrhizal root colonization analysis

The presence or absence of arbuscules, vesicles, and hyphae were used to assess mycorrhizal root colonization following the [34] method. We preserved the root samples collected from the *O. ficus-indica* plants in plastic jars filled with 50% ethanol [23, 34]. We chopped the collected root samples into 1 cm and treated them with 10% KHO solution in a heat-resistant jar. The treated roots were autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min [29]. The roots were bleached and cleaned after washing in 10% H₂O₂ for about 15 min and acidified with 2% HCl for about 1 h at room temperature. We stained the roots in a mix of 0.05% trypan blue (5:1:1 lactic acid: glycerol: distilled water ratio). We washed the stained roots and immersed

them in 50% glycerol for 1-2 h, for further de-staining and preservation. Afterward, the magnified gridline intersect method was used to determine the fractional AMF root colonization [29, 34].

Biomass production

We uprooted the *O. ficus-indica* plants from the pots carefully. We carefully removed the roots from the uprooted plants to determine the below-ground biomass. Total biomass, shoot, and root biomass were determined by harvesting the whole plant. We then calculated both fresh and dry root-to-shoot ratio yields per plant. We washed the roots cut from *O. ficus-indica* thoroughly using water, cleaned them with a clean cloth to remove soil and then weighed using a sensitive balance. We recorded individual fresh weights for root and cladodes per plant. The roots and cladodes of *O. ficus-indica* were oven-dried at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 and 72 h, respectively, until constant dry weight was achieved [31] and dry matter (g/ kg fresh cladode of plants) was measured [35].

Dry matter =
$$\frac{\text{Fresh weight} - \text{Dry weight}}{\text{Fresh weight}} \times 100\%$$

Nutritional composition analysis

Before analysis, the grounded samples passed through a one mm sieve. We weighed three samples per treatment and stored them in sealed plastic bottles for laboratory analysis. The ash contents of the samples were determined by taking two grams of milled sub-samples and burned at 550 °C for three hours and we calculated the organic matter content by subtracting the percentage of ash from 100 [35]. The total nitrogen (N) content of all samples was determined by the Kjeldahl procedure. We calculated the crude protein content by multiplying the 6.25 factors with the nitrogen content of the cladodes [35]. The acid detergent fiber (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL), and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) content of samples were determined following the procedures of [36] by using filter-bag (Ankom^{*} Technology, # F57).

In vitro digestibility

In vitro true dry matter digestibility (IVTDMD) and in vitro true organic matter digestibility (IVTOMD) are laboratory tests used as plant quality indexes for animal feed by animal nutritionists [37]. The rumen fluid was collected from three fistulated male sheep fat-ramped type (*Borona*) breed animals. The animals were fed twice a day with a basal diet combination of grass hay, agroindustrial by-products (wheat bran and molasses), and *O.ficus-indica* (chopped cladodes) based on their daily requirements. These basal diets were fed for seven days. Water was provided adlibitum. The rumen fluid was collected from the trial animals with a thermostat holding hot water at 39 °C. The fluid was added to the thermostat, after removing the water. IVTDMD and IVTOMD of all samples were determined by ANKOM (2008) Technology -DAISYII incubator using 0.25 g dried samples of *O. ficus-indica* passed through a one mm sieve size for IVTDMD analysis. The method includes two consecutive digestion phases. Plant materials were incubated under anaerobic conditions with rumen microorganisms for 48 h at 39 °C followed by a 24-hour acid-pepsin digestion phase at 39 °C during the first digestion phase. Residual plant materials were collected and oven-dried (105 °C for 12 h) after 72-hour incubation.

Plant nutrient analysis

After calculating the dry weight of the plants, we cut plant samples into pieces using a sharp knife. We washed the cladodes cut from the O. ficus-indica plants thoroughly with distilled water and cleaned them with clean clothes to remove dust, cochineal, and cochineal products. The cut plants were dried. We crushed the dry cladode samples with a mortal and packed the resulting powder for the analysis of nutritional concentration, nutritional composition, and in vitro true dry matter digestibility. Nutritional concentration parameters: phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N), potassium (K), and calcium (Ca) were determined using an ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry analyzer at Mekelle University Geology Laboratory and total magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and zinc (Zn) using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) at Ezana Analytical laboratory PLC, Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia. A triplicate of approximately five grams of the O. ficus-indica powder was placed into a 250 mL conical flask: 5 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄ was added following the addition of 25 mL of concentrated HNO₃ and 5 mL of concentrated HCl. We heated the mixtures at 200 ^OC for 1 h in a fuming hood and then cooled to room temperature. Then, 20 mL of distilled water was added, and we filtered the mixture using filter paper to complete the digestion of organic matter. Lastly, we transferred the mixture to a 50 mL volumetric flask, filled it to mark, and let it settle for at least 15 h. We analyzed Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn using the resultant supernatant using [38].

Statistical analysis

We analyzed the data for the root and cladode fresh weight, root and cladode dry weight, root/cladode ratio, DM, CP, ADF, NDF, ADL, and ash IVDMD content using the analyses of variance using SPSS 2016 software. Variations of AMF in *O. ficus-indica* daughter cladodes traits were evaluated using a three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Fractional root colonization of AMF in plant roots was evaluated using two-way ANOVA. We performed Gabriel *post hoc* test for unequal sample size and least significant difference (LSD) for the main effect comparison after checking for the normality of the distribution of the data. We estimated variability using standard errors and we used LSD (α =0.05) to compare means at *P*<0.05.

Results

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi colonization

Water treatment significantly affected the AMF root colonization (Table 1; Fig. 1). Root colonization significantly increased with increased drought stress. AMF root colonization was absent in non-AMF plants (Table 1). Presences of spines were not significant sources of variation.

Biomass production

AMF-inoculation and SWA significantly affected fresh mass, dry mass, and root/cladode ratio of *O. ficus-indica* plants (Table 1; Fig. 2). There was a significant interaction among *O. ficus-indica* type × AMF, *O. ficus-indica* type × SWA, AMF × SWA, and *O. ficus-indica* type × AMF × SWA in all biomass parameters. In this study, we observed that the below-ground interaction (*O. ficus-indica* type × AMF × SWA) affected the below and above-ground biomass of *O. ficus-indica* plants. Because the main inputs of the biomass of *O. ficus-indica* per pot is based on the effect of AMF accounts for the plant performance variance attributable to the difference between mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal *O. ficus-indica* plants.

Nutritional composition

The presence of spines in the cladodes did not have a significant effect on the nutritional compositions of the O. ficus-indica cladodes (Table 2). AMF and SWA had a significant effect on the nutritional compositions of the plants. Dry matter, organic matter, and crude protein content were significantly higher in AMF O. ficus-indica than in non-AMF O. ficus-indica plants. Ash content, ADF, ADL, and NDF were lower in AMF O. ficus-indica plants (Table 2; Fig. 3). An increase in SWA levels significantly increased the dry matter, organic matter, and crude protein content of the cladodes while decreased the Ash content, ADF, ADL, and NDF. O. ficus-indica type \times AMF interactions significantly affected the nutritional composition in cladode ash content, OM, CP, ADF, ADL, and NDF (Table 2). The interactions between SWA and O. ficus-indica type significantly affected the DM, ash, OM, ADF, and ADL of daughter cladodes. O. *ficus-indica* type \times AMF \times SWA interaction significantly affected DM, ash, OM, CP, ADF, ADL, and NDF.

In vitro digestibility

We assessed the effect of *O. ficus-indica* type, mycorrhiza, and plant-soil water available and their interaction on change in vitro dry matter (IVDMD) and in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) of *O. ficus-indica* cladodes after exposed to cochineal attack for twelve months. We found that AMF and SWA significantly affected the digestibility of cladodes (Table 2; Fig. 3). The non-mycorrhizal *O. ficus-indica* cladodes were lower in both IVDMD and IVOMD. There was significant interaction for *O. ficus-indica* type × AMF, AMF × SWA, and *O. ficus-indica* type × AMF × SWA for IVDMD and IVOMD.

Nutrient contents

The P, N, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn nutrient concentrations in cladodes were significantly affected by AMF presence and SWA but not by O. ficus-indica types (Table 3; Fig. 4). The nutrient concentrations in cladodes were significantly higher for AMF-inoculated O. ficus-indica plants. Nutrient concentrations of the cladodes were increased with an increase in SWA levels. O. ficus-indica type was not a significant source of variation for nutrient concentrations. The P, Ca, Mg, Mn, and Zn concentrations were significantly affected by the interaction between O. ficus-indica type and SWA. All micronutrients (Fe, Mg, and Zn) were significantly affected by the interaction of O. *ficus-indica* type and SWA. AMF \times SWA interaction significantly affected both macro and micronutrient concentration. O. ficus-indica type \times AMF × SWA interaction significantly affected the nutrient concentrations except N and K.

Discussion

Our study supported the hypothesis that mycorrhizal O. ficus-indica shows higher below and above-ground biomass productions, nutritional content, and composition than without AMF. We observed a positive effect of mycorrhizal symbiosis on the below and above-ground biomass increment of O. ficus-indica under greenhouse conditions using spiny and spineless cladodes inoculated with AMF. Increased below and above-ground biomass in AMF plants compared to non-mycorrhizal ones reported for other species [18, 39]. The greater plant biomass measured from treatments inoculated with AMF could be due to enhanced nutrient uptake due to increased root surface area [40]. [21] reported that AMF inoculations enhance the biomass of spiny and spineless O. ficusindica plants through improved growth, photosynthetic water use efficiency, and photosynthesis. [39] reported a positive mycorrhizal effect on the biomass of Boswellia papyrifera seedlings over control seedlings due to significantly improved growth, gas exchange, and P nutrition.

AMF inoculation caused a significant impact on biomass by the resistance of AMF to cochineal insects. Similarly, there are studies on enhanced biomass production of plants under insect stress due to AMF inoculation [23, 26, 30]. It is important to note that the above-ground biomass of the non-AMF-inoculated plants that was severely destroyed by the cochineal could have been altered by the

	Biomass (g)							Root length c	olonization (%)	
	RFM	CFM	FR: FC	RDM	CDM	DR: CR	DM	H	AC	٨C
yunic	148.08±16.89a	1190.03±107.88a	0.110±0.00a	68.45±6.96a	324.04±34.42a	0.235±0.01a	75.00±0.89a	35.35±4.99a	18.91±2.68a	15.87±2.37a
oineless	158.89±17.81a	1317.71±114.34a	0.11±0.003a	75.06±7.58a	351.26±37.24a	0.239±0.01a	75.88±1.01a	36.01±5.04a	19.53±2.71a	16.17±2.4a
	0.051	0.132	0.733	0.279	0.615	0.506	0.720	0.101	0.156	0.079
	0.660	0.418	0.556	0.522	0.583	0.804	0.521	0.860	0.641	0.831
esence	245.33±15.47a	1923.8±76.86a	0.03 ± 0a	102.31±7.61a	540.71.8 ± 29.05a	0.17±0.01b	78.12±0.45a	69.44±2.22a	37.4±1.17a	31.19±1.48a
bsence	56.36±4.2b	544.78±29.8b	0.02±0b	39.41 ± 2.94b	123.56±7.91b	0.30±0a	72.76±1b	0b	0b	0b
	363.136	393.818	6.592	134.042	225.723	0.642	16.654	130.069	170.062	225.942
	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
_	68.82±21.09b	855.41±144.85b	0.08±0d	22.30±7.47b	163.81±45.47b	0.18±0.013b	72.64±0.83b	47.86±7.08a	25.57±3.81a	23.5±3.27a
<u> </u>	95.38±20.7b	$891.08 \pm 142.14b$	0.10±03c	45.82±7.33b	251.1±44.62b	0.22±0.013b	73.59±1.1b	40.33±6.95a	22.07±3.74b	19.945±3.21a
~	200.9±20.32a	1545.1±139.58a	0.12±0b	97.37±7.2a	437.37±43.82a	0.26±0.012a	75.14±1.55b	33.33±6.82b	17.43±3.67bc	13.69±3.15b
, t	239.58±20.33a	1680.1±140.01a	0.13±0a	116.96±2.8a	483.69±43.82a	0.28±0.012a	80.39±0.34a	22.22±2.8b	12.35±3.67c	7.63±3.15c
	15.669	9.254	82.679	36.121	11.553	12.551	10.894	32.000	43.108	77.758
	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	23.374	38.805	5.073	14.110	28.681	38.869	3.143	I	ı	I
	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.008	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.053	ı	ı	I
	6.590	4.445	20.111	14.549	6.630	232.894	3.419	9.625	10.924	8.830
	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.011	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	409.938	128.158	483.417	577.165	260.174	19.049	73.238	ı	ı	I
	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			1
	28.887	24.090	32.365	45.545	27.898	4.598	2.660	39.472	36.145	24.841
	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.044	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
ne letter i nass, DR: 1	in the same columr CR: Dry root to clac	n are not significantly (dode ratio, DM: Dry mé	different at $P < 0.1$ atter, HC: Hyphal	05 level, mean±SI Colonization, AC	EM. Note: RFM: Root . Arbuscular Coloniza	fresh mass, CFM: ⁽ ation, VC: Vesicula	Cladode fresh ma r Colonization ar	ass, FR: CR: Fresh nd T1: 0 to 25%, T	root to cladode rai 2: 25 to 50%, T3: 5	io, RDM: Root dry 0 to 75%, T4: 75 to
	iny esence osence 	 Inty 148.08±16.89a bineless 158.89±17.81a 0.051 0.060 esence 245.33±15.47a 0.56.001 0.66.0 233.136 <0.001 68.82±21.09b 68.82±21.09b 95.38±20.7b 56.90 95.38±20.7b 233.74 <0.001 15.669 <0.001 233.74 <0.001 <0.001 233.74 <0.001 <0.001 233.74 <0.001 <0.001 233.74 <0.001 <0.001 23.374 <0.001 <0.001 23.374 <0.001 <0.00	miny 148.08 ± 16.89a 1190.03 ± 10.188 bineless 158.89 ± 17.81a 1317.71 ± 114.34a 0.051 0.132 0.132 0.660 0.418 1318.771 ± 114.34a 0.651 0.132 0.660 0.418 esence 245.33 ± 15.47a 1923.8 ± 76.86a 363.136 0.418 533.412b 544.78 ± 29.8b 363.136 53.34.2b 544.78 ± 29.8b 363.136 393.818 < 0.001	miny 148.08 ± 16.89a 119.003 ± 107.088a 0.110 ± 0.003 sineless 158.89 ± 17.81a 131.7.71 ± 114.34a 0.11 ± 0.0033 0.051 0.132 0.556 0.556 esence 245.33 ± 15.47a 192.38 ± 76.86a 0.03 ± 0a 0.660 0.418 0.556 0.02 ± 0b 0.551 0.418 0.02 ± 0b 544.78 ± 29.8b 0.02 ± 0b 0.513.136 393.818 6.592 0.02 ± 0b 0.513.136 393.818 6.592 0.02 ± 0b 0.513.136 393.818 6.592 0.02 ± 0b 0.0001 68.82 ± 21.09b 855.41 ± 144.85b 0.08 ± 0d 0.0001 68.82 ± 20.33a 1545.1 ± 139.58a 0.112 ± 0b 0.001 68.02 ± 0.033 168.01 ± 140.01a 0.13 ± 0a 15.669 9.254 82.679 6.001 6.0001 15.669 9.254 83.805 5.073 6.001 15.669 9.254 82.679 6.001 6.001 233.54 6.001 6.001 6.001 6.001 233.54 23.345	miny 148.08 ± 16.89a 1190.03 ± 10/.08a 0.110 ± 0.00a 68.45 ± 6.96a oineless 158.89 ± 17.81a 1317.71 ± 114.34a 0.11 ± 0.003a 75.06 ± 7.58a 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.279 0.0660 0.418 0.7556 0.522 0.522 esence 245.33 ± 15.47a 192.38 ± 76.86a 0.03 ± 0a 102.31 ± 7.61a 0.660 0.418 0.754 0.522 0.522 0.601 0.418 0.33 ± 0a 102.31 ± 7.61a 0.551.36 ± 4.2b 544.78 ± 29.8b 0.02 ± 0b 39.41 ± 2.94b 0.6001 <0.001	Inty 14808±16.89a 119003±10/88a 0.110±0.00a 68.43±6.96a 324.04±34.42a pineless 158.89±17.81a 1317.71±114.34a 0.11±0.003a 75.06±7.58a 351.26±37.24a 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.050 0.418 0.555 0.522 0.583 0.660 0.418 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.660 0.418 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.660 0.418 0.734 0.733 0.522 0.583 363.136 393.818 6.592 192.31±7.61a 540.718±2.9.05a 363.136 393.818 6.592 10.231±7.61a 540.718±2.9.05a 363.136 393.818 6.592 134.042 225.723 56.30 56.31±142.14b 0.10±0.03 250±7.45b 163.6454.75b 1 23358±2.0.73a 184.042	mity 148.00±16.89a 1190.03±10/88a 0.110±0.003a 68.45±6.96a 324.04±344.43 0.235±001a 0.051 0.132 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.506 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.506 0.0560 0.418 0.556 0.523 0.506 0.594 0.560 0.418 0.556 0.523 0.505 0.506 0.561±4.2b 544.78±298b 0.021±0b 39.41±2.94b 123.56±7.91b 0.30±0a 0.551.365±4.2b 544.78±2.98b 0.022±0b 39.41±2.94b 123.56±7.91b 0.30±0a 0.551.365±3.156 544.78±2.93b 0.022±0.01 30.230±0.013 0.642 0.551.36±7.010 891.08±14.214b 0.10±0.32 45.82±7.33b 2.51±4.462b 0.30±0.013 0.5669 92.53±2.033 880.10±14.001a 0.11±0.002 2.0001 0.012 15.669 9.254 131.66±2.83 3.63.54±3.83 0.642 15.669 95.54±144.485b 0.08±04 2.00	min 148.08±16.89a 119003±10.78a 0.110±0.003a 68.45±6.96a 3.44.04±3.442a 0.111±0.003a 7.500±1803 7.500±1803 7.500±1803 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.279 0.615 0.556 0.720 0.720 0.051 0.132 0.733 0.733 0.7556 0.555 0.720 0.720 0.720 0.660 0.418 0.11±0.003a 7.506±7.58a 0.71±0.010 7.518±1.01a 0.5556 0.5556 0.5556 0.555 0.555 0.556 0.521 0.661 0.03140 0.03±0a 10.231±7.61a 544.78±29.68a 0.526 0.556 0.556 0.556 0.564 0.576 0.564 0.576 0.564 0.576 0.564 0.561 0.564 0.561 16654 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.564 0.561 1659 0.564 </td <td>micro 6843-659a 32404-3442a 0.2355-001-038 5.535449a 5.53449a sinelss 158.89±17.81 1317.71±114.34 0.110_0003 5664±758a 0.235 0.0101 7586±101a 5601±5.04a 0.051 0.132 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.113 0.113 0.110 0.011 0.660 0.418 0.556 0.525 0.583 0.660 0.113 0.600 0.0101 0.0101 sence 5534±1574a 19238±7686a 0.03±0a 102.31±7.51a 540.718±29.05a 0.17±0.01b 781.2±0.45a 694.4±2.22a sence 5536±2.01b 0.344.422 0.23.30±7.47b 153.56±7.91b 0.3642 16.44.2.22a sence 5636±4.2b 544.7±4.45b 0.021 6.0001 6.0001 6.0001 6.001 sente 5536±2.01b 853.4±14.44.85b 0.08±04 2.23.2±2.48 0.334.685b 0.434.65b 0.44.455b 0.3334.685b 0.334.685b sente 5538±2.01b 853.6±4.482b 0.12±0.01b</td> <td>My 148.08±16.58a 31.01-0003 65.45.65a 3.4.04±44.42a 0.110 0.500 0.555 1.50.15.6043 19.31.27.11a 0.551 0.132 0.132 0.325 0.566 0.720 0.101 0.153 15.32.5.2.171a 0.556 0.556 0.522 0.583 351.5.6±7.74a 0.239±0.013 5601.5.04a 19.33.2.7.11a 0.560 0.418 0.556 0.522 0.583 351.5.4.74a 0.239±0.013 75.8±1.01a 5601.5.04a 19.33.2.7.11a 0.560 0.418 0.556 0.522 0.583 0.506 0.720 0.516 0.744.1.17a 0.561 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.031 7.575.119 0.544.2.223 37.4.1.17a 0.561 5.534.4.2b 5.43.754.56a 0.32.4.42b 5.43.754.563 0.574.4.1.7a 15.354.571 0.560 1.91.6.6.74 10.01010 7.814.4.62b 0.384.4.4.52b 15.444.1.7a 15.744.1.7a 0.588.2.1014 0.50101 0.10101 0.101010<!--</td--></td>	micro 6843-659a 32404-3442a 0.2355-001-038 5.535449a 5.53449a sinelss 158.89±17.81 1317.71±114.34 0.110_0003 5664±758a 0.235 0.0101 7586±101a 5601±5.04a 0.051 0.132 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.113 0.113 0.110 0.011 0.660 0.418 0.556 0.525 0.583 0.660 0.113 0.600 0.0101 0.0101 sence 5534±1574a 19238±7686a 0.03±0a 102.31±7.51a 540.718±29.05a 0.17±0.01b 781.2±0.45a 694.4±2.22a sence 5536±2.01b 0.344.422 0.23.30±7.47b 153.56±7.91b 0.3642 16.44.2.22a sence 5636±4.2b 544.7±4.45b 0.021 6.0001 6.0001 6.0001 6.001 sente 5536±2.01b 853.4±14.44.85b 0.08±04 2.23.2±2.48 0.334.685b 0.434.65b 0.44.455b 0.3334.685b 0.334.685b sente 5538±2.01b 853.6±4.482b 0.12±0.01b	My 148.08±16.58a 31.01-0003 65.45.65a 3.4.04±44.42a 0.110 0.500 0.555 1.50.15.6043 19.31.27.11a 0.551 0.132 0.132 0.325 0.566 0.720 0.101 0.153 15.32.5.2.171a 0.556 0.556 0.522 0.583 351.5.6±7.74a 0.239±0.013 5601.5.04a 19.33.2.7.11a 0.560 0.418 0.556 0.522 0.583 351.5.4.74a 0.239±0.013 75.8±1.01a 5601.5.04a 19.33.2.7.11a 0.560 0.418 0.556 0.522 0.583 0.506 0.720 0.516 0.744.1.17a 0.561 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.031 7.575.119 0.544.2.223 37.4.1.17a 0.561 5.534.4.2b 5.43.754.56a 0.32.4.42b 5.43.754.563 0.574.4.1.7a 15.354.571 0.560 1.91.6.6.74 10.01010 7.814.4.62b 0.384.4.4.52b 15.444.1.7a 15.744.1.7a 0.588.2.1014 0.50101 0.10101 0.101010 </td



Fig. 1 Effect of the interaction of *O. ficus-indica* type (spine and spineless), Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF+, AMF-), and plant-soil water available (SWA) on fractional AMF root colonization HC=hyphal colonization, AC=arbuscular colonization, VC=vesicular colonization, and T1=0 to 25%, T2=25 to 50%, T3=50 to 75%, T4=75 to 100% of plant available soil water. Values indicate Mean ± SEM

sucking nutrients of the plant; therefore, we observed a strong difference in macro and micro-nutrients between the AMF-inoculated and controlled plants (Table 3; Fig. 4).

The biomass production significantly reduced with increased drought stress on both spiny and spineless plant types. Reduced biomass production of the plant in response to low levels of SWA has been well documented [21] and observed in CAM plants [41, 42]. This reduced biomass production performance is due to reductions in overall *O. ficus-indica* morpho-physiological performance [21]. However, AMF-inoculated plants were better adapted to drought stress than controlled plants. Similarly, [43] and [13] found that AMF-inoculated plants better induce tolerance to drought stress and improve biomass production than non-mycorrhizal plants.

For the first time, to our knowledge, we investigated the impact of AMF inoculation, SWA, and *O. ficus-indica* type on *O. ficus-indica* performance under nutrient-sucking cochineal insect stress. AMF inoculations effectively reduced the impact of cochineal on the *O. ficus-indica* biomass, nutrients, and national performance, while the SWA and types were not related to the cochineal impact. These responses are likely linked to changes in plant nutrients [32]. However, under cochineal-stressed conditions, the two- and three-way ANOVA interaction results showed that AMF, SWA, and types affected the plant performance measured parameters. In contrast to our observations, drought stress can only negatively affect the performance of western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis (Thysanoptera: Thripidae*), on tomatoes [44].

Our finding supported the hypothesis that the nutritional content and composition of *O. ficus-indica* cladodes increase with the increase in the amount of soil water available. Nutritional composition decreased with an increase in drought stress (Table 2). These results are consistent with the findings of [6], who investigated



Fig. 2 Effect of the interaction of *O. ficus-indica* type (spine and spineless), Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF+, AMF-), and plant-soil water available (SWA) on biomass production T1 = 0 to 25%, T2 = 25 to 50%, T3 = 50 to 75%, T4 = 75 to 100% of plant soil water available. Values indicate Mean ± SEM

the effects of water stress on the chemical composition of spiny (*Opuntia amyclae*) and spineless (*Opuntia ficus indica f. inermis*) cactus cladodes. The result of this study showed that OM, CP, ADF, and lignin content were higher for water-stressed plants than for non-stressed plants. However, DM content was higher in non-stressed than water-stressed plants.

As hypothesized, we found higher IVDMD and IVOMD in the mycorrhizal *O. ficus-indica* cladode types than in non-mycorrhizal cladodes with the resistance of drought and cochineal stresses. These results can relate to the significant impact of AMF inoculation on the increment in weight, nutritive value, and nutritional composition (CP and OM) of the plant (Table 2). IVDMD and IVOMD in the *O. ficus-indica* cladode increased with an increase in biomass, nutritive value, and nutritional composition (CP and OM) and a reduction in fiber content, consistent with the findings of [16] of the

plant. CAM plans have poor nutrient digestibility due to the low contents of crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), phosphorus (P), and nitrogen (N) [8, 9, 11]. However, AMF inoculation technology in this study improved the nutrient digestibility and improved the limited nutrient contents of *O. ficus-indica* plants.

Conclusions

O. ficus-indica plants colonized by AMF improved the nutritional composition, nutrient digestibility, and mineral concentration by resisting cochineal and drought stress. AMF caused an increase in nutrient digestibility, which was related to the improvement of OM, CP, P, and N contents. A decrease in Ash, ADF, ADL, and NDF content improved IVOMD and IVDMD digestibility of the mycorrhizal *O. ficus-indica* plants. Cochineal stress brought low biomass production in the non-mycorrhizal plants. AMF-colonized *O. ficus-indica* plants inoculated

Factors		Composition (%						Digestibility (%)	
		Ash	MO	Ð	ADF	ADL	NDF	IVDMD	IVOMD
Types	Spiny	23.33±2.85a	76.67±2.85a	4.13±0.64a	39.84±3.23a	14.35±1.53a	61.61±4.27a	50.55±4.41a	56.31 ±4.4a
	Spineless	21.84±2.83a	78.16±2.83a	4.13±0.64a	38.83±3.23a	14.11±1.52a	60.87±4.23a	52.88±4.39a	58.17±4.39a
	F	0.135	0.135	0.006	0.041	0.056	0.001	0.001	0.002
	Ρ	0.855	0.855	0.848	0.801	0.875	0.896	0.992	0.998
AMF	Presence	11.46±1.27b	6.48±0.56a	88.54a	26.18±1.97b	9.24±1.15b	43.45±2.45b	68.92±3.44a	75.53±3.02a
	Absence	33.71 ±1.95a	$1.78 \pm 0.10b$	66.29b	52.49±1.64a	19.22±1.1a	79.03±0.03a	34.51±1.01b	38.96±0.77b
	F	11.535	11.535	114.153	8.261	5.486	12.246	97.982	126.590
	Ρ	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
SWA	T1	31.16±3.8a	68.84±3.8b	6.26±1.16a	49.19±3.8a	19.96±1.43a	73.63±4.5a	37.67±2.96c	45.17±3.09c
	Τ2	28.41±3.78a	71.59±3.78b	4.94±0.89ab	46.49±3.7ab	19.19±1.48a	68.27±5.37ab	45.57±3.84abc	52.71 ±4.7abc
	T3	18.65±3.12ab	81.35±3.12ab	3.16±0.47bc	32.26±3.88b	9.29±1.53b	53.6±5.45ab	59.54±6.87a	63.67 ± 7.34abc
	Τ4	$12.13 \pm 1.9b$	87.87±2.83a	$2.15 \pm 0.32 bc$	29.39±4.5b	8.48 ± 1.58b	49.47±6.14b	64.08±7.14a	67.42±6.91a
	F	6.653	6.653	5.465	6.242	16.844	4.577	4.925	3.101
	Ρ	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	< 0.001	0.007	0.005	0.036
Types × AMF	F	13.805	18.805	1.520	13.498	7.228	14.521	13.643	15.884
	Ρ	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.221	< 0.001	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Types × SWA	F	2.783	0.029	1.096	2.557	0.042	2.273	1.463	2.273
	Ρ	2.783	0.029	0.338	5.768	< 0.001	0.110	0.222	0.065
AMF \times SWA	F	36.241	36.241	282.885	47.338	81.661	41.772	57.161	100.324
	Ρ	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Types \times AMF \times SWA	F	10.423	10.423	1.520	9.987	14.176	8.767	8.812	11.091
	Ρ	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.203	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Means followed by the sine untertained by the sine of the sine of the second	ame letter in the (DMD: in vitro dry	same column are not : v matter digestibility. [significantly different a VOMD: In vitro drv mati	t P<0.05 level, mean ter digestibility and T	±SEM. Note: OM: org 1: 0 to 25%. T2: 25 to 5	anic matter, CP: crude 50%. T3: 50 to 75%. T4:	protein, ADF: acid de: : 75 to 100% of plant se	tergent fiber, ADL: acid oil water available	detergent lignin, NDF:
				· 5· 5 / 11/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/25/		······································			

Kebede et al. BMC Plant Biology (202

(2024) 24:706



Fig. 3 Effect of the interaction of *O. ficus-indica* type (spine and spineless), Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF+, AMF-), and plant-soil water available (SWA) on nutritional composition and digestibility: OM=organic matter, CP=crude protein, ADF=acid detergent fiber, ADL=acid detergent lignin, NDF=neutral detergent fiber, IVDMD=in vitro dry matter digestibility, IVOMD=in vitro dry matter digestibility, and T1=0 to 25%, T2=25 to 50%, T3=50 to 75%, T4=75 to 100% of plant available soil water. Values indicate Mean±SEM

with cochineal had better nutrient contents. AMF has interacted with decreasing levels of SWA. Thus, mycorrhizal *O. ficus-indica* plants with low levels of SWA increased their benefits for drought stress. AMF *O. ficusindica* plants should be colonized by AMF to improve nutrient concentration, nutritional composition, and nutrient digestibility by resisting cochineal and drought stress.

Types Spiny Spinel						Micro-nutrients	((mg/100DW)	
Types Spiny Spine' F	z	٩	×	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Zn
Spinel	0.84±0.02a	1.14±0.25a	1.63±0.32a	2.59±0.58a	1.01±0.21a	0.2±0.06a	0.1±0.02a	0.68±0.23a
4	ess 0.09±0.02a	1.15±0.25a	1.2±0.23a	2.12±0.49a	1.02±0.22a	0.28±0.08a	0.1±0.03a	0.75±0.25a
-	0.006	0.018	0.061	1.647	0.002	1.527	0.000	0.281
Δ	0.848	0.932	0.744	0.535	0.993	0.424	0.883	0.840
AMF Preser	ice 0.14±0.02a	2.24±0.15a	2.57±0.2a	4.47±0.44a	1.85±0.18a	0.46±0.08a	0.18±0.3a	1.41±0.27a
Abser	ce 0.03±0b	0.04±0.01b	$0.25 \pm 0.06b$	0.23±0.02b	$0.18 \pm 0.01 b$	0.02±0b	0.02 ± 0b	$0.02 \pm 0.4b$
F	114.153	373.042	132.525	152.230	207.983	153.328	102.302	183.751
Α	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
SWA T1	$0.03 \pm 0.01b$	$0.55 \pm 0.16b$	$0.65 \pm 0.18b$	0.97±0.25b	$0.51 \pm 0.13b$	$0.03 \pm 0.01 b$	0.01 ± 0c	$0.02 \pm 0.01 b$
12	$0.05 \pm 0.01b$	1.09±0.32ba	1.29±0.38ab	1.71±0.47b	0.6±0.14b	0.12±0.03ab	0.06±0.01bc	0.08±0.03b
T3	0.1±0.02ab	1.39±0.4a	1.57±0.45ab	3.19±0.91a	1.41 ± 0.36a	0.37±0.1ab	0.15 ±0.07ab	1.3±0.45a
Т4	0.16±0.01a	1.54±0.44a	2.14±0.43a	3.54±0.96a	1.53±0.38a	0.44±0.14a	0.2±0.1a	1.45±0.51a
F	14.099	39.362	57.183	2.923	3.602	4.728	7.963	7.064
Δ	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.044	0.021	0.006	< 0.001	0.001
Types × AMF	1.520	15.623	1.969	8.037	11.086	2.013	4.767	3.334
q	0.221	< 0.001	0.164	0.001	< 0.001	0.145	0.013	0.045
Types × SWA F	1.096	17.710	1.962	2.238	1.439	2.883	3.339	2.965
Δ	0.338	< 0.001	0.146	0.068	0.230	0.025	0.013	0.022
AMF \times SWA F	282.885	390.091	67.495	224.930	103.658	18.316	224.126	304.750
Α	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Types × AMF × SWA F	1.520	7.863	0.826	9.230	7.752	6.531	9.469	7.909
Δ	0.203	< 0.001	0.512	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Page 11 of 14



Fig. 4 Effect of the interaction of *O. ficus-indica* type (spine and spineless), Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF+, AMF-), and plant-soil water available (SWA) on nutrient composition: N = nitrogen, P = phosphorus, K = potassium, Ca = calcium, Mg = Magnesium, Fe = Iron, Mn = Manganese, Zn = Zinc, and T1 = 0 to 25%, T2 = 25 to 50%, T3 = 50 to 75%, T4 = 75 to 100% of plant available soil water. Values indicate Mean ± SEM

Acknowledgements

We thank Mekelle University Departments of Land Resource Management and Environmental Protection (LaRMEP) and Geology for their permission to do Laboratory analysis in their respective laboratories. We thank the staff of the Ezana Analytical Laboratory PLC, Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia.

Author contributions

T.G.K. and E.B. Conceptualization the idea, and performed the experiments; T.G.K. expresses the data collection and analysis, and writing - original draft manuscript; E. B., K-M.A. and Y.G. provided guidance and supervision during the experiments and manuscript writing. All authors have read and approved the manuscript for publication.

Funding

This work was supported by the Ethiopia Ministry of Education and Institute of International Education-Scholars Rescue Fund (IIE-SRF), the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), the Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resource Management (MINA), and the NORGLOBAL 2 project "Towards a climate-smart policy and management framework for conservation and use of dry forest ecosystem services and resources in Ethiopia [grant number: 303600]" for supporting the research stay of Emiru Birhane at NMBU.

Data availability

The relevant datasets supporting the results of this article are available with the authors and will be included within the article link at the respective time for the publication process.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We declare that all the experimental AMF inoculums, cochineal, and plants were collected with permission from local authorities of the agricultural office, and all experimental studies on plants complied with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Land Resource Management and Environmental Protection, College of Dryland Agriculture and Natural Resource, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia

 ²Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Environmental Science, Adigrat University, Adigrat, Ethiopia
 ³Institute of Climate and Society, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia
 ⁴Department of Dryland Crop and Horticultural Science, College of Dryland Agriculture and Natural Resource, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia

⁵Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Ås, Norway

Received: 24 February 2024 / Accepted: 18 July 2024 Published online: 25 July 2024

References

- Inglese P, Liguori G, Barrera E. Nopsal (*Opuntia* spp.) genetic resources. In: Inglese P, editors Crop ecology, cultivation and uses of cactus pear. In: Inglese P, ed. Crop Ecology, Cultivation and Uses of Cactus Pear. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2017:43–49.
- Barthlott W, Hunt DR. Cactaceae. In: Kubitzki K., Rohwer JG., Bittrich V, editors The families and genera of vascular plants. In: Kubitzki K., Rohwer JG. B V., ed. Springer; 1993:379–387.
- 3. Anderson E. The Cactus Family. Timber Press, Inc.; 2001.
- Casas A, Barbera G. Mesoamerican domestication and diffusion. In: N PS, editor. Nobel PS, editors Cacti: Biology and uses. University of California Press Berkeley and Los Angeles, California; 2002.
- Nefzaoui A, Inglese P, Belay T. Cactus Based Development in Tigray and Experience from Mexico.; 2010.
- Abidi S, Ben Salem H, Martín-García AI, Molina-Alcaide E. Ruminal fermentation of spiny (*Opuntia Amyclae*) and spineless (*Opuntia ficus indica f. inermis*) cactus cladodes and diets including cactus. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2009;149(3–4):333–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.06.006.
- Nazareno MA. Nutritional properties and medicinal derivatives of fruits and cladodes. In: Inglese P, editors Crop Ecology, Cultivation and Uses of Cactus Pear. In: Inglese P, ed. Crop Ecology, Cultivation and Uses of Cactus Pear. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2017;151–158.
- Astello-García, Marizel G, Cervantes I, Nair V, Negre-salvayre A, et al. Chemical composition and phenolic compounds profile of cladodes from *Opuntia* spp. cultivars with different domestication gradient. J Food Compos Anal. 2015;43:119–30.
- Ayadi MA, Abdelmaksoud W, Ennouri M, Attia H. Cladodes from *Opuntia* ficus indica as a source of dietary fiber: Effect on dough characteristics and cake making. Ind Crops Prod. 2009;30(1):40–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2009.01.003.
- Ben Salem H, Nefzaoui A, Abdouli H, Ørskov ER. Effect of increasing level of spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus indica var. Inermis*) on intake and digestion by sheep given straw-based diets. Anim Sci. 1996;62(2):293–9. https://doi. org/10.1017/S1357729800014600.

- Silva TS, de Araújo GGL, Santos EM, et al. Intake, digestibility, nitrogen balance and performance in lamb fed spineless cactus silage associated with forages adapted to the semiarid environment spineless cactus silages in diets for lambs. Livest Sci. 2023;268(August 2021):105168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. livsci.2023.105168.
- Dubeux JB, Nefzaouic HB, Salem A. Forage production and supply for animal nutrition. In: Inglese P, editors Crop ecology, cultivation and uses of cactus pear. In: Inglese P, ed. Crop Ecology, Cultivation and Uses of Cactus Pear. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2017:73–90.
- Pereira GA, Santos EM, de Oliveira JS, et al. Intake, nutrient digestibility, nitrogen balance, and microbial protein synthesis in sheep fed spineless-cactus silage and fresh spineless cactus. Small Rumin Res. 2021;194(May 2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2020.106293.
- Gusha J, Halimani TE, Katsande S, Zvinorova PI. The effect of *Opuntia ficus* indica and forage legumes based diets on goat productivity in smallholder sector in Zimbabwe. Small Rumin Res. 2015;125:21–5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2015.02.018.
- Costa RG, Treviño IH, De Medeiros GR, Medeiros AN, Pinto TF, De Oliveira RL. Effects of replacing corn with cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus indica* Mill) on the performance of Santa Inês Iambs. Small Rumin Res. 2011;102(1):13–7. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2011.09.012.
- Gebremariam T, Melaku S, Yami A. Effect of different levels of cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) inclusion on feed intake, digestibility and body weight gain in tef (Eragrostis tef) straw-based feeding of sheep. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2006;131(1–2):43–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.02.003.
- Birhane E, Kuyper TW, Sterck FJ, Bongers F. Arbuscular mycorrhizal associations in *Boswellia papyrifera* (frankincense-tree) dominated dry deciduous woodlands of Northern Ethiopia. Ecol Manage. 2010;260(12):2160–9. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.09.010.
- Hailemariam M, Birhane E, Gebresamuel G, et al. Arbuscular mycorrhiza effects on *Faidherbia albida* (Del.) A. Chev. Growth under varying soil water and phosphorus levels in Northern Ethiopia. Agrofor Syst. 2017;92(2):485–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-017-0146-x.
- Barros e Silva TM, Leal, de Araújo GG, Voltolini TV et al. Productive performance of sheep fed buffel grass silage in replacement of corn silage. *Rev Mex Ciencias Pecu*. 2022;13(2):408–421. https://doi.org/10.22319/RMCP.V1312.5381.
- Cristina C, Alessandro R, Olubukola O, Hessini K, Teresa D, Ajit V. Soil: do not disturb, Mycorrhiza in Action. In: Varma A, Prasad RTN, editors. Mycorrhiza function, diversity, state of the art. 4th ed. Springer; 2017. pp. 1–394. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53064-2.
- Kebede TG, Birhane E, Kiros–Meles A, G.Egziabher Y. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improve biomass, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller under different water levels. J Arid Land. 2023;15(8):975– 988. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-023-0022-7.
- 22. Torres JB, Giorgi JA. Management of the false carmine cochineal *Dactylopius opuntiae* (Cockerell): perspective from Pernambuco state, Brazil. Phytoparasitica. 2018;46(3):331–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-018-0664-8.
- Kebede TG, Birhane E, Kiros–Meles A, G.Egziabher Y. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal spore density and root colonization in cochineal-infested *Opuntia ficus-indica* plants in northern Ethiopia. Arthropod Plant Interact. 2023;17(6):839–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-023-10005-w.
- Covarrubias J. Insect pests of cactus pear. In: Inglese P, editors Crop ecology, cultivation and uses of cactus pear. In: Inglese P, ed. Crop Ecology, Cultivation and Uses of Cactus Pear. Vol 20. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2017:26–30. www.fao.org/.
- Belay T. Carmine Cochineal: Fortune wasted in northern Ethiopia. J Prof Assoc Cactus Dev. 2015;17(September 2015):61–80.
- Zitlalpopoca-Hernandez G, Najera-Rincon MB, del-Val E, Alarcon A, Jackson T, Larsen J. Multitrophic interactions between maize mycorrhizas, the root feeding insect Phyllophaga vetula and the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria Bassiana. Appl Soil Ecol. 2017;115(July):38–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apsoil.2017.03.014.
- Jactel H, Koricheva J, Castagneyrol B. Responses of forest insect pests to climate change: not so simple. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2019;35:103–8. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2019.07.010.
- Sconiers WB, Rowland DL, Eubanks MD. Pulsed drought: the effects of varying water stress on plant physiology and predicting herbivore response. Crop Sci. 2020;60(5):2543–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20235.
- Brundrett M, Bougher N, Dell B, Grove T, Malajczuk N. Working with Mycorrhizas in Forestry and Agriculture Mycorrhizas of Australian Plants View Project Banksia Woodland Restoration Project View Project.; 1996. https://www. researchgate.net/publication/227365112.

- Frew A, Powell JR, Hiltpold I, Allsopp PG, Sallam N, Johnson SN. Host plant colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi stimulates immune function whereas high root silicon concentrations diminish growth in a soil-dwelling herbivore. Soil Biol Biochem. 2017;112:117–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2017.05.008.
- Snyman HA. Effect of various water application strategies on root development of *Opuntia ficus-indica* and *O. robusta* under greenhouse growth conditions. J Prof Assoc Cactus Dev. 2004;6(February):35–61.
- Kebede TG, Birhane E, Kiros–Meles A, G.Egziabher Y, Tesfay B. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi-induced resistance in *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller plant against cochineal insects under various soil water levels. Arthropod Plant Interact. 2024;18(1):1–12.
- Souza NR, Hoffmann JH. Testing the hypothesis that a cochineal insect species (*Hemiptera: Dactylopiidae*) may have been displaced by a congeneric biological control agent from a different cactus host. Biol Control. 2015;85:25–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.03.003.
- 34. Mosse B, Giovannetti M. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytol. 1980;84:489–500.
- 35. AOAC. Association Anal Chem. 1990;73(1):1-192.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for Dietary Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fiber, and Nonstarch polysaccharides in Relation to Animal Nutrition. J Dairy Sci. 1991;74(10):3583–97. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds. S0022-0302(91)78551-2.
- Tilley JMA, Terry RA. A two-stage technique for the *in vitro* digestion of forage crops. Grass Forage Sci. 1963;18(2):104–11. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.1963.tb00335.x.
- Adu AA, Aderinola OJ, Kusemiju V. Heavy metals concentration in garden lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) grown along Badagry expressway, Lagos, Nigeria. Transnatl J Sci Technol. 2012;2(7):115.

- Birhane E, Sterck FJ, Fetene M, Bongers F, Kuyper TW. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance photosynthesis, water use efficiency, and growth of frankincense seedlings under pulsed water availability conditions. Oecologia. 2012;169(4):895–904. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2258-3.
- Xie X, Weng B, Cai B, Dong Y, Yan C. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation and phosphorus supply on the growth and nutrient uptake of Kandelia obovata (Sheue, Liu & Yong) seedlings in autoclaved soil. Appl Soil Ecol. 2014;75:162–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.11.009.
- Snyman HA. A greenhouse study on root dynamics of cactus pears, Opuntia ficus-indica and O. robusta. J Arid Environ. 2005;65(4):529–42. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2005.10.004.
- Scalisi A, Morandi B, Inglese P, Lo Bianco R. Cladode growth dynamics in Opuntia ficus-indica under drought. Environ Exp Bot. 2016;122:158–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2015.10.003.
- Hu Y, Xie W, Chen B. Arbuscular mycorrhiza improved drought tolerance of maize seedlings by altering photosystem II efficiency and the levels of key metabolites. Chem Biol Technol Agric. 2020;7(1):1–14. https://doi. org/10.1186/s40538-020-00186-4.
- Rad F, Aleosfoor M, Fekrat L, Minaei K. Water stress decreases the demographic performance of western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)*, on tomato. Arthropod Plant Interact. 2023;012345678910.1007/s11829-023-09989-2.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.