RESEARCH



Genome-wide investigation of the TIFY transcription factors in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.): identification, analysis, and expression



Qi Chen¹, Rui Dai¹, Shuang Shuang¹, Yan Zhang¹, Xiaowei Huo¹, Fengling Shi¹ and Zhiqiang Zhang^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) is an essential leguminous forage with high nutrition and strong adaptability. The TIFY family is a plant-specific transcription factor identified in many plants. However, few reports have been reported on the phylogenetic analysis and gene expression profiling of *TIFY* family genes in alfalfa.

Result A total of 84 *TIFY* genes belonging to 4 categories were identified in alfalfa, including 58 *MsJAZs*, 18 *MsZMLs*, 4 *MsTIFYs* and 4 *MsPPDs*, respectively. qRT-PCR data from 8 genes in different tissues revealed that most *MsTIFY* genes were highly expressed in roots. The expression of *MsTIFY14* was up-regulated after different times in both thrips-resistant and susceptible alfalfa after thrips feeding, and the expression of the remaining *MsTIFYs* had a strong correlation with the time of thrips feeding. Different abiotic stresses, including drought, salt, and cold, could induce or inhibit the expression of *MsTIFY* genes to varying degrees. In addition, the eight genes were all significantly up-regulated by JA and/or SA. Interestingly, *MsTIFY77 was* induced considerably by all the biotic, abiotic, or plant hormones (JA or SA) except ABA.

Conclusion Our study identified members of the *TIFY* gene family in alfalfa and analyzed their structures and possible functions. It laid the foundation for further research on the molecular functions of *TIFYs* in alfalfa.

Keywords Alfalfa, TIFY gene family, Biotic stress, Abiotic stress, Expression profiles

*Correspondence:

Zhiqiang Zhang

zhangzq1989@imau.edu.cn

¹Technology Engineering Center of Drought and Cold-Resistant Grass Breeding in North of the National Forestry and Grassland Administration, College of Grassland, Resources and Environment, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot, China

²Key Laboratory of Grassland Resources of the Ministry of Education, College of Grassland, Resources and Environment, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot, China

Introduction

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) is one of the most essential plants for the development of animal husbandry and dairy industry [1]. With the expansion of planting area and the improvement of intensive planting, alfalfa planting also faces uncertain factors such as low yield, diseases and insect pests [2, 3]. To resist these problems, researchers have identified multiple defense mechanisms at the molecular level of plants by mobilizing a wide range of stress-response genes [4]. Therefore, for the development of the alfalfa industry, more attention should be paid to identifying critical functional genes of alfalfa and breeding resistant varieties [5].



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Transcription factors (TFs) have a unique structure and regulate the transcription process of genes by binding to the regulatory region's DNA sequence [6-8]. TFs participate in regulating plant growth and development by activating or inhibiting gene expression and play a vital role in the stress response of plants [9, 10]. Initially, this protein was referred to as ZIM (Zinc-finger protein expressed in Inflorescence Meristem) proteins due to their C₂C₂-GATA zinc-finger structure. Subsequently, they were renamed the TIFY family, drawing from the conserved core motif TIF[F/Y]XG [11]. According to the conserved domain types, the TIFY family can be divided into four categories: TIFY, ZML, PPD, and JAZ [12]. The TIFY transcription factors play a significant role in the growth and development of plants, stress response, and hormone signal transduction [13]. To date, studies on the TIFY gene family have been reported in many plants. A total of 18, 20, 21, 38 and 47 TIFY genes were identified and analyzed in Arabidopsis thaliana [11], Oryza sativa [14], Byachypodium distachyon [15], Soybean [16] and *Maize* [17]. These reports are favorable resources for the study of the TIFY gene family. In rice, overexpression of OsTIFYs genes can not only increase grain size through enhanced accumulation of carbohydrates in the stem, but also significantly increase salt and dehydration tolerance [18, 19]. Similarly, overexpression of TdTIFY11a can promote wheat germination under salt stress [20]. In addition, many studies have proposed that TIFY family members, especially the JAZ subfamily proteins, are critical regulators of the JA signaling pathway [21-24]. Thus, TIFY TFs have major significance in enhancing plant tolerance to various stresses.

However, there have been no reports in terms of the *TIFY* gene family in alfalfa. In this study, 84 genes were screened from the alfalfa *TIFY* gene family, and the conserved motif, phylogenetic analysis, and protein interaction network diagram prediction of the family member were systematically analyzed. Quantitative Real-Time PCR was used to analyze the gene expression of *TIFY* in different tissues (roots, stems, old leaves, tender leaves, flowers, pods, and seeds), biotic stress (thrips), abiotic stresses (drought, salt, and cold) and hormones stresses (JA, SA, and ABA). These findings will provide valuable insights for the functional characterization of *TIFY* genes in alfalfa.

Result

Identification and classification of TIFY proteins

According to the results of a BLAST alignment and the HMM, there were 16, 19, 20, 18, 21, and 84 genes were screened from the whole genome of Physcomitrella patens, Selaginella moellendorffii, Oryza sativa, Arabidopsis thaliana, Medicago truncatula and Medicago sativa (Table 1), respectively. The nomenclature of the TIFY family genes in Arabidopsis and Oryza sativa is based on the UniProt database (www.uniprot.org). Physcomitrella patens, Selaginella moellendorffii, Medicago truncatula, and Medicago sativa TIFY family genes were based on the order in which the sequences are arranged on the chromosome (Tab.S1). Furthermore, according to the characteristics of the conserved domain, they were classified into four subfamilies, namely TIFY, JAZ, PPD, and ZML (Table 1). In addition, the phylogenetic tree analysis of the above 178 amino acid sequences was carried out, and then we further classified them according to the phylogenetic tree of the JAZ subfamily sequence and the characteristics of the motif sequence, and then divided them into five sublevels of JAZ.I, II, III, IV, and V branch (Fig. 1).

The total number of genes and the number of genes in different subfamilies obtained after analysis and separated by our method are basically consistent with those reported in Bai [12]. Since *Medicago sativa* was tetraploid, while *Medicago truncatula* and other plants were diploid, the number of genes in alfalfa was significantly expanded. 84 *TIFY* genes were identified in total, including 4 *MsTIFYs*, 58 *MsJAZs* (25 class I, 13 class II, 4 class III, 9 class IV, and 7 Class V), 4 *MsPPDs*, and 18 *MsZMLs*. *P. patens* and *O. sativa* did not possess PPD subfamily genes.

The results showed that *TIFY* family genes had low sequence similarity among different species, with an average homology of 46.53%. The *TIFY* subfamily was found in Bryophyta, Lycophyta, monocotyledonous, and dicotyledonous plants. However, in each subfamily, the *TIFY* evolutionary relationships of plants from different species were more similar. For example, the *TIFY* evolutionary relationships of dicotyledonous plants were more clustered in one branch. This suggests that *TIFY* evolved later than the differentiation of bryophyta, Lycophyta, monocotyledon and dicotyledon.

Table 1 List of the number of genes of the TIFY family

subfamily	Bryophyta P. patens	Lycopodiophyta S. moellendorffii	Eudicots			Monocots
			A. thaliana	M. truncatula	M. sativa	O. sativa
TIFY	3	2	1	1	4	1
JAZ	9	8	12	14	58	15
PPD	0	4	2	1	4	0
ZML	4	4	3	5	18	4





Fig. 1 Phylogenetic relationships of Physcomitrella patens, Selaginella moellendorffii, Oryza sativa, Arabidopsis thaliana, Medicago truncatula, and Medicago sativa. Different groups are marked with different colours

Structure analysis of the TIFY gene family in alfalfa

Basic information about the members of the alfalfa *TIFY* gene family was listed in Table S2. The results showed that the full-length coding sequences (CDS), protein length, isoelectric points (pI), molecular weights (Mw), chromosomes and initiation sites are quite different. According to the analysis of chromosome gene sequence length, the most extended *TIFY* family in alfalfa was *MsTIFY30*, with a length of 16,712 bp, and the shortest gene was *MsTIFY20*, with a length of 342 bp. According to the analysis of protein sequence length, it was found that the sequence length of the *TIFY* family in alfalfa was $87 \sim 429aa$. The molecular weight is 9.162 KDa \sim 46.23 KDa.

The structural characteristics of the *TIFY* family evolutionary tree, motif and domain genes in alfalfa

were analyzed (Fig. 2). A total of 10 conserved motifs were detected in the MsTIFY genes, which were designated Motifs 1-10, and the members in the same subfamily shared similar conserved motifs. The TIFY subfamily only has the TIFY conserved domain, and the corresponding motif is composed of motif 1 and motif 5 in series. PPD consists of the PPD motif (motif 7), TIFY conserved domain, and JAS/CCT-2, including a sequence of motif 9 at the C-terminal. ZML consists of TIFY, CCT, and GATA domains, and the motif composition is different from other subfamilies, consisting of motif 1, motif 10, motif 2, motif 4, and motif 3. The JAZ subfamily is the largest, with 58 members, and is made up of TIFY conserved domains and JAS/CCT-2. Based on motifs, conserved domain, sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree analysis, the JAZ subfamily was divided into four



Fig. 2 Analysis of genetic structure characteristics of the TIFY family phylogenetic tree, motif, and domain genes in alfalfa. The innermost circle is the TIFY family evolutionary tree of alfalfa, the middle is motif sequence composition characteristics, and the outer circle is domain gene structure characteristics

groups (25 in JAZ I, 13 in JAZ II, 4 in JAZ III, 9 in JAZ IV, and 7 in JAZ V). The presence of subfamily-specific conversed motifs in the subfamily may play a critical role in functional specificity.

TIFY protein-protein interaction (PPI) network in alfalfa

Using protein-protein interactions to connect unknown functional proteins into protein interaction networks will help understand the biological functions of proteins [25, 26]. In this study, *Arabidopsis* was used as a background to predict the potential interacting proteins associated with the protein function of *MsTIFYs* (Tab.S3). A total of 76 *TIFY* family genes found their positions in the interaction network. It was divided into three clusters according to the degree of their interaction with other family

genes (Fig. 3). The results showed that the MsTIFY proteins interacted with proteins such as nuclear-localized protein, Jasmonate-zim-domain protein 8, MYC-related transcriptional activator, Coronatine-insensitive protein 1, Jasmonate-zim-domain protein 3, DNA-binding family protein MYC and GATA transcription factor 24. It is speculated that MsTIFY proteins may work synergistically with other proteins in jasmonic acid-mediated plant resistance defense.

Expression of MsTIFY genes in different tissues

In order to understand the expression profile of *MsTIFY* genes in different tissues, the expression of 8 *MsTIFY* genes in roots, stems, old leaves, tender leaves, flowers, pods, and seeds were analyzed by qRT-PCR



Fig. 3 MsTIFY proteins interaction network diagram. Red mark cluster I, green mark cluster 2, and blue mark cluster 3. The right table shows the genes that may be involved in the interaction of the three clusters. The red letters represent the genes identified in the left figure, and the genes below the genes are other homologous genes of this gene in alfalfa



Fig. 4 qRT-PCR analysis of the expression patterns of eight *MsTIFY* genes in seven tissues in alfalfa. R: roots; St: stems; OL: old leaves; TL: tender leaves; F: flowers; P: pods; S: seeds. The error bars indicate the standard errors of three biological replicates. Columns with different letters are significantly different(*P* < 0.05)

(Fig. 4; Tab.S4). The results showed that *MsTIFY11*, *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY58* and *MsTIFY71* were highly expressed in roots. *MsTIFY77* was preferentially expressed in stems, and *MsTIFY28* was highest expressed in tender leaves. *MsTIFY41* was preferentially expressed in older leaves and highly expressed in roots and stems. The expression level of the flower was relatively high in *MsTIFY28*, *MsTIFY58* and *MsTIFY77*. The results showed that the *MsTIFY58* and *MsTIFY77*. The results showed that the *MsTIFY58* and *overlapping but spatially varying tissue expression, indicating that they may play different roles in specific tissues.*

Expression of MsTIFY genes in response to thrips infection

To clarify the expression patterns of the alfalfa *TIFY* gene family under biotic stresses, we analyzed the expression levels of 8 genes (*MsTIFY11*, *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY28*, *MsTIFY41*, *MsTIFY58*, *MsTIFY71* and *MsTIFY77*) in two alfalfa varieties, 'Caoyuan No.2' (a thrips-sensitive variety) and 'Caoyuan No.4' (a thripsresistant variety), at different times (0d, 3d, 7d, 10d and 14d) with thrips infection (Fig. 5; Tab.S5).

The results showed that all 8 *MsTIFY* genes were induced by the thrips infection in 3d in both 'Caoyuan No.2' and 'Caoyuan No.4', suggesting that these genes



Fig. 5 qRT-PCR analysis of expression patterns of eight *MsTIFY* genes under thrips stress of 'Caoyuan No.2' and 'Caoyuan No.4'. **A**: The expression patterns of eight *MsTIFY* genes under thrips stress of Caoyuan No.2. **B**: The expression patterns of eight *MsTIFY* genes under the stress of Caoyuan No.4. The error bars indicate the standard errors of three biological replicates. Columns with different letters are significantly difference(*P* < 0.05)

may play essential roles in the early response to biotic stress in alfalfa (Fig. 5A, B). In detail, *MsTIFY11* was significantly up-regulated only in the 3d thrips infection but downregulated in 7d, 10d, and 14d thrips infection in both varieties. In addition, *MsTIFY14* and *MsTIFY77* were highly induced by 14d thrips infection in both varieties. These results suggested that different *MsTIFY* genes play roles in various stages of plant-insect interactions.

In Caoyuan No.2, *MsTIFY28* reached the highest level after 3d of thrips infection, and then it gradually decreased. *MsTIFY18* and *MsTIFY58* showed significant up-regulation after 10d of thrips infection, while the expression of *MsTIFY77* showed an upward trend. Interestingly, *MsTIFY11*, *MsTIFY14*, and *MsTIFY71* showed the highest expression levels on the 3d of thrips infection, which then rapidly decreased and gradually increased again (Fig. 5A). The expression patterns of *MsTIFYs* in 'Caoyuan No.4' were similar to those of 'Caoyuan No.2' (Fig. 5B).

Expression of MsTIFY genes in response to abiotic stress

To explore the potential functions of the *MsTIFY* genes in response to abiotic stress, the expression of 8 screened *MsTIFY* genes in response to drought, salt, and cold in 'Caoyuan No.4' were analyzed (Fig. 6; Tab.S6).

The results showed that all the *MsTIFYs genes were* up-regulated under different degrees of drought stress (Fig. 6A). In detail, a significant up-regulation of the *MsTIFY11* expression was observed at different status of drought stress by up to 3.87- to 7.69- fold of the control. For *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY28*, *MsTIFY41*, *MsTIFY58*, and *MsTIFY71*, the expression level significantly increased at 2 h and then declined and maintained the control level. In addition, transcripts of *MsTIFY18* and *MsTIFY77*





Fig. 6 qRT-PCR analysis of expression patterns of eight MsT/FY genes under different abiotic stresses. A: Drought; B: Salt; C: Cold. The error bars indicate the standard errors of three biological replicates. Columns with different letters are significantly difference(P < 0.05)

increased in the later stage of drought stress by up to 81.89- and 36-fold of the control, respectively.

Under salt stress, the expression level of the majority of *MsTIFYs* genes was fluctuation rising, including *MsTIFY11*, *MsTIFT14*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY41* and *MsTIFY77* (Fig. 6B). For *MsTIFY28*, the gene expression was down to 0.12 to 0.68 folds of the control. The expression of *MsTIFY71* clearly declined except at 12 h, and *MsTIFY58* expression increased before 4 h and then gradually decreased.

The results showed that MsTIFY genes presented different expression patterns under cold treatment at 4°C (Fig. 6C). In detail, the expression of MsTIFY77 was significantly up-regulated of the control. The expression of MsTIFY11, MsTIFY14, MsTIFY18, and MsTIFY28 gradually increased in the earlier stage of cold treatment but progressively declined with the extension of treatment time. The expression of MsTIFY58 and MsTIFY71 were significantly lower than those of control except at 6 h. Interestingly, the expression level of MsTIFY41 clearly declined to about half of the control except at 12 h.

Expression of MsTIFY genes in response to plant hormones

Hormones are essential factors affecting plant growth and development [27, 28]. The expression levels of 8 genes in response to JA, SA, and ABA treatments in 'Caoyuan No.4' were analyzed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 7; Tab.S7).

The results showed that all *MsTIFY* genes were upregulated at different times after spraying JA (Fig. 7a). In detail, the expression levels of *MsTIFY11* and *MsTIFY41* were gradually increased. *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY58*, and *MsTIFY71* had similar expression patterns, which gradually increased or maintained in the earlier stage while declining at 12 h. In addition, the *MsTIFY28* and *MsTIFY77* expression levels fluctuated and rose.

For SA treatment, all *MsTIFY* genes showed a similar expression pattern (Fig. 7b), which gradually increased at 3 h and decreased sharply at 6–8 h and then up to the control level except *MsTIFY18*.

The results showed that all the *MsTIFYs* genes decreased at different times under ABA treatment except *MsTIFY11* (Fig. 7C). In detail, the expression of *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY28*, *MsTIFY41*, *MsTIFY58*, and *MsTIFY77* were clearly declined to about 0.2- to 0.4- fold of the control. In contrast to these genes, a significant upregulation of *MsTIFY11* was observed at any treatment time and reached 47.48- fold of the control at 3 h.

To further clarify the response of the 8 *MsTIFYs* genes to different treatments, correspondence analysis was performed (Fig. 8). The results showed that *MsTIFY41* was more closely related to JA, *MsTIFY11* was more closely

related to ABA, and *MsTIFY28* and *MsTIFY71* were more closely related to SA. Furthermore, *MsTIFY14* was closer to thrips stress and cold stress, while *MsTIFY18* was mainly closer to thrips stress. In addition, *MsTIFY18* and *MsTIFY77* were closer to the late stage of drought, salt and cold stress *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY58* and *MsTIFY77* had closer correspondences to thrips, drought, salt, and cold stress.

Discussion

The *TIFY* gene family is unique in plants [29]. In the study on the TIFY gene family, the number of TIFY genes in most plants was not more than 30, such as Arabidopsis with 18 [11], rice with 20 [18], Terrestris with 21 [30], cotton with 21 [31], Moso bamboo with 24 [32], tomato with 26 [33], kiwifruit with 27 [34] and peanut with 29 [35]. We identified 84 TIFY genes in alfalfa, the largest number of TIFY family members studied so far, which might be caused by the doubling of the alfalfa genome. In addition, different research methods may also lead to differences in the numbers identified. For instance, 30 TIFY genes were identified before [36], but 47 were identified in the latest study [17]. Wheat had 49 [20] and then 63 [37]. As a result, newer methods can identify more gene family members. The analysis of family members showed that among the TIFY gene family, the JAZ subfamily had the most family members, while the TIFY and PPD families had fewer family members. For example, among the 15 TIFY gene family members in watermelon, there were 8 CIJAZs, 4 CIZMLs, 2 CITIFYs, and 1 ClPPDs [38]. Among the 12 TIFY gene families in birch, there were 7 BpJAZs, 3 BpZMLs, 1 BpTIFYs, and 1 BpPPDs [39]. We identified 84 TIFY genes in alfalfa (Table 1), including 58 MsJAZs, 18 MsZMLs, 4 MsTIFYs, and 4 MsPPDs, which were similar to those found in other species. In addition, TIFY family genes were found in bryophytes, stonecrops, monocotyledons, and dicotyledons (Table 1; Fig. 1)., but within each subfamily, plants originating from the same phylum have closer TIFY evolutionary relationships. For example, dicotyledons are more clustered on one branch of the TIFY evolutionary relationship. This suggests that the genes of the dicotyledonous TIFY family evolved later than those of the bryophyte phylum, the lithophyte phylum, and the monocotyledonous phylum.

TIFY family genes had diverse structural domains. In this study, TIFY, JAS/CCT-2, CCT and GATA were found in the TIFY proteins (Tab.S8). The motif structure in each TIFY subfamily had mostly conservative domains. The *MsTIFY* gene family was classified into four subfamilies (TIFY, JAZ, ZML, and PPD) according to the characteristics of its conserved domain, and the JAZ subfamily could be further divided into five subgroups. This is consistent with previous studies [39]. *AtTIFY8* interacts with the transcription factor REVOLUTA of HD-ZIP III and



Fig. 7 qRT-PCR analysis of expression patterns of 8 *MsTIFY* genes under different hormone stresses. A: JA; B: SA; C: ABA. The error bars indicate the standard errors of three biological replicates. Columns with different letters are significantly difference (*P* < 0.05)



Fig. 8 Correspondence analysis of 8 *MsTIFYs* with different stresses. BIO2: Thrips stress of Caoyuan No.2; BIO4: Thrips stress of Caoyuan No.4; DROT: Drought stress; SALT: Salt Stress; COLD: Cold stress; JA: JA stress; SA: SA stress; ABA: ABA stress

regulates leaf senescence [40]. In this study, *MsTIFY50*, *MsTIFY55*, *MsTIFY56*, and *MsTIFY57* cluster into the same clade, suggesting that they may have similar functions (Fig. 2). *OsTIFY11b* can increase carbohydrate accumulation in stem and leaf sheath [19], regulate the growth and development of stem and leaf [14], and improve salt tolerance [20]. In our study, *OsTIFY11b* was clustered into the same branch with 38 *MsTIFY5*, among which it was close to *MsTIFY3*, *MsTIFY4*, *MsTIFY11*, *MsTIFY12*, and *MsTIFY21*, was predicted to have similar functions (Fig. 1). In addition, qRT-PCR also found that *MsTIFY11* was highly expressed in roots, stems and leaves (Fig. 4), and was significantly up-regulated after salt stress (Fig. 5), so it is speculated that *MSTIFY11* plays a vital role in regulating stem and leaf growth and salt stress tolerance.

MsTIFY family genes have tissue specificity. The expression profiles of 8 *MsTIFYs* were identified in 7 different tissues (roots, stems, old leaves, tender leaves, flowers, pods and seeds) (Fig. 4). The results showed that most *MsTIFYs* were highly expressed in roots, especially *MsTIFY14*, *MsTIFY71*, *MsTIFY18*, *MsTIFY11* and *MsTIFY58*, suggesting that *TIFY* gene family may participate root growth and development. *MsTIFY28* was expressed preferentially in stems, and *MsTIFY28* was expressed highest in young leaves. *MsTIFY41* was expressed preferentially in old leaves and was highly expressed in roots and stems. Interestingly, *OsTIFY1a*

and *OsTIFY1b* are highly expressed in leaves [14], which are in the same clade as *MsTIFY41* in the evolutionary tree (Fig. 2). In rice [19], most *OsTIFY* genes are mainly expressed in leaves. *CmJAZ* delays the flowering of chrysanthemums. In Astragalus [41], JAZ proteins interact with haemoglobin via TIFY domains and are involved in nodal development and nitrogen fixation.

MsTIFY family genes play an essential role in biotic stresses. The expression of the same gene was different in different alfalfa varieties. In sorghum [42], after JA treatment and aphid infection, the expression of *SbJAZ1*, SbJAZ5, SbJAZ13, and SbJAZ16 in resistant varieties was up-regulated. In apples [43], the expression level of MsTI-FY10B-a and MsTIFY9-c in resistant strains increased 34 times and 5.2 times after insect infestation. In maize [44], ZmJAZ1 and ZmCOI1a responded to the feeding of autumn armyworms (Spodoptera frugiperda). In the studies on the response of the TIFY gene family to biological stress, there are only a few studies on infectious pathogens and almost no studies on butt worms. In cucumber [45], CsJAZ1 and CsJAZ2 showed significant changes in infection with four diseases (powdery mildew, downy mildew, stem blight, and grey mould). In tea plants [46], Colletotrichum camelliae mainly up-regulated the expression levels of CsJAZ1 and CsJAZ10. In this study, thrips susceptible and thrips resistant alfalfa varieties with different various degrees of thrips damage were selected to observe the expression changes of 8 MsTI-FYs genes (Fig. 5). The results showed that 8 MsTIFYs were significantly up-regulated in both varieties at 3d of thrips feeding, indicating that they could positively regulate the early defense of alfalfa against thrips. MsTIFY14 and MsTIFY77 were also significantly up-regulated in the expression of thrips on the 7d and 14d of thrips feeding, suggesting that they may have an important role in alfalfa defense against thrips at later stages.

A growing number of studies have shown that TIFY transcription factors play an important regulatory role in abiotic stresses [47-50]. In wheat [20], salt treatment induced the expression of *TdTIFY11*. In bamboo [32], 50% of PeTIFY genes could be up-regulated by dehydration stress. In soybean [16], salt stress induced GmTI-FY10e and GmTIFY10g. In rice [14], overexpression of OsTIFY11a increased tolerance to salt and dehydration stress. In our study, most MsTIFYs were up-regulated by drought, salt, and cold stress (Fig. 6). Drought significantly induced MsTIFY11, MsTIFY18, MsTIFY28, MsTIFY71, and MsTIFY77. Salt stress significantly induced MsTIFY18, MsTIFY41, and MsTIFY77, and cold significantly induced MsTIFY28 and MsTIFY77. In watermelon [38], JA activated 8 genes and inhibited 1 gene, among which CIJAZ1 and CIJAZ7 were most significantly induced. In tomato [33], SIJAZ1, SIJAZ3, SIJAZ6, SIJAZ7 and SIJAZ11 were significantly induced

by JA. Although ABA could induce some genes, their expression levels were not as high as those of JA. However, in grapes [51], many of the TIFY genes were responsive to JA and ABA but not SA or ET. In our study, it was also found that almost all *MsTIFYs* were induced by JA and SA, and ABA significantly inhibited the expression of most *MsTIFYs* (Fig. 7). Therefore, we speculated that JA and SA could induce the expression of *MsTIFY* family genes. In contrast, ABA could inhibit most of them. Therefore, the results indicate that *MsTIFY* genes actively respond to biotic and abiotic stresses.

Further, the correspondence analysis of 8 genes with the expression of genes after different stresses revealed that *MsTIFY58* was mainly related to insect stress, and *MsTIFY18* and *MsTIFY77* were mainly involved in the late stage of drought, salt and cold stresses. *MsTIFY58* may be important for responding to insect stress. *MsTIFY14* was in response to both thrips stress and cold stress. In addition, presumably based on correspondence analysis *MsTIFY41 and MsTIFY11* may play more dominant roles mainly in regulating JA and ABA, respectively. *MsTIFY28 and MsTIFY71 genes may primarily play an important role in the response to SA* and early cold stress.

Materials and methods

Identification of the TIFY gene family in alfalfa

To identify more comprehensive TIFY family genes, the sequence of *Physcomitrella patens* of *Bryophyta, Selaginella moellendorffii* of *Lycopodiophyta, Oryza sativa* of *Monocots, Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Medicago truncatula* in *Eudicots* was downloaded from the Ensemblplants database (http://plants.ensembl.org/). However, we didn't find a genome database of alfalfa in the Ensemblplants database. Thus, the protein sequence and corresponding CDS sequence of alfalfa were obtained from Alfalfa Breeder's Toolbox database (https://alfalfatoolbox.org/) by homologous sequence alignments.

The sequence characteristics of the TIFY domain (PF06200) were searched in the Pfam database (http:// pfam.xfam.org/), and the HMM file was formed as a reference file for conservative domain comparison [52]. Then, according to Bai [12], the HMMER program was used to compare the protein sequence data in the above genomic data respectively and screen E-value>1e-6, the amino acid sequence with a score higher than 20 [53, 54]. The BLASTP program was then used to compare these sequences to *Arabidana* seed sequences. Proteins containing the TIFY domain were separated into different subfamilies based on the presence or absence of TIFY, PPD, CCT-2, CCT, or ZML domains by removing duplications, incorrect sequences.

Conserved motif and phylogenetic analysis of *MsTIFY* proteins

To explore the phylogenetic relationship of MsTIFY transcription factors, the molecular evolutionary relationship of the MsTIFY gene family was elucidated by constructing an evolutionary tree. The sequences were aligned with MAFFT using the 'auto' strategy and normal alignment mode [55]. Gap sites were removed with trimAl using "noallgaps" command [56]. The best-fit model for 178 amino acid sequences of 6 different plants was JTT+R5. The best-fit model for the MsTIFY family in Medicago sativa was JTT+G4. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred using IQ-TREE under the JTT+R5 or JTT+G4 model for 20,000 ultrafast bootstraps, as well as the Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihoodratio test [57–59]. The conserved motif of MsTIFY was determined using MEME online analytical tools (https:// meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme). In addition, NCBI Conserved Domains were used to analyze the Conserved domain of alfalfa TIFY family amino acid sequence [60].

Protein interaction network diagram prediction for MsTIFYs

Cystoscope version 3.7.0 was used to search for the interaction factors of these proteins to construct PPI networks for the MsTIFY gene family and related families. The search was conducted on the STRING database (https://string-db.org/) and the organism selected was *Arabidopsis thaliana*. These proteins were then mapped to the MsTIFY family in *Medicago sativa* with the following cutoff values: identity \geq 30 and E-value \leq 1E-10. If the value exceeds this value, it will not be displayed. Then, the single gene with the highest hit ratio (lowest E value) was selected to represent each protein in the network, and the related protein regulatory network was established.

Plant materials and stress treatments

Alfalfa seeds (Caoyuan No.4 and Caoyuan No.2) were bred at Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China. All plants were cultivated in pots (H10 cm \times D12 cm, one plant per pot) containing field-collected soil in a greenhouse with a relative humidity of 60±5% and 70±5% at 30±5 °C and 20±5 °C during day and night, respectively. Plants were watered every other day.

Thrips infections were treated as described by Tu et al. [61]. with some modifications. When the seedlings were about 40 days old, they were randomly and equally divided into two groups: (1) 100 thrips per plant were placed onto the leaves and covered by a cage with 300mesh nylon cloth, and (2) In order to avoid the interference of growth conditions on the test results, this test was sampled at the same time (at the same time at 54 days of growth), and thrips injection was carried out 14, 10, 7, 3 and 1 days before sampling, respectively, and alfalfa that was not inoculated with thrips on the day of sampling was used as a control. For salt and drought stresses, the seedlings (Caoyuan No.4) were treated with 250mmol/L NaCl and air drought [62] and harvested at 0 h, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 24 h. For cold stress, the seedlings were placed in a low-temperature incubator at four °C, and samples were collected at 0 h, 2 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h. In addition, for hormone treatments, including salicylic acid (SA), abscisic acid (ABA), and jasmonic acid (JA) treatments, 0.5mmol/L SA, 10umol/LABA, and 100umol/L MeJA were sprayed on the plants, to minimize errors, spray each plant five times, then seal it with a transparent plastic bag to prevent hormone volatilization, and harvest at the same time at 0 h, one h, 3 h, 6 h and 12 h. Samples of roots, stems, mature leaves, young leaves, flowers, seeds, and pods of alfalfa were collected for tissue-specific expression analysis. All samples were set in triplicate. Immediately after sampling, the samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for subsequent analysis.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Eight *MsTIFYs* were screened for qRT-PCR experiments. The total RNA of different samples was extracted using OminiPlant RNA Kit (Cwbio, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and purity were measured by a NanoDrop ND1000 spectrophotometer. Total RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis using EasyQuick RT MasterMix (Cwbio, Beijing, China). The synthesized cDNA was used as a template for gene expression analysis. The qRT-PCR experiments were performed with 2×MagicSYBR Misture on a 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), using the alfalfa β -actin gene as a reference gene. NCBI Primer-BLAST (https:// blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used to design primers (Tab.S8). Three independent biological replicates and two technical replicates for each sample were used for the qRT-PCR. The gene expression was quantified by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [63].

Statistical analysis

Excel 2010 was used for the statistics calculation of relevant data, SPSS Statistics 18.0 software was used for the analysis of variance, and a student t-test was used to compare the mean values at a 5% significance level. Use the Origin 2019 software to make bar charts. SAS was used to analyze 8 genes in correspondence with their expression after different stresses.

Conclusion

In this study, a total of 84 TIFY genes were identified in the alfalfa, including 58 MsJAZs, 18 MsZMLs, 4 MsTIFYs, and 4 MsPPDs. qRT-PCR data of 8 random selected genes in different tissues, biotic and abiotic stress revealed that most of MsTIFY genes were highly expressed in roots and leaves. All 8 MsTIFY genes were significantly induced by early thrips feeding in the resistant and susceptible alfalfa varieties, MsTIFY14 and MsTIFY77 may be concurrently involved in alfalfa defense against thrips in the late stages. Different abiotic stresses, including drought, salt, and cold, could induce or inhibit the expression of 8 MsTIFY genes to varying degrees. In addition, MsTIFY genes were significantly up-regulated by JA and SA. Interestingly, MsTIFY77 was induced considerably by all the biotic, abiotic, or plant hormones (JA or SA) except ABA. Our results laid the foundation for further study for further study on molecular functions of *TIFYs* in alfalfa.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12870-024-05378-w.

Supplementary Material 1				
Supplementary Material 2				
Supplementary Material 3				
Supplementary Material 4				
Supplementary Material 5				
Supplementary Material 6				
Supplementary Material 7				
Supplementary Material 8				
Supplementary Material 9				

Author contributions

Zhiqiang Zhang and Fengling Shi designed and performed the experiments; Qi Chen, Shuang Shuang and Xiaowei Huo performed the main experiments; Rui Dai and Yan Zhang analyzed all the data; Qi Chen and Rui Dai wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Colleges and Universities ' Youth Science and Technology Talent Support Project', China (NJYT23009), projects of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32160333), the National Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia, China (2021MS03011), Construction of alfalfa molecular breeding system and germplasm creation(BR22-11-12), Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Postgraduate Research Innovation Program(S20231109Z).

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files]. The datasets supporting our conclusions regarding the current study are included in the manuscript and the additional file. We did not generate any sequencing data in this study. We have used already published genomic data. The sequence of *Physcomitrella patens* of *Bryophyta, Selaginella moellendorffii of Lycopodiophyta, Oryza sativa of Monocots, Arabidopsis thaliana,* and *Medicago truncatula in Eudicots* was downloaded from the Ensembl plants database (http://plants.ensembl.org/). The protein sequence and corresponding CDS sequence of alfalfa were obtained from Alfalfa Breeder's Toolbox database (https://alfalfatoolbox.org/).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The 'Caoyuan No.2' and 'Caoyuan No.4' alfalfa were grown and collected from Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China, and all samples from these cultivar was adopted for all experiment. No specific permits are required for sample collection in this study. For experimental research and field studies on plants, including the collection of plant material, we comply with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation. We declared that wild plants have not been collected/used in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 4 July 2023 / Accepted: 4 July 2024 Published online: 06 September 2024

References

- Shen C, Du H, Chen Z, Lu H, Zhu F, Chen H, Meng X, Liu Q, Liu P, Zheng L, et al. The chromosome-level genome sequence of the Autotetraploid Alfalfa and Resequencing of Core Germplasms provide genomic resources for Alfalfa Research. Mol Plant. 2020;13(9):1250–61.
- Wang X, Miao J, Kang W, Shi S. Exogenous application of salicylic acid improves freezing stress tolerance in alfalfa. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:1091077.
- Zhang C, Shi S, Wu F. Effects of Drought stress on Root and physiological responses of different Drought-Tolerant Alfalfa varieties. Scientia Agricultura Sinica. 2018;51(5):868–82.
- 4. Jun L. Transform alfalfa research. Nat Plants. 2020;6(6):596.
- Wang Y, Ruan Q, Zhu X, Wang B, Wei B, Wei X. Identification of Alfalfa SPL gene family and expression analysis under biotic and abiotic stresses. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):84.
- Song L, Li W, Chen X. Transcription factor is not just a transcription factor. Trends Plant Sci. 2022;27(11):1087–9.
- Leben K, Strmsek Z, Lebar T, Verbic A, Dragovan M, Omersa N, Anderluh G, Jerala R. Binding of the transcription activator-like effector augments transcriptional regulation by another transcription factor. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(11):6562–74.
- Fan S, Wang J, Qin B, Wang L. Analytic methods and application of plant transcription fact. Mol Plant Breed. 2019;17(15):5003–9.
- Chen N, Shao Q, Lu Q, Li X, Gao Y, Xiao Q. Research progress on function of NAC transcription factors in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L). Euphytica 2023, 219(1).
- Zou X, Sun H. DOF transcription factors: specific regulators of plant biological processes. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:1044918.
- 11. Vanholme B, Grunewald W, Bateman A, Kohchi T, Gheysen G. The TIFY family previously known as ZIM. Trends Plant Sci. 2007;12(6):239–44.
- 12. Bai Y, Meng Y, Huang D, Qi Y, Chen M. Origin and evolutionary analysis of the plant-specific TIFY transcription factor family. Genomics. 2011;98(2):128–36.
- Yang R-j, Zhang Z-b, Wu Z-y. Progress of the Structural and Functional Analysis of Plant Transcription Factor TIFY Protein Family. Biotechnol Bull. 2020;36(12):121–8.
- Ye H, Du H, Tang N, Li X, Xiong L. Identification and expression profiling analysis of TIFY family genes involved in stress and phytohormone responses in rice. Plant Mol Biol. 2009;71(3):291–305.
- 15. Zhang L, You J, Chan Z. Identification and characterization of TIFY family genes in Brachypodium distachyon. J Plant Res. 2015;128(6):995–1005.
- Liu Y, Zheng L, Jin L, Liu Y, Kong Y, Wang Y, Yu T, Chen J, Zhou Y, Chen M, et al. Genome-wide analysis of the soybean TIFY Family and Identification of GmTI-FY10e and GmTIFY10g response to salt stress. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13:845314.
- 17. Sun P, Shi Y, Valerio AGO, Borrego EJ, Luo Q, Qin J, Liu K, Yan Y. An updated census of the maize TIFY family. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(2):e0247271.
- Hakata M, Muramatsu M, Nakamura H, Hara N, Kishimoto M, Iida-Okada K, Kajikawa M, Imai-Toki N, Toki S, Nagamura Y, et al. Overexpression of TIFY genes promotes plant growth in rice through jasmonate signaling. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2017;81(5):906–13.
- 19. Hakata M, Kuroda M, Ohsumi A, Hirose T, Nakamura H, Muramatsu M, Ichikawa H, Yamakawa H. Overexpression of a rice TIFY gene increases grain

size through enhanced accumulation of carbohydrates in the stem. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2012;76(11):2129–34.

- Ebel C, BenFeki A, Hanin M, Solano R, Chini A. Characterization of wheat (Triticum aestivum) TIFY family and role of Triticum Durum TdTIFY11a in salt stress tolerance. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(7):e0200566.
- Li Z, Luo X, Ou Y, Jiao H, Peng L, Fu X, Macho A, Liu R, He Y. JASMONATE-ZIM DOMAIN proteins engage polycomb chromatin modifiers to modulate Jasmonate signaling in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant. 2021;14(5):732–47.
- An JP, Wang XF, Zhang XW, You CX, Hao YJ. Apple B-box protein BBX37 regulates jasmonic acid mediated cold tolerance through the JAZ-BBX37-ICE1-CBF pathway and undergoes MIEL1-mediated ubiquitination and degradation. New Phytol. 2021;229(5):2707–29.
- Zhang F, Yao J, Ke J, Zhang L, Lam V, Xin X, Zhou X, Chen J, Brunzelle J, Griffin P, et al. Structural basis of JAZ repression of MYC transcription factors in jasmonate signalling. Nature. 2015;525(7568):269–73.
- Guo Q, Yoshida Y, Major I, Wang K, Sugimoto K, Kapali G, Havko N, Benning C, Howe G. JAZ repressors of metabolic defense promote growth and reproductive fitness in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115(45):E10768–77.
- Kang Y, Xu Y, Wang X, Pu B, Yang X, Rao Y, Chen J. HN-PPISP: a hybrid network based on MLP-Mixer for protein-protein interaction site prediction. Brief Bioinform 2023, 24(1).
- Yang X, Yang S, Qi H, Wang T, Li H, Zhang Z. PlaPPISite: a comprehensive resource for plant protein-protein interaction sites. BMC Plant Biol. 2020;20(1):61.
- Yuan H, Liu W, Lu Y. CATALASE2 coordinates SA-Mediated repression of both Auxin Accumulation and JA Biosynthesis in Plant defenses. Cell host Microbe. 2017;21(2):143–55.
- Xiong X, Sun S, Zhu Q, Zhang X, Liu F, Li Y, Xue F, Sun J. Transcriptome analysis and RNA interference reveal GhGDH2 regulating Cotton Resistance to Verticillium Wilt by JA and SA Signaling pathways. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:654676.
- Jia K, Yan C, Zhang J, Cheng Y, Li W, Yan H, Gao J. Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the JAZ gene family in turnip. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):21330.
- Pecrix Y, Staton S, Sallet E, Lelandais-Brière C, Moreau S, Carrère S, Blein T, Jardinaud M, Latrasse D, Zouine M, et al. Whole-genome landscape of Medicago truncatula symbiotic genes. Nat Plants. 2018;4(12):1017–25.
- Zhao G, Song Y, Wang C, Butt H, Wang Q, Zhang C, Yang Z, Liu Z, Chen E, Zhang X, et al. Genome-wide identification and functional analysis of the TIFY gene family in response to drought in cotton. Mol Genet Genomics: MGG. 2016;291(6):2173–87.
- Huang Z, Jin S, Guo H, Zhong X, He J, Li X, Jiang M, Yu X, Long H, Ma M, et al. Genome-wide identification and characterization of TIFY family genes in Moso Bamboo (Phyllostachys edulis) and expression profiling analysis under dehydration and cold stresses. PeerJ. 2016;4:e2620.
- Chini A, Ben-Romdhane W, Hassairi A, Aboul-Soud M. Identification of TIFY/ JAZ family genes in Solanum lycopersicum and their regulation in response to abiotic stresses. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(6):e0177381.
- Tao J, Jia H, Wu M, Zhong W, Jia D, Wang Z, Huang C. Genome-wide identification and characterization of the TIFY gene family in kiwifruit. BMC Genomics. 2022;23(1):179.
- Sen S, Dasgupta M. Involvement of Arachis hypogaea Jasmonate ZIM domain/TIFY proteins in root nodule symbiosis. J Plant Res. 2021;134(2):307–26.
- Zhang Z, Li X, R Y, Han M, Wu Z. Isolation, structural analysis, and expression characteristics of the maize TIFY gene family. Mol Genet Genomics: MGG. 2015;290(5):1849–58.
- Xie S, Cui L, Lei X, Yang G, Li J, Nie X, Ji W. The TIFY Gene Family in Wheat and its progenitors: genome-wide identification, evolution and expression analysis. Curr Genom. 2019;20(5):371–88.
- Yang Y, Ahammed G, Wan C, Liu H, Chen R, Zhou Y. Comprehensive Analysis of TIFY Transcription Factors and Their Expression Profiles under Jasmonic Acid and Abiotic Stresses in Watermelon. *International journal of genomics* 2019, 2019;6813086.
- Lv G, Han R, Shi J, Chen K, Liu G, Yu Q, Yang C, Jiang J. Genome-wide identification of the TIFY family reveals JAZ subfamily function in response to hormone treatment in Betula platyphylla. BMC Plant Biol. 2023;23(1):143.
- Galan AGA, Doll J, Saile S, Wünsch M, Roepenack-Lahaye E, Pauwels L, Goossens A, Bresson J, Zentgraf U. The Non-JAZ TIFY protein TIFY8 of Arabidopsis thaliana interacts with the HD-ZIP III Transcription Factor REVOLUTA and regulates Leaf Senescence. Int J Mol Sci 2023, 24(4).

- Li Y, Xu M, Wang N, Li Y. A JAZ protein in Astragalus sinicus interacts with a Leghemoglobin through the TIFY Domain and is involved in Nodule Development and Nitrogen fixation. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(10):e0139964.
- 42. Shrestha K, Huang Y. Genome-wide characterization of the sorghum JAZ gene family and their responses to phytohormone treatments and aphid infestation. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):3238.
- Mei C, Zhang X, Yan P. Identification of TIFY Family in Apple and their expression analysis under insect stress. Acta Horticulturae Sinica. 2021;48(2):233–42.
- Han Y, Luthe D. Key genes in the JAZ Signaling Pathway are Up-Regulated faster and more abundantly in Caterpillar-Resistant Maize. J Chem Ecol. 2022;48(2):179–95.
- 45. Dai Z, Dong S, Miao H, Liu X, Han J, Li C, Gu X, Zhang S. Genome-wide identification of TIFY genes and their response to various Pathogen infections in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L). Sci Hort. 2022;295:110814.
- Zhang X, Ran W, Zhang J, Ye M, Sun X. Genome-wide identification of the Tify Gene Family and their expression profiles in response to biotic and abiotic stresses in tea plants (Camellia sinensis). Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(21):115.
- He X, Kang Y, Li W, Liu W, Xie P, Liao L, Huang L, Yao M, Qian L, Liu Z, et al. Genome-wide identification and functional analysis of the TIFY gene family in the response to multiple stresses in Brassica napus L. BMC Genomics. 2020;21(1):736.
- Saha G, Park J, Kayum M, Nou I. A genome-wide analysis reveals stress and hormone responsive patterns of TIFY Family genes in Brassica rapa. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:936.
- 49. Li L, Liu Y, Huang Y, Li B, Ma W, Wang D, Cao X, Wang Z. Genome-wide identification of the TIFY Family in Salvia miltiorrhiza reveals that SmJAZ3 interacts with SmWD40-170, a relevant protein that modulates secondary metabolism and development. Front Plant Sci 2021, 12.
- Wu H, Ye H, Yao R, Zhang T, Xiong L. OsJAZ9 acts as a transcriptional regulator in jasmonate signaling and modulates salt stress tolerance in rice. Plant Science: Int J Experimental Plant Biology. 2015;232:1–12.
- 51. Zhang Y, Gao M, Singer S, Fei Z, Wang H, Wang X. Genome-wide identification and analysis of the TIFY gene family in grape. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(9):e44465.
- Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar G, Sonnhammer E, Tosatto S, Paladin L, Raj S, Richardson L, et al. Pfam: the protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D412–9.
- 53. Eddy S. Profile hidden Markov models. Bioinf (Oxford England). 1998;14(9):755–63.
- 54. Grewal J, Krzywinski M, Altman N. Markov models hidden Markov models, vol. 16; 2019.
- Katoh K, Standley D. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772–80.
- Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martínez J, Gabaldón T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinf (Oxford England). 2009;25(15):1972–3.
- Nguyen L, Schmidt H, Haeseler Av, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(1):268–74.
- Guindon S, Dufayard J, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol. 2010;59(3):307–21.
- Minh B, Nguyen M, Haesele A. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(5):1188–95.
- Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki C, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire M, Geer R, Gonzales N, et al. CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D200–3.
- Tu X, Liu Z, Zhang Z. Comparative transcriptomic analysis of resistant and susceptible alfalfa cultivars (Medicago sativa L.) after thrips infestation. BMC Genomics. 2018;19(1):116.
- Yang P, Zhang P, Li B, Hu T. Effect of nodules on dehydration response in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L). Environ Experimental Bot. 2013;86(none):29–34.
- Adnan M, Morton G, Hadi S. Analysis of rpoS and bolA gene expression under various stress-induced environments in planktonic and biofilm phase using 2(-ΔΔCT) method. Mol Cell Biochem. 2011;357(1–2):275–82.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.