RESEARCH



In-silico analysis of heat shock transcription factor (*OsHSF*) gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Areeqa Shamshad¹, Muhammad Rashid¹ and Qamar uz Zaman^{2*}

Abstract

Background One of the most important cash crops worldwide is rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Under varying climatic conditions, however, its yield is negatively affected. In order to create rice varieties that are resilient to abiotic stress, it is essential to explore the factors that control rice growth, development, and are source of resistance. HSFs (heat shock transcription factors) control a variety of plant biological processes and responses to environmental stress. The *in-silico* analysis offers a platform for thorough genome-wide identification of *OsHSF* genes in the rice genome.

Results In this study, 25 randomly dispersed HSF genes with significant DNA binding domains (DBD) were found in the rice genome. According to a gene structural analysis, all members of the *OsHSF* family share Gly-66, Phe-67, Lys-69, Trp-75, Glu-76, Phe-77, Ala-78, Phe-82, Ile-93, and Arg-96. Rice HSF family genes are widely distributed in the vegetative organs, first in the roots and then in the leaf and stem; in contrast, in reproductive tissues, the embryo and lemma exhibit the highest levels of gene expression. According to chromosomal localization, tandem duplication and repetition may have aided in the development of novel genes in the rice genome. *OsHSFs* have a significant role in the regulation of gene expression, regulation in primary metabolism and tolerance to environmental stress, according to gene networking analyses.

Conclusion Six genes viz; *Os01g39020*, *Os01g53220*, *Os03g25080*, *Os01g54550*, *Os02g13800* and *Os10g28340* were annotated as promising genes. This study provides novel insights for functional studies on the *OsHSFs* in rice breeding programs. With the ultimate goal of enhancing crops, the data collected in this survey will be valuable for performing genomic research to pinpoint the specific function of the HSF gene during stress responses.

Keywords Oryza sativa L, Heat shock factor gene family, Phylogeny, Chromosomal localization, Gene networking and expression analysis

*Correspondence:

Qamar uz Zaman

qamar.zaman1@envs.uol.edu.pk

¹ Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology College, Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (NIAB-C, PIEAS), Faisalabad, Pakistan ² Department of Environmental Sciences, The University of Lahore, Lahore 54590, Pakistan

Background

The growth of plants is significantly impacted by a variety of detrimental environmental variables, including biotic and abiotic stresses [1] because they can hasten chlorophyll deterioration and reduce photosynthetic efficiency. The abiotic stresses like high temperatures and drought are particularly important because they can severely restrict plant growth, development, and function. Due to sessile structure of plants, which precludes them from actively avoiding stress, plants are dependent on physiological and biochemical processes to withstand external



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

extremes [2, 3]. As a result, they must create a wide range of complex and effective mechanism to maintain normal physiology, metabolism, and development under stress conditions. The transcription factors like ABRE Binding Factor and MYC are involved in calcium signaling, abscisic acid and jasmonate signaling pathways that regulate the reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cell signaling pathways [4]. For plants to be resistant to stress, transcription factor (TF) gene expression is essential. For the reception and transmission of signals, eukaryotes usually contain a set of transcription factors called heat shock factors (HSFs). Plant stress response and the tolerance to heat are induced by the discovery of heat shock factors and the regulation of downstream genes [5, 6]. Numerous studies have documented interactions between heat and oxidative stress in the cellular pathways. The production



Fig. 1 Protein structure of rice HSFs. The prediction model confidence level is presented at the bottom

Table 1 Basic information of HSF gene family

Sr.No	Accession number		Gene Name	Protein Name	Description
	Locus ID	MSU ID			
1	Os01g0571300	LOC_Os01g39020	HSFA7	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A7)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 31 (Fragment)
2	Os01g0625300	LOC_Os01g43590	HSFC1A	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR C1A)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 31 (Fragment)
3	Os01g0733200	LOC_Os01g53220	HSFC1B	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR C1b)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 29 (Fragment)
4	Os01g0749300	LOC_Os01g54550	HSFA4A	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A4a)	Similar to Heat shock factor
5	Os02g0232000	LOC_Os02g13800	HSFC2A	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR C2A)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 29 (Fragment)
6	Os02g0496100	LOC_Os02g29340	HSFA5	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A5)	Winged helix repressor DNA-binding domain containing protein
7	Os02g0527300	LOC_Os02g32590	HSFA3	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A3)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 31 (Fragment)
8	Os03g0161900	LOC_Os03g06630	HSFA2D	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A2D)	Similar to Heat shock factor 1 (Fragment)
9	Os03g0224700	LOC_Os03g12370	HSFA9	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A9)	Similar to HSP protein (Fragment)
10	Os03g0366800	LOC_Os03g25080 LOC_ Os03g25120	HSFB4d	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B4d	Cyclin-like F-box domain containing protein
11	Os03g0745000	LOC_Os03g53340	HSFA2A	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A2A)	Winged helix repressor DNA-binding domain containing protein
12	Os03g0795900	LOC_Os03g58160	HSFA2E	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A2E)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 31 (Fragment)
13	Os03g0854500	LOC_Os03g63750	HSFA1	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A1)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 31 (Fragment)
14	Os04g0568700	LOC_Os04g48030	HSFB2A	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B2A)	Similar to Heat stress transcription factor Spl7 (Heat shock transcription factor) (Heat shock factor RHSF10)
15	Os05g0530400	LOC_Os05g45410	SPL7, HSFA4D	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A4D)	Heat stress transcrip- tion factor Spl7 (Heat shock transcription factor) (Heat shock factor RHSF10)
16	Os06g0553100	LOC_Os06g35960	HSFC2B	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR C2B)	Heat shock factor (HSF)-type, DNA-binding domain containing protein
17	Os06g0565200	LOC_Os06g36930	HSFA6	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A6)	Winged helix repressor DNA-binding domain containing protein
18	Os07g0178600	LOC_Os07g08140	HSFA2B	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A2B)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 29 (Fragment)
19	Os07g0640900	LOC_Os07g44690	HSFB4B	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B4B)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 33 (Fragment)

Table 1 (continued)

Sr.No	Accession number		Gene Name	Protein Name	Description
20	Os08g0471000	LOC_Os08g36700	HSFB4A	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B4A	Similar to Heat shock factor
21	Os08g0546800	LOC_Os08g43334	HSFB2B	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B2B)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 33 (Fragment)
22	Os09g0455200	LOC_Os09g28200	HSFB4C	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B4C)	Winged helix repressor DNA-binding domain containing protein
23	Os09g0456800	LOC_Os09g28354	HSFB1	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B1)	Similar to Heat stress transcription factor Spl7 (Heat shock transcription factor) (Heat shock factor RHSF10)
24	Os09g0526600	LOC_Os09g35790	HSFB2C	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR B2C)	Similar to Heat shock factor protein 3 (HSF 3) (Heat shock transcription factor 3) (HSTF 3)
25	Os10g0419300	LOC_Os10g28340	HSFA2C	(HEAT STRESS TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR A2C)	Similar to Heat shock transcription factor 31 (Fragment)

of ROS is regarded to be a link between stressful situations like flooding, exposure to UV radiation, pathogen attack etc. [7]. Previously, it was proposed that redox responsive transcription factors like HSFA4a are probably responsible for detecting ROS levels in Arabidopsis. These "sensors" are thought to function upstream in a cascade that controls some stress-responsive proteins and other TF, including Zat and WRKY gene families [8]. In-depth investigation has shown that a variety of HSFs, including HSFA1b, HSFA4a, and HSFA8, are suspected of taking part in abiotic stress-induced ROS regulated gene networks. It is proposed, the generation of various ROS triggers HSF activation, which in turn causes the regulation of other genes. These mechanisms could act as a molecular bridge between the cellular response to heat stress and other types of stresses [9].

Heat shock transcription factors are the primary regulatory components of the plant towards heat stress response. The sequence of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome revealed 21 open reading frames (ORFs) that encode putative HSFs that were divided into three groups as A, B and C based on phylogenetic analysis and structural features [10]. The DNA binding domain (DBD), which interacts with "heat-shock elements" (5'-nGAAnnTTCn-3') regulatory sequences found in the target gene via the helixturn-helix motif and the oligomerization domain, which is responsible for HSF trimerization and has a bipartile heptad repeat pattern in the hydrophobic-associated region (HR-A/B) [11]. The HSF gene family has been characterized in several plant species, including *A. thaliana* [12], *Brachypodium distachyon* [13], *Glycine max* [14], *Solanum* *lycopersicum* [15], *Populus trichocarpa* [16], *Triticum aestivum* and *Zea mays* [17–19].

However, the function of HSFs in rice plant growth and development, responses to stressors and transcript expression profiling of HSFs gene has not been thoroughly investigated. The computational biology methods offer a practical and stable foundation on which additional wet-lab research could be carried out. Numerous abiotic stresses have been connected to HSF genes. In the study, we examined this important gene family in detail using the whole annotated rice genome sequence (TIGR Rice Annotation release 7).

Material and methods

Identification of HSF genes in Oryza sativa genome

The genome of the Oryza sativa L. japonica cultivar Nipponbare was initially mined for HSF genes using ESTs and cDNA sequences. The National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ [20], the Database of Rice Transcription Factors (DRTF) http://plant tfdb.gao-lab.org/index.php?sp=Osj [21], MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project Database http://rice.uga.edu/ [22] and Plant Genome Database (PlantGDB) https://www.plantgdb. org/ [23] were used to mined the HSF genes. HSF genes in the rice genome were predicted using the BLAST online tool available at http://rice.uga.edu/analyses search blast.html on the RAP-DB website [24]. The sequences with more than 80% coverage in the BLAST analysis were found using the online tool GENSCAN (http://hollywood.mit.edu/GENSC AN.html). On both sides of the hit, the open reading frame (ORF) was expanded by around 2000 bp [25]. Additionally, the HSF domains in the query sequences were validated using the SMART (Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool) programme (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/).

Phylogenetic and MEME motif analysis

Through the use of Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac. uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/), the protein sequences obtained from several public repositories were aligned to remove the redundant sequences. Bootstrap (5000 replicates) and pairwise deletion were used as the default parameters to create a combined unrooted neighbor-joining (NJ) tree. Besides, the conserved motifs in HSF rice protein sequences were combed using online tool Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME Suite version 5.5.0) https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme.

Distribution of intron and exon size in OsHSF family genes

Using the Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS) http:// gsds.gao-lab.org/, the positions of introns and exons in *OsHSF* genes were determined by gaps discovered during the alignment of full-length cDNA transcripts with genomic sequences [5]. Concisely, exons are proximal blocks of homologous sequence between full-length cDNA and genomic sequences. The introns are gaps between exons that are wholly made of genomic sequence for a single fulllength cDNA that was matched to a conterminous stretch

 Table 2
 Features of HSF gene family for chromosomal localization

Sr. No MSU ID End CDS BP Chr. No **Protein length** Ы Start Strand Molecular weight 1 LOC_Os01q39020 1 21.938.865 21.941.839 forward 1209 403 43.911.9883 7 47249985 2 LOC_Os01g43590 31,370,225 31,372,759 1323 441 49,387.3789 5.0935998 1 forward LOC_Os01g43590 1 1020 340 24,967,398 24,969,047 forward 36,862.7305 6.69099998 3 LOC Os01q53220 1 30,582,485 30,583,743 forward 753 251 27,219.0293 8.94960022 4 LOC_Os01g54550 1 31,370,225 31,372,759 forward 1323 441 49,387.3789 5.0935998 5 LOC_Os02g32590 2 19.309.594 forward 1497 499 19313057 55,098.5391 4 503 300 1 9 6 LOC_Os02g29340 2 17,428,027 17,431,373 forward 1428 476 52,884.6797 5.17430019 7 LOC_Os02g13800 2 7,463,932 7,465,506 forward 897 299 31,919.5 6.51760006 8 LOC_Os03q53340 3 30,607,164 30.604.067 1131 377 40,847.5312 forward 4.71829987 LOC_Os03g53340 3 1131 40,847.5312 30,607,164 30,603,964 forward 377 4.71829987 LOC_Os03g53340 3 30,607,159 30,604,067 forward 1131 377 40,847.5312 4.71829987 LOC_Os03g53340 3 30,607,152 30,604,067 forward 1131 377 40,847.5312 4.71829987 9 LOC_Os03g12370 3 6,537,569 6,541,400 forward 1233 411 45,465.6406 4.81960011 LOC_Os03g12370 3 6,540,308 6,537,569 forward 1221 407 45,052.1289 4.88030005 10 LOC_Os03g25080 3 14,345,575 14,342,679 forward 918 306 33,950.9414 6.34940004 LOC_Os03q63750 11 3 35,989,011 35,992,635 forward 1521 507 55,277.2188 4.72679996 12 LOC_Os05g45410 5 26,344,414 26,346,889 forward 1380 460 51,163.8711 4.94820023 13 837 279 LOC Os06q35960 6 20,998,867 20,996,264 forward 28,971.2695 8.23719978 14 LOC_Os06g36930 6 21,761,304 21,762,421 forward 996 332 36,103.3281 4.63579988 7 15 LOC_Os07g44690 933 26,673,639 26,676,932 forward 311 34,450.7812 7.0637002 16 LOC_Os08g36700 8 1143 23,159,531 23,158,296 forward 381 41,386.7305 9.63790035 17 LOC_Os08q43334 8 27,390,339 27,380,765 forward 1851 617 66,415.6094 8.41919994 LOC Os08a43334 8 27.384.520 27.382.865 forward 1173 391 41.374.0508 5 0078001 18 LOC_Os09g28200 9 17,111,077 17,109,289 forward 1185 395 42,036.1094 8.64169979 19 9 LOC_Os09g35790 20,595,143 20,591,230 forward 1365 455 47,014.1797 4.91120005 LOC_Os09g35790 9 20,595,143 20,593,125 forward 1245 415 42,580.1914 4.95979977 3 20 LOC_Os03g06630 3,342,254 3,344,548 forward 1140 380 43,706.6094 8.90200043 LOC_Os03g06630 3 3,342,254 3,344,548 forward 1080 360 41,134.6406 6.9769001 21 LOC_Os03q58160 3 33,105,828 33,109,091 forward 1074 358 40,258.9102 5.82919979 3 LOC_Os03q58160 33,105,840 33,109,091 forward 591 197 22,186.0898 9.32019997 22 LOC_Os04q48030 4 28,576,248 forward 28,574,411 918 306 32.809 4.94759989 7 23 1119 LOC_Os07g08140 4,139,160 4,142,449 forward 373 41.524.1289 4.38819981 24 LOC_Os09g28354 9 17,221,426 17,228,961 forward 909 303 32,798.9805 9.71920013 LOC_Os10g28340 10 14,750,175 14,746,825 forward 1077 359 40,784.6406 4.65320015 25 LOC_Os10g28340 10 14,750,175 14,746,101 forward 1077 359 40,784.6406 4.65320015 of genomic sequence [26]. To better comprehend the range and magnitude of HSF family genes, the total length of a gene is estimated by adding the lengths of each of its exons.

Chromosomal localization

Chromosomal localization of *OsHSF* family genes was constructed using Tbtool https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtoo ls/releases.

Protein 3D structure

Using the online programme AlphaFold, available at https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/ [27], the 3-D structure of the HSFs rice genes was predicted, as shown in Fig. 1.

Gene expression analysis

The rice expression profile database (RiceXPro) [28], a public repository of gene expression, was utilized to analyze and confirm the expression of the *OsHSF* gene (s). The data from microarray experiments were used to study the entire life cycle of the rice plant, including field development (leaf day time, root day time, leaf sunset, leaf night time, root night time, reproductive organs, grain at early stage, grain ripening, spatio-temporal profile), and plant hormones (abscisic acid, auxin, brassinosteroid, cytokinin, gibberellin, and jasmonic acid in root and shoot). The most precise quantitative measurement of the transcript levels for particular genes is produced by creating a table of normalised signal intensity values for each gene in each plant tissue.

Results

Identification and chromosomal distribution of OsHSFs

With the development of genomic sequencing technology, it is now possible to recover the protein/nucleotide sequences of all OsHSFs family genes. After eliminating the duplicated sequences, 25 OsHSFs were discovered in the study, as indicated in Table 1. Using HMM and EMBL-EBI, all *OsHSF* proteins were evaluated for the presence of DBD. The SMART online tool certified the OsHSFs-DBDs. Table 2 lists all of the properties of the OsHSF genes. The 25 HSF genes were localized on rice chromosomes as shown in Fig. 2. Chromosome-1 and chromosome-3 had a maximum of 5 and 6 OsHSF genes respectively, whereas a single copy of OsHSFs gene was localized on chromosomes-4 and chromosome-5. In contrast, chromosomes-6, chromosome-7 and chromosome-8 harbor three paralogus genes, while two paralogus gene were identified on each of chromosomes-2 and chromosome-9 respectively. Except for OsHSF13800, OsHSF06630, OsHSFSS12370, OsHSF25080 and OsHSF08140, all other OsHSF genes were confined on the lower arm of the chromosomes.

Phylogenetic classification of OsHSFs family genes

These findings led to the construction of a phylogenetic tree for 25 *OsHSF* genes using bootstrap analysis (5000 replicates) based on multiple alignments of protein sequences (Fig. 3). The phylogram is alienated into a total of four clades namely clade-I to clade-IV. Clade-I is further distinguished into sub-groups: I-a, I-b and with total of nine *OsHSF* genes. With 14 *OsHSF* genes, the clade-II is further split into clade-IIa and clade-IIb. Clade IIa also has two sub-clades called clade IIab and clade IIIa. Two distinct groups with a single gene each are clade-III and clade-IV. Finally, the genes are characterized into *OsHSF* proteins, which are applied for abiotic factors like heat shock and drought resistance.



Fig. 2 Localization of HSF family genes on rice chromosomes



Fig. 3 Protein based phylogenetic tree of HSF gene family

1	LOC 0s09g28354GFKVVPDFWEFANCNFRCEQGLLSCIRRF	96
2	LOC 0s04q48030SEVROLNTY CF KVVADEWEFANDCF RESEKHLLCCT OR	65
3	LOC ^O 0s08g43334VPTPFLTKTYQLVDDPAVDDVISWNDDGSTFVVWRPAEFARDLLPKYFKENNFSSEVRQLNTY GFEKTVPDEWEFANDCFRRGERRLLCEIHRR	54
4	LOC ^O 0s09g35790	42
5	LOC ^O 0s03g25080GREEFANEEFRCAREEFRC	44
6	LOC ^O 0s07g44690Grvwrp2Efardllpnyfkennfssfvrqlnty_Gffk <mark>tvadfwefaneffrkgakhllabihr</mark> r	52
7	LOC ⁻ Os08g36700	94
8	LOC ^O 0s09g28200BRDILPNYFKHNNFSSFVRQINTY CFFKVVPEFWEFANEFIRKGEKQLLTEIHRF	87
9	LOC 0s03g12370DPATDNVISWAEGGGSFVIWDSHAFERDI-HRHFKHSNFISFIRQINTY GFFKVHPDFWEWANECFIMGQKHLLKTIKRF	79
10	LOC ^O 0s06g36930VSWGGA-ARNSFVVWDPHAFAAGLLPLHFKHANFSSFURQLNTY GFFKVSADFWEFANEDFUGGORHLLAN RRF	66
11	LOC ^O 0s03g06630GSSFVVWDPHVEAAVFLERFFKH <mark>NNFSSEVRQLNTY</mark> FLVRTNYLNKRSHFYSLRF <mark>C</mark> GFFKIDEDFWEFANDC ^I LRCORHLLKMIKRR	83
12	LOC 0s02g32590QLPPFLSKTYDLVCEPELDGVISWGHA-GNSFVVWDPSAFARDVLPHHFKHNNFSSEVRQLNTY GFFKVHADEWEFAHEIFIRHSKHLLKKIVRF	68
13	LOC_OS01g54550	67
14	LOC_0s02g29340GERETERED LOC_0s02g29340CLLPAYEKESETRQLNTY GEFECTOPEENEENEYETERGQKHLLKNTHRR	40
15	LOC_OS05g45410	50
16	LOC_OS01g39020GPAPFVAKTYEMVADAATDAVVSWGPGGSGASFVVWD <mark>PHALA</mark> AGVLPRFFKHANFSSFVRQLNTY GFFKVTPDEWEFANE2FILAGQKHLLKNIKRR	41
17	LOC_OS03g58160	74
18	LOC_0s07g08140vddagtdaavswsatsnsfvvwdphapatvllprefkhnnessevrQlnty_gefkvdpdewefanen+lrgQrhllknukre	82
19	LOC_Os10g28340SWSRA-GNSFVVWDPHVFADLLLPRLFKHNNFSSFVRQLNTY GFFKVDPDEWEFANECTIRGQRHLLKTUKRF	64
20	LOC_OS03g53340VVDDPGTDTVISWGFA-GNSFVVWDANA <mark>PAAVLLP</mark> RYFKHS <mark>NFSSEVRQLNTY GFFKV</mark> DPDEWEFANEC <mark>HIRGKKELL</mark> KT <mark>H</mark> KRR	60
21	LOC_OS03g63750	94
22	LOC_OS01g53220GELLPSYFKHRNEASPYRQLNTY_GFFKVDPDFNEFAHESHIRGQAQLLPRUVR	55
23	LOC_OS01g43590GSQLLLPCFFKHCNFSSEVRQLNTYVSIIQSPAFGFFKVHPDFWEFAHESHIRGQTHLLPFUVRF	60
24	LOC_OS02g13800GNESSEVRQLNTY GFFKVDPDENEFAHVSHIRRQTHLLRRUVRE	68
25	LOC_Os06g35960SSFVRQINTY CFFKVDPDFWEFAHAS <mark>TTRe</mark> QTHLLRNUVR	72



Fig. 4 Multiple allignment of protein sequence and DNA binding domain (DBD) of HSF gene family

Name	<i>p</i> -value	Motif Locations			
LOC_Os01g39020	7.00e-142				
LOC_Os01g43590	5.19e-137	* *************			
LOC_Os01g53220	1.06e-123				
LOC_Os01g54550	1.15e-157				
LOC_Os02g13800	9.11e-140				
LOC_Os02g29340	4.73e-177				
LOC_Os02g32590	4.10e-154				
LOC_Os03g06630	4.62e-199				
LOC_Os03g12370	3.12e-155				
LOC_Os03g25120	8.49e-160				
LOC_Os03g53340	9.76e-167				
LOC_Os03g58160	1.51e-203				
LOC_Os03g63750	5.18e-201				
LOC 0e04d48030	6 08e-133				
	0.000 100				
LOC_0s05g45410	2.70e-177				
LOC_Os06g35960	9.55e-143				
LOC_Os06g36930	1.73e-148				
LOC_Os07g08140	3.03e-212				
LOC_Os07g44690	1.88e-158		Motif 1	Symbol	Motif Consensus
LOC_Os08g36700	9.83e-152		2. 3.		GGCTTCCGCAAGGTGGWCCCCGGACCGCTGGGAGTTCGCCAACGAGKVCTT CSCCGTTCCTCACCAAGACCTACSASMTSGTGGACGACCCGGCCACCGGC CCGAC
LOC_Os08g43334	1.36e-149		5.		CCTCCGCGCGSAGASCACCTCCTCAVSILACMCMACCGCAAGBCS AGGAGAWCGASCGCCTCCTCCAVSACATCMASCGCCGCAAGBCS
LOC_Os09g28200	2.04e-157		7.		SVCRYSGAGCASCDCCASVASSASATSATSKCCTTCCTCSCCMRSSGCVT TCCGCCASSASCAGCASRMCACSSAGSNCSASVTSSAGGMCMTSGAGGAS MCGACVYSSACSHSYTCDCCSASVASBWSGRCBHCYCWCCTCCCCKMC
LOC_Os09g28354	1.38e-133		10.		CGMSVHCRACGACGRCKKCKGSGWGSAGCTCCTCRVCGWS VCGTGRTSTCCTCGGGCSVSG
LOC_Os09g35790	1.02e-153	; 	13. 14.		CHIGHAUGUGUBIICUISUHSCHUTCDISCHSCHSCHCSA CGVSGMSGCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCGCGCG CCNICBCCVNSRAGCGCCGCCGCCSSRTSGASGVCGNCBMCVWCSDCGAC
LOC_Os10g28340	2.23e-202		15.		YSCCGKMCSTSGCCRCSKCCTCCGCCSYCNMCKCCWCCGGC

Fig. 5 Motif location of HSF gene family

Table 3 Conserved	d motif sequence o	f Oryza s	ativa L. HSFs
-------------------	--------------------	-----------	---------------

Motif	Consensus Sequence
1	ACTTCAAGCACWMCAACTTCTCCTCCTTCGTGCGCCAGCT CAACACCTAC
2	GGCTTCCGCAAGGTGGWCCCGGACCGCTGGGAGTTCGCC AACGAGKVCTT
3	CSCCGTTCCTCACCAAGACCTACSASMTSGTGGACGACCCG GCCACCGAC
4	MCTCCTTCGTGGTGTGGSACCCGCMCGMSTTCGCCCGCGWC CTCCTCCCG
5	CCTCCGCGGCSAGAASCACCTCCTCAVSRACATCMASCGC CGCAAGBCS
6	AGGAGAWCGASCGCCTCCRSCGCGASAASNCCSYCCTCVTC- SMSGAGSTS
7	SVCRYSGAGCASCDCCASVASSASATSATSKCCTTCCTCSC- CMRSSHCVT
8	TCCGCCASSASCAGCASRMCACSAAGSNCSASVTSSAGGMC- MTSGAGGAS
9	MCGACVYSSACSHSYTCDCCSASVASRWSGRCBHCCYCWC CTCCDCCKMC
10	CGMSVHCRACGACGRCKKCKGSGWGSAGCTCCTCRVCGWS
11	VCGTGRTSTCCTGGGGCSVSG
12	CMGAACCCGGMSTYCCTSCASCAGCTCDYSCMSCASCMCSA
13	CGVSGMSGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCSYCG
14	CCNYCBCCVNSRAGCGCCGCCKCSBSRTSGASGVCGNCBM- CVWCSDCGAC
15	YSECGKMESTSGEERESKEETEEGEESYENMEKEEWEEGGE

Using the amino acid sequences of the *OsHSF* domains, multiple alignment analysis was carried out to dissect the evolutionary relationships amongst *Oryza sativa* HSF family members. The alignment predicts that Ser-55, Ser-56, Phe-57, Val-58, Arg-59, Gln-60, Leu-61, Asn-62, Thr-63, Tyr-64, Arg-68, Val-70, Val-71, Pro-72, Asp-73, Arg-74, Asn-79, Gly-85 and Leu-89 are highly conserved whereas Gly-66, Phe-67, Lys-69, Trp-75, Glu-76, Phe-77, Ala-78, Phe-82, Ile-93 and Arg-96 are completely conserved in all *OsHSFs* family members in rice (Fig. 4). More than 10% of *OsHSFs* members have completely conserve amino acid residues whereas more than 19% *OsHSFs* amino acids are highly conserved in the *OsHSFs* domain. Multiple alignments of protein sequence and DBD of *OsHSFs* gene family are given in Fig. 4.

Characteristics of each group in the rice HSFs family genes

The responses of these genes to abiotic factors have been documented in *Arabidopsis, Brachypodium* and *Oryza* species. These genes must be classified in accordance with various stress regimes in order to be included in unique groups based on their protein similarity, which may aid in related function within their evolutionary placement. The Table 4 provides a summary on the roles of each gene in the *OsHSF* family. The two sub-groups of Clade-I, Ia and Ib, harbour nine total genes. All genes in



Fig. 6 Intron/exon size distribution of HSF family gene

this group are involved in root development, vegetative growth and reproductive stages (embryo development). These genes have resistance against water stress in early seed germination and at the time of flowering during high temperature.

The clade-II comprised of 14 genes and involved in anther, ovary, embryo and endosperm and root development. In Clade-III and clade-IV has single gene. The gene in the clade-III is involved in vegetative i.e. leaf blade and root development along with reproductive (pistil and palea development). The gene in clade-IV involved in leaf blade, leaf sheath, stem, vegetative and root development and reproductive (pistil, palea, lemma anthers and inflorescence).

Distribution of motifs

OsHSFs TF contain functionally important motifs linked to mitochondria and chloroplasts. Such functional sequencing motifs are typically conserved among members of a subgroup in vast families of transcription factors in plants, and the proteins of these motifs in their subgroups are likely to have similar activities. Multiple alignment analysis with Clustal Omega was used to investigate the conserved motifs in the nucleotides of each clade in the rice *OsHSFs* gene family. The MEME Suite version 5.5.0 was used to examine rice *OsHSFs* protein sequences for the presence of conserved motifs. Overall, 15 conserved motifs were predicted which correspond to the *OsHSFs* domain as shown in Fig. 5 and these conserved motifs found in the *OsHSFs* family are listed in Table 3.

Gene structure analysis

The GSDS tool was used to examine the intron–exon organization of the selected OsHSFs in order to determine the structural link between the genes. The quantity and structure of introns and exons have a significant impact on how gene families have evolved. The number of exons and introns was found to remain constant, and 84% (21/25) of OsHSFs contain just one intron for

Os08g43334, Os03g12370, Os09g35790 and Os03g06630 (Fig. 6). The remaining OsHSF gene family has two introns. Exon counts for the Os08g43334, Os03g12370, Os09g35790, and Os03g06630 revealed seven, two, three, and three exons, respectively. All OsHSFs contained 5' and 3' un-translated region (UTR). In terms of intron number, intron phase, exon length, and overall gene length, similar intron-exon patterns were observed in the OsHSF genes belonging to the same class and subclasses.

Expression profiles of OsHSFs at different developmental stages

Investigations into the OsHSF gene expression patterns were conducted on various time scales and at various growth stages. Transcriptome profiles provide insight into the potential role of genes in a variety of biological processes, despite the fact that protein expression is not always associated with gene expression. The rice genome database RiceXpro was used to download the transcriptome data that was used in the current study. The Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os03g25080, Os01g54550, Os10g28340, and Os02g13800 have been demonstrated to be among the tissues with the highest up-regulation of OsHSFs during different growth phases (Fig. 7). The Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os01g54550, Os02g29340, Os03g58160, Os03g63750, Os06g35960, Os07g08140, Os07g44690, Os09g28200, Os09g35790, and Os10g28340 are also up-regulated throughout the development of reproductive organs and grain ripening stages. A total of 4 OsHSFs exhibited less expression at all as shown in Table 4.

The Os01g39020, Os01g43590, Os01g53220, Os02g29340, Os03g12370, Os03g53340, and Os09g35790 genes are up-regulated during the leaf and root diurnal stage. This suggests that these genes could potentially regulate these tissues and influence vegetative growth. In leaf tissues, OsHSFs were expressed most highly. In the same way, grain formation at early stage across different tissues, Os01g39020 (Fig. 8), Os01g53220 (Fig. 9), Os01g54550



Fig. 7 Role of Os02g13800 gene in field development (A) and network image (B)

	- - -	· · · ·	_										
Sr. No	Locus ID	Leaf sunrise	Leaf day time	Root day time	Leaf Diurnal	Root Diurnal	Leaf sunset	leaf night time	Root night time	Reproductive organs	Grain at early stage	Grain Ripening	Spatio-temporal profile
-	Os01g0571300	4:10–4:50 am	1355 DAT	20-55 DAT	Vegetative to Reproduc- tive ripening	15, 17, 44 DAT	18:20- 20:00 pm	14-70 DAT	49-70 DAT	Inflorescence, Anther and pistil	Embryo 8–10 DAF	Embryo 10–42 DAF, Endosperm 42 DAF	embryo and endosperm DAF development
5	Os01g0625300	5:20-6:00 am	104-125 DAT	69-104 DAT	Reproduc- tive Ripening to Ripening	15, 16, 44 DAT	17:00–17:20, 20:00 pm	112-119 DAT	56–63, 98–105 DAT	Pistil 7 mm floret, lemma 7 mm floret	ovary 4 DAF- Embryo 7 DAF	Embryo 21- 42 DAF	reproductive and ripening of Leaf blade and leaf sheath
m	Os0190733200	3:50–4:50 am	13,41 and 125 DAT	55-69 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	15, 44 DAT	17:00– 18:00 pm	105–119 DAT	63, 84–91 DAT	Anther 1.2-6 mm, pis- til, Palea 7 mm floret, lemma 7 mm floret	Embryo 7–10 DAF	Embryo 10-42 DAF,	anther and embryo development
4	Os01g0749300	5:40-6:00 am	48-62 DAT	48–55 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	15, 16 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm	56-77 DAT	49-70 DAT	Inflorescence, Anther, pistil and lemma	Ovary 1 DAF	Embryo 7–14 & 28–42 DAF,	Leaf blade, leaf sheath, Root veg- etative and stem reproductive
ιΩ	Os02g0232000	5:00–5:30 am	11–125 DAT	34, 55 DAT, 69 DAT	Reproduc- tive and Rip- ening	16, 17 DAT	17:00– 17:40 pm	14, 112–126 DAT	56-63 DAT	Inflorescence, Anther, pistil	Ovary 7–9 DAF, Embryo 9–10 DAF	Embryo 28–42 DAF, Endosperm 42 DAF	root vegetative and reproductive, inflorescence and embryo
Q	Os02g0496100	4:10–4:30 am	48-62 DAT	27-48 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	15, 16, 43 DAT	18:00– 19:50 pm	49–56, 77 DAT	49 DAT	Inflorescence 2–2.5 mm, Anther, pistil, Palea 7 mm floret, lemma 7 mm floret	Ovary 02–06 DAF	Embryo 7–10 and 28–42 DAF,	leaf blade reproductive, root vegetative
7	Os02g0527300	3:50-4:10 am	14-48 DAT	20-41 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	15, 16 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm	63–70, 98–105 DAT	84 DAT	Anther, pistil, Lemma	Ovary 05–07 DAF	Embryo 28–42 DAF, Endosperm 07 DAF	Pistil, lemma
œ	Os03g0161900	5:30–6:00 am	55-62 DAT	20 DAT	Vegetative reproductive to ripening	15, 16 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm	49, 70–77 DAT	49, 91 DAT	pistil, Lemma, palea	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 07, 28–42 DAF, Endosperm 7 DAF	Leaf blade vegeta- tive and reproduc- tive, ovary 1 DAF
6	Os03g0224700	6:00 AM	55-62, 104 DAT	20 and 48 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	16, 44 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm	28, 77 DAT	21, 49 DAT	Anther	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 07 DAF, Endosperm 07 DAF	Anther and ovary development
10	Os03g0366800	4:00–6:00 am	48–62, 104–111 DAT	20-55 DAT	Vegetative reproductive to ripening	15-44 DAT	18:00–19:20 pm	84-126 DAT	49-70 DAT	Inflorescence and pistil	Embryo 5–10 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF	stem reproductive, inflorescence, pistil, embryo develop- ment

Table 4 Role of HSF gene family in field development

Table 4 (continued)												
Sr. No	Locus ID	Leaf sunrise	Leaf day time	Root day time	Leaf Diurnal	Root Diurnal	Leaf sunset	leaf night time	Root night time	Reproductive organs	Grain at early stage	Grain Ripening	Spatio-temporal profile
1	Os03g0745000	4:40-5:10 am	118-125 DAT	20 and 55 DAT	Reproduc- tive	16, 44 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm, 18:00– 18:20 pm	14, 56, 84 DAT	49-70 DAT	Anther and pistil	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 07 DAF,	ovary 01 DAF
12	Os03g0795900	4:30–5:00 am	4862 DAT	20–55 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	15, 16 DAT	17:40– 19:00 pm	49–84, 98–105 DAT	14, 49–70, 84–91 DAT	Inflorescence and pistil	ovary 01–02 DAF	Embryo 7–14 & 28–42 DAF,	leaf blade repro- ductive, pistil, ovary
13	Os03g0854500	4:00–6:00 am	76 DAT, 104–118 DAT	41-55 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	15, 16 DAT	18:20– 19:50 pm	98-105 DAT	49-56 DAT	Inflorescence and pistil	Embryo 05–06, 8–10 DAF,	Embryo 07–42 DAF	Leaf blade vegeta- tive and reproduc- tive, pistil, root vegetative and inflorescence
14	Os04g0568700	5:40-6:00 am	55–62 DAT	20 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	16 DAT	17:00– 17:30 pm	63–77 DAT	49-70 DAT	Pistil	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 07–10 DAF, endosperm 07 DAF	ovary 01 DAF
15	Os05g0530400	5:30–6:00 am	69, 97, 111 DAT	41–55 DAT	Reproduc- tive	15, 43 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm, 18:10– 18:30 pm	77–98 DAT	49–63, 84 DAT	Pistil, Palea	ovary 01–02 DAF	Embryo 28–42 DAF, Endosperm 10–14 DAF	Leaf blade reproductive, root reproductive, Ovary 01 DAF
16	Os06g0553100	5:20–5:30 am	13, 14, 83 DAT	27-49 DAT	Vegetative	6, 17 DAT	17:00– 17:40 pm, 19:30– 19:50 pm	14-28 DAT	14–35, 56 DAT	Inflorescence, Anther and pistil	Embryo 8–10 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF
17	Os06g0565200	4:50–5:00 am	48-62 DAT	20, 48–62 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	16 DAT	17:00– 17:30 pm	21–35 DAT	49-63, 84-91 DAT	Anther and pistil	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 10 and 28–42 DAF	ovary 01 DAF
18	Os07g0178600	5:20–6:00 am	20-41 DAT	20–34 and 48 DAT	Vegetative	15–16 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm	21–35 DAT	21–35 DAT	inflores- cence, pistil and lemma	Embryo 6 and 8–10 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF	inflorescence, pistil, embryo and lemma
19	Os07g0640900	5:10–6:00 am	20-41 DAT	20–34 and 48 DAT	Vegetative	15-16 DAT	17:00– 17:20 pm	21–35 DAT	21–35 DAT	inflores- cence, pistil and lemma	Embryo 6 and 8–10 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF	inflorescence, pistil, embryo and lemma
20	Os08g0471000	5:20–5:50 am	97 and 111– 125 DAT	41–55 DAT	Reproduc- tive	15–16 DAT, 43 DAT	18:20–18:40 pm	70-126 DAT	49–63 DAT	Lamma, palea	ovary 01 and -7–09 DAF	Endosperm, 07–21 DAF	Root vegetative, stem and root reproductive, stem ripening
21	Os08g0546800	5:50–6:00 am	62, 104 and 125 DAT	20-27 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	16 DAT	1 7:00– 1 7:20 pm	14, 77–84 DAT	63, 84–91 DAT	Inflorescence and anther	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 07 and 42 DAF, Endosperm 07	ovary 01 DAF

Table 4	(continued)												
Sr. No	Locus ID	Leaf sunrise	Leaf day time	Root day time	Leaf Diurnal	Root Diurnal	Leaf sunset	leaf night time	Root night time	Reproductive organs	Grain at early stage	Grain Ripening	Spatio-temporal profile
22	Os09g0455200	4:00–5:10 am	48–55 DAT	20–34 and 48 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	16 DAT	17:00– 18:20 pm	56-70 DAT	21–35 and 49 DAT	inflores- cence, pistil and lemma	ovary 1–3 DAF and endosperm 5–10	Embryo 07–42 DAF	stem reproduc- tive, inflorescence and pistil
23	Os09g0456800	5:20–5:50 am	97 and 111– 125 DAT	41-55 DAT	Reproduc- tive	15–16, 43 DAT	18:20– 18:40 pm	70-126 DAT	49–63 DAT	Lemma, palea	ovary 01 and -7–09 DAF	Endosperm, 07–21 DAF	Root vegetative, stem and root reproductive, stem ripening
24	Os09g0526600	5:40–6:00 am	62, 92–97 DAT	20–55 DAT	Repro- ductive to Reproduc- tive Ripening	16 and 44 DAT	17:10– 17:30 pm	14, 28 and 91 DAT	49-63 DAT	inflorescence and pistil	ovary 01 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF, endosperm 7, 14 and 42 DAF	Leaf blade ripen- ing, root vegeta- tive, ovary 01 DAF, embryo 07 DAF
25	Os10g0419300	4:40-5:40 am	34-55 DAT	20-41 DAT	Vegetative reproductive, Repro- ductive and Repro- ductive Ripening	43 DAT	17:40– 18:20 pm	42-70 DAT	28-56 DAT	inflores- cence, Palea and lemma	Embryo 5–8 DAF	Embryo 07–42 DAF, Endosperm 07–14 DAF	Stem reproduc- tive and ripening, lemma, palea, ovary 01–07 and embryo 07–42

_
5
2
$\underline{\Phi}$
\supset
·=
Ę
~
0
U
\sim
4
J.
-
<u> </u>
_



Fig. 8 Role of Os01g39020 gene in field development (A) and network image (B)

(Fig. 10), *Os03g25080* (Fig. 11) *Os02g29340*, *Os03g58160*, *Os03g63750*, *Os06g35960*, *Os07g08140*, *Os07g44690*, *Os08g43334* (Fig. 12), *Os09g28200*, *Os09g35790* and *Os10g28340* are up-regulated see Table 4.

Expression profiles of *OsHSFs* at different plant hormone stages

A wide variety of plant hormones have an impact on rice growth, development, and yield. RiceXpro was used to analyze the data in order to survey rice OsHSFs expression in response to several plant hormones (Table 5). The genes Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os02g13800, Os03g12370, Os03g25080, Os04g48030, Os06g35960, Os07g08140, Os08g43334 and Os09g28354 had the highest expression in the root and shoot in response to abscisic acid. Os01g54550 and Os03g25080 were moderately overexpressed in the root and shoot at various times when gibberellins were present. Only two OsHSFs (Os01g39020 and Os01g54550) displayed considerably increased expression in the rice plant's root and shoot when auxin hormone was present. A single gene, Os01g43590, showed moderate expression in the root and shoot when brassinosteroid hormone was present. The genes Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os02g29340, and Os08g43334 had the maximum expression under cytokinin hormone; however no discernible effect was seen in the shoot. Most OsHSFs genes in the shoot are up-regulated in response to jasmonate. However, some *OsHSFs* could only be activated by a specific hormone. While other *OsHSFs* displayed virtually minimal expression in response to any hormone stimulation.

Coexpression of OsHSFs gene family

According to a hierarchy and mutual rank (MR) value on an ascending MR value, as illustrated in Fig. 13, the HyperTree graphical presentation illustrates the relationships between coexpressed genes. The HyperTree nodes were labeled with transcription factors name. It reveals the association of HSF genes with other TF such as G-2 like, GRAS, RWP, RWK, bZIP, trihelix, WRKY as shown in Fig. 14. As a result, the gene network would show how the 25 HSF genes had overlapping activities and provide valuable information that could be used to better understand the molecular mechanism of rice reproductive evolution.

Discussion

To provide food security under diverse climate scenario and ever-increasing global populace, it is imperious to comprehend the molecular mechanisms of plants and discover genetic resources related to agricultural productivity. It has been discovered through the sequencing of the crop plants that the number of



Fig. 9 Role of Os01g53220 gene in field development (A) and gene networking analysis on the basis locus ID (B)

OsHSFs may not be influenced by the genome's size. As an illustration, *Zea mays* (2.4 Gb) has 25 genes, *Oryza sativa* (430 Mb) has 25 HSFs, *A. thaliana* (135 Mb) has 21 HSFs and *Medicago truncatula* (375 Mb) has 15 HSFs, Based on the drafted rice genome sequence [29, 30], Baniwal et al. [31] hypothesised that the rice genome contained 23 genes that encode HSF. *OsHSFs* are essential to plant growth, according to earlier findings. So, using the RiceXPro database, we looked at the specific expression of *OsHSFs* across 12 different developmental stages (Table 4). Many genes had increased expression, which was indicative of how they functioned at various developmental stages. In particular, Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os03g25080, Os01g54550, Os02g13800 and Os10g28340 expressed extremely across all the growth stages. This gives significant support for the outcome of our analysis and creates a solid foundation for subsequent research to characterize the functions of Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os01g54550, Os02g13800 and Os10g28340 under different plant hormonal level. Similarly, it has been suggested that OsHSFs are crucial for plants to cope with abiotic stresses. According to Kumar et al. (2018), TaHSFs A6e modulates wheat's resistance to drought and heat stress during the reproductive phases [32]. By inducing the expression of heat shock proteins (HSPs),



Fig. 10 Role of Os01g54550 gene in field development (A) and gene networking analysis on the basis locus ID (B)

Yokotani et al. (2018) shown that HSFsA2e restores Arabidopsis adaptation to salt and heat stress [33]. The expression of OsHSFs was assessed under abiotic stress conditions using microarray analysis. Most of OsHSFs family genes displayed stress-specific expression; however some OsHSFs exhibited up-regulation under particular stress. According to Jiang (2016), OsHSFs improves plant tolerance to heat and salinity stress and escalated sensitivity to the abscisic acid [34]. Similarly in our study, Os01g39020, Os01g53220, Os01g54550, Os02g13800 and Os10g28340 genes exhibited highest expression may be used to improved development of plant reproductive organs, leaf diurnal, root diurnal and grains ripening and these genes is also played better performance under different hormonal levels. The study found that there were various transcription factor transcripts under different stress conditions.

In Arabidopsis, sunflower and Medicago truncatula solely express HSFA9 gene during seed development [35, 36]. The rice gene Os03g12370, which is an Arabidopsis and sunflower homolog, was not expressed during seed development. In our investigation, six OsHSF genes had enhanced expression in specific tissue. The Os09g28354 and Os01g39020 and *Os01g53220* genes have a relationship with reproductive organ tissues, respectively, as well as seed and root tissues. The *Os02g1380* is in root and reproductive organs, *Os05g45410* and *Os01g54550* in leaf, vegetative and ripening, *Os03g58160* in panicle, *Os01g53220* in flower and *Os03g25080* in the pistil has significant affect under stress conditions. Theoretical explanations for HSF A1a/A1b in *Arabidopsis* and HSF A1a in tomato suggest that constitutively produced *OsHSFs* may be crucial for the regulation of stress-induced HSFs genes [37, 38].

It is well known that osmotic stress, salt, cold, and heat all significantly increase the expression of HSF in *Arabidopsis*. In this study, expression profile analysis revealed that *OsHSF* also respond to various abiotic stresses. *Os03g53340, Os07g44690, Os01g53220, Os01g54550* and *Os02g13800* play major role in the ROS accumulation pathways. Our findings are consistent with those of Wang et al. (2022) [39], who hypothesised that *OsHSFs* would function as sensors for changes in ROS intensity. Among all *OsHSFs*, the gene *Os03g53340* showed the greatest level of expression at both oxidative stress time points. Furthermore, it implies that *Os02g32590* and *Os01g39020*



KEGG Pathway	Locus ID	Specific Pathway
osa01100 Metabolic pathways	Os01g0183000 Os03g0223900 Os11g0210300	•
osa00010 Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	Os11g0210300	•
osa01110 Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites	Os11g0210300	-
osa00071 Fatty acid metabolism	Os11g0210300	•
osa00350 Tyrosine metabolism	Os11g0210300	•
osa00980 Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	Os11g0210300	•
osa00564 Glycerophospholipid metabolism	Os01g0183000	•



Page 17 of 25



Fig. 11 Role of Os03g25080 gene in field development (A) and network image (B)

could be involved in the delayed reaction to oxidative stress. It is notable that *Os07g08140* seems to be the least responsive to the stress circumstances whereas *Os03g53340* had the greatest transcript regulation under stress. The co-induction of *OsHSF* genes may provide important details about the pathways that respond to stress. The DNA-binding domain of plant *OsHSFs* genes is divided into two portions by an intron. This intron is located in the same location at each *OsHSF*, however its size varies [40]. The majority of HSF genes only contain one intron in the DBD, and rice is not an exception to this rule (Fig. 6). Besides, it was revealed that the rice HSFs gene is not intronless, contrary to the general finding that roughly 20% of rice genes are intron-less [19, 41]. Intron-less genes have been found in several rice transcription factors such as MADS box [42], C2H2 zinc finger [43], bZIP [44], SAUR [45] and F-box [46] gene families. Alternative splicing may occur and vary according to environmental stresses and at certain developmental stages. The *Oryza* 10% and *Arabidopsis* 11.6% genes exhibited alternatively spliced across numerous tissues [41, 47]. It is hypothesized that the evolution of a gene family is significantly influenced by the increase or decrease in exon number. As a result, the quantity and distribution of introns and exons in *OsHSF* genes were examined. Our findings showed that, with the exception of *Os08g43334*, *Os03g12370*, *Os09g35790*, and *Os03g06630*, all *OsHSF* genes had one intron and two exons (Fig. 6). Furthermore, exon and intron length



Fig. 12 Role of Os08g43334 gene in field development (A) and gene networking analysis on the basis of locus ID (B)

and positions varied significantly between various subclasses as they were highly conserved within the same subclasses. It is reported that the improvement of translational efficiency through the promotion of gene expression by intron transcription initiation, increased mRNA accumulation [48].

According to Xie et al. 2019, the *OsHSF* family of genes exhibits a co-expression pattern under various abiotic stimuli in *Arabidopsis* [49]. Our study indicates that *OsHSF* TF regulate multiple mechanisms in rice. During co-expression analysis of selected *OsHSF* gene(s), it was found that the *OsHSF* genes trigger the C2H2 type zinc finger proteins that enhance plant drought resistance through activating the expression of related targeted genes and increasing the levels of osmotic regulations [50]. The *OsHSF* genes also co-expressed with Golden 2-like family genes (G2-like) that

have been characterized by regulating the formation of chloroplasts during the transition and early maturing phases Fig. 14 [51].

The CCAAT-binding complex (CBC), which regulates primary and secondary metabolism, development, stress reactions, and pathogenicity in fungi and plants, is activated by the *OsHSFs*. The CBC is normally composed of heterotrimeric core subunits. [52]. Moreover, the sequence-specific DNA-binding TF known as "growth regulating factors" (GRFs) regulate numerous aspects of plant growth and development [53, 54]. The BZR1/BES1 and *OsHSFs* play a crucial role in BR signaling and also act as a regulator in multi-signal-regulated plant growth and development events by directly networking with other key proteins or genes [42, 55].

In the co-expression analysis, the AP2/EREBP genes were also triggered that play indispensable roles in root

RiceXpro/Ric (Databases)	eFRND	Abscisic acid		Gibberellin		Auxin		Brassinosteroi	70	Cytokinine		Jasmonic acid	
	Locus ID	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot
-	Os01g0571300	3 h-6 h	6 h-12 h	30 min-1 h	equal to con- trol	3 h-6 h	3 h-6 h	3 h	12 h	1 h and 6 h	equal to con- trol	1 h-6 h	3 h- 12 h
2	Os01g0625300	30 min-3 h	less to control	30 min-1 h	equal to con- trol	30 min- 3 h	equal to con- trol	1 h- 6 h	3 h- 12 h	less to control	1 h-12 h	less to control	0 h only
c.	Os01g0733200	30 min-6 h	3 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	at 3 h only	equal to con- trol	less to control	equal to con- trol	1 h-6 h	equal to con- trol	less to control	equal or less to control
4	Os01g0749300	3 h-6 h	6 h-12 h	only at 3 h	12 h only or equal to control	1 h-6 h	3 h-6 h	6 h	0 h-12 h	6 h	12 h only or equal to control	30 min- 1 h	6 h-12 h
5	Os02g0232000	3 h-6 h	3 h-12 h	30 min-1 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	30 min-1 h	equal to con- trol	6 h	equal to con- trol	3 h	12 h
9	Os02g0496100	6 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	бh	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h-6 h	equal to con- trol	6 h	6 h- 12 h
7	Os02g0527300	30 min-3 h	1 h-6 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	1 h-3 h	equal to control
00	Os03g0161900	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h	equal to con- trol	30 min-1 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	0 min-1 h	3 h only	15 min-1 h	equal to control
6	Os03g0224700	3 h-6 h	1 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h	3 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h only	1 h-6 h	12 h
10	Os03g0366800	3h-6 h	equal to con- trol	3 h	6–12 h	3h-6 h	equal to con- trol	30 min-6 h	equal to control				
11	Os03g0745000	3 h-6 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h	equal to con- trol	6 h	equal to con- trol	15 min	equal to con- trol	30 min-6 h	equal to control
12	Os03g0795900	чч	3 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	6 h	equal to con- trol	0 min	6 h- 12 h
13	Os03g0854500	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	1 h	equal to con- trol	6 h	equal to con- trol	1 h-3 h	equal to con- trol	12 h	equal to con- trol	6 h
14	Os04g0568700	3 h-6 h	1 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	12 h	30 min-3 h	1 h-12 h	30 min-3 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	1 h-3 h	3 h-12 h
15	Os05g0530400	3 h-6 h	6 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	1 h-3 h	6 h	Less to con- trol	Less to con- trol	6 h	Less to con- trol	30 min-1 h	3 h-12 h
16	Os06g0553100	3 h-6 h	3 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h	Less to con- trol	12 h	Less to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h	3 h-12 h
17	Os06g0565200	30 min-3 h	Less to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	30 min-3 h	equal to con- trol	бh	12 h	1 L	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol and at 3 h reduced	Less to control
18	Os07g0178600	30 min-6 h	3 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	1 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	6 h- 12 h	12 h	12 h	3 h	Less to control
19	Os07g0640900	30 min-6 h	3 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	1 h-3 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	6 h	6 h	12 h	3 h	Less to control

 Table 5
 Role of HSF gene family in plant hormones

Table 5 (c	:ontinued)												
RiceXpro/Ric (Databases)	eFRND	Abscisic acid		Gibberellin		Auxin		Brassinosteroi		Cytokinine		Jasmonic acid	
	Locus ID	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot	Root	shoot
20	Os08g0471000	3h-6 h	1h-12 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	3h-6 h	3 h	less to control	less to control	less to control	less to control	1 h and 6 h	3h-12 h
21	Os08g0546800	3 h-6 h	3 h-12 h	чк	equal to con- trol	3 h-6 h	equal to con- trol	1 h-6 h	Less to con- trol	3 h-6 h	Less to con- trol	1 h and 6 h	3 h-12 h
22	Os09g0455200	equal to con- trol	almost equal to control	less to control	equal to con- trol	less to control	6 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	less to control	12 h	less to control	Less to control
23	Os09g0456800	3 h-6 h	1 h-12 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	3 h-6 h	зh	less to control	less to control	less to control	less to control	1 h and 6 h	3 h-12 h
24	Os09g0526600	3 h-6 h	1 h-12 h	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	3 h	1 h-3 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	less to control	less to control	1 h and 6 h	3 h-12 h
25	Os10g0419300	Increase then decrease	less to control	3 h	less to control	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	equal to con- trol	less to control	12 h	first increase then decrease	12 h

ntinued)
0
J
ŝ
Ð
Ξ



Fig. 13 Networking of HSF gene family members [25] triggering multiple genes. Red dots represents the endocytosis process, blue dot for the spliceosome process, pink dot for the ascorbate and aldarate metabolism and yellow dot for glutathione metabolism

initiation and growth of rice plant [56]. The basic leucine zipper (bZIP) family control key processes in all eukaryotes. In plants, bZIPs with *OsHSFs* regulates many central developmental and physiological processes like photomorphogenesis, energy homeostasis, leaf formation, seed development under biotic and biotic stresses. The rice drought stress is caused by TF that are encoded by the bZIP genes. By modifying amino acid metabolism, *OsMYB55* and *OsHSFs* co-expressed in rice promote vegetative development and increase grain production under



Fig. 14 Hyper tree of single guide gene of heat shock factor gene family

high temperature circumstances [57]. Systematic investigation of the rice TF family gene reveals that they are up-regulated under heat stress and contribute in a multiplicative way to the *OsHSF* genes [58].

When plant is growing, auxin stimulates the cell wall and also influences root formation [59].

The root nodules (RN) symbiosis is dependent on two GRAS domain transcription factors known as the nodulation signaling pathway (NSP1 and NSP2). Their rice homologs, *OsNSP1* and *OsNSP2*, effectively reversed the RN symbiosis-defective phenotypes of the mutants of the corresponding genes in the model legume *Lotus japonicas* [60, 61]. Through cell differentiation, *OsHSFs* and the

RWP-RK domain regulate the development of female gametophytes. This is good attribute to identify the early maturing rice genotypes which flower under high temperature [62].

Co-expression of HSF and WRKY TF, which respond to biotic and abiotic stresses, controls plant growth and development. It is still unclear how WRKY TFs regulate plant height in rice and react to drought stress at the molecular level. In rice, the majority of the WRKY genes show variable responses towards cold, heat, PEG and salinity stresses [63]. Recently, the *HSFA2e* gene has been annotated to confer thermo-tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants [64].

Conclusion

Comprehensive *in-silico* investigation, including phylogenetic analysis, gene structure and conserved motif analysis, chromosomal location, evolutionary analysis, and OsHSF expression profile, was carried out to better understand the function of 25 OsHSF genes. According to expression profiling, Os03g53340, Os01g54550, Os02g13800, and Os01g39020 are the key heat shock regulators (HSR) in rice, and Os03g53340 is crucial for the early activation of the heat shock protein gene under heat stress. These findings laid the foundation for developmental processes and responses to various stresses using various functional validation processes, such as overexpression, knockout via CRISPR/Cas9 systems, etc. The role of OsHSFs in the abiotic stress response pathway was initiated not only in heat shock but also in other abiotic stresses. This information can be used to produce stress-tolerant rice cultivars suitable under changing climate conditions.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-023-04399-1.

Additional file 1.

Additional file 2.

Additional file 3.

Acknowledgements

Authors are highly thankful to NIAB-C, PIEAS, for providing the permission and analytical facilities to carry out the PhD study and complete the research.

Authors' contributions

Areeqa Shamshad and Muhammad Rashid wrote the main manuscript text and Qamar uz Zaman prepared figures. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in public repository, [PERSISTENT WEB LINK OR ACCESSION NUMBER TO DATASETS]". 1. The datasets generated during the current study are available in the public repositories and analysed using online tools/softwares. The National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, the Database of Rice Transcription Factors (DRTF) http://plantfdb.gao-lab. org/ index.php?sp = Osj, MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project Database http:// rice.uga.edu/, Plant Genome Database (PlantGDB) https://www.plantgdb.org/, The RAP-DB website's BLAST online tool http://rice.uga.edu/analyses_search_ blast.shtml GENSCAN http://hollywood.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html The Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART) http://smart.embl-heidelberg. de/. Clustal Omega https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/. Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME Suite version 5.5.0) https://meme-suite.org/meme/ tools/meme. Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS) http://gsds.gao-lab.org/, Tbtool https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtools /releases, AlphaFold https://alpha fold.ebi.ac.uk/, RiceXPro https://ricexpro.dna.affrc.go.jp/, Ricefrnd https://ricef rend.dna.affrc.go.jp/single-guide-gene.html.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 3 April 2023 Accepted: 3 August 2023 Published online: 17 August 2023

References

- Lippmann R, Babben S, Menger A, Delker C, Quint M. Development of wild and cultivated plants under global warming conditions. Curr Biol. 2019;29(24):R1326–38.
- 2. Qin L, Li C, Li D, Wang J, Yang L, Qu A, Wu Q. Physiological, metabolic and transcriptional responses of Basil (Ocimum basilicum Linn. var. pilosum (Willd.) Benth.) to heat stress. Agronomy. 2022;12(6):1434.
- Chaturvedi P, Wiese AJ, Ghatak A, Zaveska Drabkova L, Weckwerth W, Honys D. Heat stress response mechanisms in pollen development. New Phytol. 2021;231(2):571–85.
- 4. Liu H, Timko MP. Jasmonic acid signaling and molecular crosstalk with other phytohormones. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(6):2914.
- 5. Guo A-Y, Zhu Q-H, Chen X, Luo J-C. GSDS: a gene structure display server. Yi= chuan Hereditas. 2007;29(8):1023–6.
- Kyriakou E, Taouktsi E, Syntichaki P. The thermal stress coping network of the nematode caenorhabditis elegans. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(23):14907.
- Bano A, Gupta A, Rai S, Fatima T, Sharma S, Pathak N. Mechanistic role of reactive oxygen species and its regulation via the antioxidant system under environmental stress. Plant Stress Physiol Perspect Agric. 2021;11:1–18.
- Yang Y, Guo Y. Unraveling salt stress signaling in plants. J Integr Plant Biol. 2018;60(9):796–804.
- Hoang TV, Vo KTX, Rahman MM, Choi S-H, Jeon J-S. Heat stress transcription factor OsSPL7 plays a critical role in reactive oxygen species balance and stress responses in rice. Plant Sci. 2019;289:110273.
- 10. von Koskull-Döring P, Scharf K-D, Nover L. The diversity of plant heat stress transcription factors. Trends Plant Sci. 2007;12(10):452–7.
- Scharf K-D, Berberich T, Ebersberger I, Nover L. The plant heat stress transcription factor (Hsf) family: structure, function and evolution. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms. 2012;1819(2):104–19.
- Nover L, Bharti K, Döring P, Mishra SK, Ganguli A, Scharf K-D. Arabidopsis and the heat stress transcription factor world: how many heat stress transcription factors do we need? Cell Stress Chaperones. 2001;6(3):177.
- Wen F, Wu X, Li T, Jia M, Liu X, Li P, Zhou X, Ji X, Yue X. Genome-wide survey of heat shock factors and heat shock protein 70s and their regulatory network under abiotic stresses in Brachypodium distachyon. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(7):e0180352.
- Kazerooni EA, Al-Sadi AM, Kim I-D, Imran M, Lee I-J. Ampelopsin confers endurance and rehabilitation mechanisms in glycine max cv. sowonkong under multiple abiotic stresses. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(20):10943.
- Yang X, Zhu W, Zhang H, Liu N, Tian S. Heat shock factors in tomatoes: genome-wide identification, phylogenetic analysis and expression profiling under development and heat stress. PeerJ. 2016;4:e1961.
- Wang F, Dong Q, Jiang H, Zhu S, Chen B, Xiang Y. Genome-wide analysis of the heat shock transcription factors in Populus trichocarpa and Medicago truncatula. Mol Biol Rep. 2012;39:1877–86.

- 17. Lin Y-X, Jiang H-Y, Chu Z-X, Tang X-L, Zhu S-W, Cheng B-J. Genome-wide identification, classification and analysis of heat shock transcription factor family in maize. BMC Genomics. 2011;12:1–14.
- Haider S, Rehman S, Ahmad Y, Raza A, Tabassum J, Javed T, Osman HS, Mahmood T. In silico characterization and expression profiles of heat shock transcription factors (HSFs) in maize (Zea mays L.). Agronomy. 2021;11(11):2335.
- Duan S, Liu B, Zhang Y, Li G, Guo X. Genome-wide identification and abiotic stress-responsive pattern of heat shock transcription factor family in Triticum aestivum L. BMC Genomics. 2019;20(1):1–20.
- Sayers EW, Barrett T, Benson DA, Bolton E, Bryant SH, Canese K, Chetvernin V, Church DM, DiCuccio M, Federhen S. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;39(suppl_1):D38–51.
- Zhang H, Jin J, Tang L, Zhao Y, Gu X, Gao G, Luo J. PlantTFDB 2.0: update and improvement of the comprehensive plant transcription factor database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39(suppl_1):D1114–7.
- Ouyang S, Zhu W, Hamilton J, Lin H, Campbell M, Childs K, Thibaud-Nissen F, Malek RL, Lee Y, Zheng L. The TIGR rice genome annotation resource: improvements and new features. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35(suppl_1):D883–7.
- Dong Q, Schlueter SD, Brendel V. PlantGDB, plant genome database and analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(suppl_1):D354–9.
- Tanaka T, Antonio BA, Kikuchi S, Matsumoto T, Nagamura Y, Hisataka Numa, Hiroaki Sakai, et al. "The rice annotation project database (RAP-DB): 2008 update." Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36;D741–4.
- 25. Burge C, Karlin S. Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. J Mol Biol. 1997;268(1):78–94.
- Lynch M, Kewalramani A. Messenger RNA surveillance and the evolutionary proliferation of introns. Mol Biol Evol. 2003;20(4):563–71.
- Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021;596(7873):583–9.
- Sato Y, Antonio BA, Namiki N, Takehisa H, Minami H, Kamatsuki K, Sugimoto K, Shimizu Y, Hirochika H, Nagamura Y. RiceXPro: a platform for monitoring gene expression in japonica rice grown under natural field conditions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;39(suppl_1):D1141–8.
- Fragkostefanakis S, Mesihovic A, Simm S, Paupière MJ, Hu Y, Paul P, Mishra SK, Tschiersch B, Theres K, Bovy A. HsfA2 controls the activity of developmentally and stress-regulated heat stress protection mechanisms in tomato male reproductive tissues. Plant Physiol. 2016;170(4):2461–77.
- Wang N, Lin Y, Qi F, Xiaoyang C, Peng Z, Yu Y, Liu Y, Zhang J, Qi X, Deyholos M. Comprehensive analysis of differentially expressed genes and epigenetic modification-related expression variation induced by saline stress at seedling stage in fiber and oil flax, linum usitatissimum L. Plants. 2022;11(15):2053.
- Baniwal SK, Bharti K, Chan KY, Fauth M, Ganguli A, Kotak S, Mishra SK, Nover L, Port M, Scharf K-D. Heat stress response in plants: a complex game with chaperones and more than twenty heat stress transcription factors. J Biosci. 2004;29:471–87.
- Kumar RR, Goswami S, Singh K, Dubey K, Rai GK, Singh B, Singh S, Grover M, Mishra D, Kumar S. Characterization of novel heat-responsive transcription factor (TaHSFA6e) gene involved in regulation of heat shock proteins (HSPs)—A key member of heat stress-tolerance network of wheat. J Biotechnol. 2018;279:1–12.
- Jiang Y, Zheng Q, Chen L, Liang Y, Wu J. Ectopic overexpression of maize heat shock transcription factor gene ZmHsf04 confers increased thermo and salt-stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. Acta Physiol Plant. 2018;40:1–12.
- 34. Guo M, Liu J-H, Ma X, Luo D-X, Gong Z-H, Lu M-H. The plant heat stress transcription factors (HSFs): structure, regulation, and function in response to abiotic stresses. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:114.
- Tejedor-Cano J, Prieto-Dapena P, Almoguera C, Carranco R, Hiratsu K, Ohme-Takagi M, Jordano J. Loss of function of the HSFA9 seed longevity program. Plant Cell Environ. 2010;33(8):1408–17.
- 36. Zinsmeister J, Berriri S, Basso DP, Ly-Vu B, Dang TT, Lalanne D, da Silva EAA, Leprince O, Buitink J. The seed-specific heat shock factor A9 regulates the depth of dormancy in Medicago truncatula seeds via ABA signalling. Plant, Cell Environ. 2020;43(10):2508–22.

- Rowley ER, Mockler TC. Plant abiotic stress: insights from the genomics era. Abiotic Stress Response in Plants-Physiological, biochemical and genetic perspectives. Rijeka: InTech; 2011. p. 221–68.
- Mittal D, Chakrabarti S, Sarkar A, Singh A, Grover A. Heat shock factor gene family in rice: genomic organization and transcript expression profiling in response to high temperature, low temperature and oxidative stresses. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2009;47(9):785–95.
- Wang L, Hou Y, Wang Y, Hu S, Zheng Y, Jin P. Genome-wide identification of heat shock transcription factors and potential role in regulation of antioxidant response under hot water and glycine betaine treatments in cold-stored peaches. J Sci Food Agric. 2022;102(2):628–43.
- Qiao X, Li M, Li L, Yin H, Wu J, Zhang S. Genome-wide identification and comparative analysis of the heat shock transcription factor family in Chinese white pear (Pyrus bretschneideri) and five other Rosaceae species. BMC Plant Biol. 2015;15(1):1–16.
- Chauhan H, Khurana N, Agarwal P, Khurana P. Heat shock factors in rice (Oryza sativa L): genome-wide expression analysis during reproductive development and abiotic stress. Mol Genet Genom. 2011;286:171–87.
- Wang Y, Zhang J, Hu Z, Guo X, Tian S, Chen G. Genome-wide analysis of the MADS-box transcription factor family in Solanum lycopersicum. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(12):2961.
- 43. Cai Y, Zhang W, Fu Y, Shan Z, Xu J, Wang P, Kong F, Jin J, Yan H, Ge X. Du13 encodes a C2H2 zinc-finger protein that regulates Wxb premRNA splicing and microRNA biogenesis in rice endosperm. Plant Biotechnol J. 2022;20(7):1387–401.
- 44. Ahad A, Aslam R, Gul A, Amir R, Munir F, Batool TS, Ilyas M, Sarwar M, Nadeem MA, Baloch FS. Genome-wide analysis of bZIP, BBR, and BZR transcription factors in Triticum aestivum. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(11):e0259404.
- 45. Fan Y, Yan J, Lai D, Yang H, Xue G, He A, Guo T, Chen L, Cheng X-B, Xiang D-B. Genome-wide identification, expression analysis, and functional study of the GRAS transcription factor family and its response to abiotic stress in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. BMC Genom. 2021;22:1–21.
- Sadat M, Ullah M, Bashar KK, Hossen QM, Tareq M, Islam M. Genomewide identification of F-box proteins in Macrophomina phaseolina and comparison with other fungus. J Genet Eng Biotechnol. 2021;19(1):1–14.
- 47. Ganie SA, Reddy AS. Stress-induced changes in alternative splicing landscape in rice: functional significance of splice isoforms in stress tolerance. Biology. 2021;10(4):309.
- Shaul O. How introns enhance gene expression. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2017;91:145–55.
- Xie L, Li X, Hou D, Cheng Z, Liu J, Li J, Mu S, Gao J. Genome-wide analysis and expression profiling of the heat shock factor gene family in Phyllostachys edulis during development and in response to abiotic stresses. Forests. 2019;10(2):100.
- Cheuk A, Ouellet F, Houde M. The barley stripe mosaic virus expression system reveals the wheat C2H2 zinc finger protein TaZFP1B as a key regulator of drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol. 2020;20(1):1–34.
- Nguyen MK, Yang C-M, Tin-Han S, Szu-Hsien L, Pham GT, Nguyen HC. Chlorophyll biosynthesis and transcriptome profiles of chlorophyll b-deficient type 2b rice (Oryza sativa L.). Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca. 2021;49(3):12380–12380.
- Kim J-E, Nam H, Park J, Choi GJ, Lee Y-W, Son H. Characterization of the CCAAT-binding transcription factor complex in the plant pathogenic fungus Fusarium graminearum. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):4898.
- Kim JH. Biological roles and an evolutionary sketch of the GRF-GIF transcriptional complex in plants. BMB Rep. 2019;52(4):227.
- Kuijt SJ, Greco R, Agalou A, Shao J, t Hoen CC, Övernäs E, Osnato M, Curiale S, Meynard D, van Gulik R. Interaction between the growthregulating factor and knotted1-like homeobox families of transcription factors. Plant physiology. 2014;164(4):1952–66.
- Li Q, Guo L, Wang H, Zhang Y, Fan C, Shen Y. In silico genome-wide identification and comprehensive characterization of the BES1 gene family in soybean. Heliyon. 2019;5(6):e01868.
- Kim E-J, Kim Y-J, Hong W-J, Lee C, Jeon J-S, Jung K-H. Genome-wide analysis of root hair preferred RBOH genes suggests that three RBOH genes are associated with auxin-mediated root hair development in rice. J Plant Biol. 2019;62:229–38.
- 57. Yerlikaya BA, Ates D, Abudureyimu B, Aksoy E. Effect of climate change on abiotic stress response gene networks in arabidopsis thaliana.

In: Principles and practices of OMICS and genome editing for crop improvement. Cham: Springer international publishing; 2022. p. 149–172.

- Li K, Duan L, Zhang Y, Shi M, Chen S, Yang M, Ding Y, Peng Y, Dong Y, Yang H. Genome-wide identification and expression profile analysis of trihelix transcription factor family genes in response to abiotic stress in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. BMC Genom. 2021;22(1):1–17.
- 59. Toungos MD. Plant growth substances in crop production: a Review. IJIABR. 2018;6:1–8.
- 60. Yokota K, Soyano T, Kouchi H, Hayashi M. Function of GRAS proteins in root nodule symbiosis is retained in homologs of a non-legume, rice. Plant Cell Physiol. 2010;51(9):1436–42.
- Waseem M, Nkurikiyimfura O, Niyitanga S, Jakada BH, Shaheen I, Aslam MM. GRAS transcription factors emerging regulator in plants growth, development, and multiple stresses. Mol Biol Rep. 2022;49(10):9673–85.
- 62. Tedeschi F, Rizzo P, Rutten T, Altschmied L, Bäumlein H. RWP-RK domain-containing transcription factors control cell differentiation during female gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. New Phytol. 2017;213(4):1909–24.
- Sun X, Zhu J, Li X, Li Z, Han L, Luo H. AsHSP26. 8a, a creeping bentgrass small heat shock protein integrates different signaling pathways to modulate plant abiotic stress response. BMC Plant Biol. 2020;20(1):1–19.
- Bi H, Miao J, He J, Chen Q, Qian J, Li H, Xu Y, Ma D, Zhao Y, Tian X. Characterization of the wheat heat shock factor TaHsfA2e-5D conferring heat and drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(5):2784.
- He M, He C-Q, Ding N-Z. Abiotic stresses: general defenses of land plants and chances for engineering multistress tolerance. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:1771.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

