## RESEARCH



# Upregulated synthesis and production of bioactive compounds in *Lotus arabicus* L. by in vitro feeding with dried powder of date palm seeds

Doaa E. Elsherif<sup>1\*</sup>, Fatmah A. Safhi<sup>2</sup>, Asmaa M. Khalifa<sup>3</sup> and Gehad A. Ragab<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Plants are considered the primary source of many principal bioactive compounds that have been utilized in a wide range of applications including the pharmaceutical and biotechnological industries. Therefore, there is an imperative need to modulate the production of natural bioactive components. The present study aimed to determine the importance of dried and pulverized date palm seeds (DPS) as a natural elicitor for the synthesis of secondary metabolites in *Lotus arabicus* L.

**Results** The presence of various antioxidant compounds, simple sugars, amino acids, fatty acids and reasonable mineral contents was distinct in the phytochemical characterization of DPS. The major components detected in DPS analysis were the 5-(hydroxymethyl) furfural and 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4H-pyranone. The induced callus of *L. arabicus* (seven weeks old) was supplemented with DPS at different concentrations (0, 2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l) in culture media. Treatment with 8 g/l DPS induced the highest antioxidant capacity, ascorbic acid content and secondary metabolites (total phenolics and flavonoids) in the produced callus. Stress biomarkers (hydrogen peroxide and malon-dialdehyde) were found in the control ranges except at 10 g/l DPS. The expression patterns of key genes involoved in secondary metabolism modulation, such as phenylalanine ammonia lyase (*PAL*), chalcone synthase (*CHS*), chalcone isomerase (*CHI*), flavonol synthase (*FLS*) and deoxyxylulose phosphate reductoisomerase (*DXR*), were triggered after DPS treatments. Moreover, the quantitative profiling of phenolic and flavonoid compounds showed that supplementation with DPS, especially at 8 g/l, led to pronounced increases in most of the measured compounds.

**Conclusion** The marked upregulation of eliciting-responsive genes and overproduction of secondary metabolites provide molecular-based evidence for intensifying the principal pathways of phenylpropanoid, flavonoid and terpenoid biosynthesis. Overall, the present in vitro study highlights the stimulating capacity of DPS utilization to improve the bioactive components of *L. arabicus* at the physiological and molecular levels, enhancing its potential as a medicinal herb.

Keywords Callus culture, Date palm seeds, Elicitation, Flavonoids, Lotus arabicus, Phenolics

\*Correspondence: Doaa E. Elsherif doaa.elsherif@science.tanta.edu.eg Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/.

## Background

Lotus arabicus L. is a wild annual herb that belongs to the Fabaceae family. It has been traditionally used in folk medicine and in the production of pharmaceuticals and therapeutics due to its bioactive compounds including alkaloids, phenolics, flavonoids, terpenoids, tannins and cardiac glycosides [1]. The positive antimicrobial activity of *Lotus arabicus* L. was significantly associated with its rich bioactive components, which included tannins, coumarins and flavonoids [2]. Plant tissue cultures can serve as factories of secondary metabolites with the benefit of consistency in yield and quality [3]. The content of secondary metabolites in tissue cultures could be boosted using various methods, such as optimizing the composition and conditions of the culture medium and feeding with precursors and elicitors.

Secondary metabolites play a distinct role in plant protection from several stresses, which are often categorized into three major classes: phenolics, terpenoids and alkaloids [4, 5]. As the largest group of secondary metabolites, polyphenols exist in all plant species and play various biological roles in defense mechanisms [6]. Polyphenols are phenylpropanoids that are primarily synthesized from phenylalanine and are classified into many groups, such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, tannins, stilbenes and lignans [7]. The phenylpropanoid metabolic pathway involves the conversion of phenylalanine to p-coumaroyl-CoA by a three-step enzymatic reaction started by phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) [8]. Further enzymatic reactions involving chalcone synthase (CHS) and chalcone isomerase (CHI) result in the synthesis of different classes of flavonoids [9]. Moreover, flavonol synthase (FLS) plays a central role in the production of flavonols from dihydroflavonols [10]. Each step in this pathway is essential for the biosynthesis of a wide range of flavonoid compounds with distinct chemical structures and biological activities.

Terpenoids, as naturally occurring phytochemicals, contribute to normal plant growth and development and play crucial roles in plant interactions with environmental stresses [11]. They include diverse classes of primary and secondary metabolites such as phytohormones, photosynthetic pigments and essential oils [12, 13]. It was reported that L. arabicus L. contains high levels of the terpenoid sitosterol, which has many health benefits [1]. Most terpenoid derivatives are synthesized via the mevalonate (MVA) pathway and plastid-localized methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway [14]. In the enzymatic chloroplast pathway, a main upstream enzyme, deoxyxylulose phosphate reductoisomerase (DXR), is known to catalyze the reduction of deoxyxylulose phosphate (DXP) to MEP, which is oxidized to isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP), the common precursor of terpenoid biosynthesis. DXR activation has been reported to promote terpenoid pathways as a key regulatory enzyme controlling their synthesis [13, 15].

To increase the production of bioactive compounds, natural bioproducts have been employed as elicitors in plant tissue culture. Therefore, the availability of sustainable applications enhancing secondary metabolism productivity should be evaluated. The seeds of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) are considered byproducts that are massively disposed of daily, particularly in Middle Eastern countries. The costless seeds of date palm plants are a source of many components with high nutritional value such as oil, fibers, minerals, proteins, amino acids, fatty acids and phenolic compounds [16]. The date seeds exhibited high antioxidant activity when compared to standard antioxidants due to their phenolic compounds such as naringenin and rutin [17]. The rich contents make date palm seeds (DPS) a convenient organic application for eliciting plant secondary metabolism and growth. Despite that fact, this study is mostly the first to explore the convenience of DPS in plant tissue culture applications. Therefore, the objective of this investigation is to highlight the usage of date palm dried seeds as an innovative plant tissue culture application for provoking synthetic pathways of valuable secondary metabolites in L. arabicus L. callus.

#### **Materials and methods**

## Preparation and phytochemical analysis of date palm seeds

The dry fruits of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) were purchased from a local market (the common name is Aswani). The fruit pulp was removed to obtain the dry date seeds (kernels). The seeds were washed twice in distilled water, air-dried, crushed into a fine powder using a mill and passed through a sieve 2 mm in length.

For the qualitative characterization, a definite weight of DPS was soaked in 90% ethanol to extract its constituents and subsequently filtered to obtain the ethanolic extract, which further subjected to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis [18] and phytochemical analyses. The ethanolic extract  $(1 \mu l)$  was introduced to the GC mass spectrometer (Perkin Elmer model: clarus 580/560S) capillary column (Elite-5MS, 30 m 0.25 mm ID 0.25 µm df) using an autosampler AS3000 coupled with GC in split mode. The initial temperature of the oven was 60 °C, then increased to 210 °C at a 5 °C/min rate with a 6 min hold, and subsequently increased at a rate of 10 °C/ min to 280 °C. The carrier gas (helium) was applied in constant pressure mode at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The chemical constituents of DPS were characterized according to the GC retention time (RT). The resultant mass spectra were computer-matched with those of standard

compounds (50 to 620 Da) based on laboratory reference libraries from the NIST and Pfleger databases.

The mixed acid–digestion method was employed for phytochemical analysis. Approximately 0.5 g of DPS was digested by mixing with a  $HNO_3:H_2O_2$  mixture (5:3, v/v) and gently heated until a clear solution was obtained [19]. The solution was then diluted to a constant volume, filtered and further subjected to mineral analysis. The levels of K, Ca and Mg were estimated using inductively coupled plasma-optical spectroscopy (Polyscan 61E, Thermo Jarrell-Ash Corp., Franklin, MA, USA). A colorimetric assay with the Rochelle reagent was used to estimate the N ion content, and the molybdenum blue technique was utilized to detect the P ion content against standard calibration curves, according to [20]. Furthermore, the phenolic and flavonoid contents and total antioxidant activity were measured in the ethanolic extract of DPS.

#### Callus induction and in vitro culture of L. arabicus

The seeds of L. arabicus were collected from Al-Azhar Gardens (30.0408°N, 31.2647°E), Egypt. They were surface sterilized by dipping in 70% ethanol for 1 min, immersed in a 50% Clorox solution containing 5.4% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 20 min, and subsequently rinsed five times with sterilized distilled water. Surface sterilization of the seeds was carried out under complete aseptic conditions in a laminar air flow hood. The sterilized seeds were aseptically air-dried and germinated on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) media [21] supplemented with 30 g/l sucrose and 10 g/l agar. Cultures were incubated at 25±2°C in the dark to enable germination. The clean and sterilized stem explants obtained from the germinated seeds were cut into 1.5 cm segments and inoculated on solid MS media supplemented with 1 mg/l 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid) and 1 mg/l BA (6-benzyladenine) for callus induction (Fig. 1).

After seven weeks, the successfully induced calli were separated from the explants and cultured separately until a sufficient amount of callus was produced. The obtained calli were grown on MS media supplemented with 1 mg/l, 2,4-D and 1 mg/l BA; treated with different concentrations of DPS powder (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l) and then incubated at  $25\pm 2^{\circ}$ C in the dark. After seven weeks, the calli were separated from the media for further measurements.

#### Evaluation of growth behavior and secondary metabolites

After seven weeks, the calli were collected and the fresh weights were measured. Thereafter, the fresh samples were dried at 40°C for 48 h and then used to evaluate dry weight. Total phenolic and flavonoid levels were detected in the ethanolic extract of callus samples according to [22, 23], respectively. To estimate the total phenolics, the sample ethanolic extract was incubated with Folin – Ciocalteu's reagent and 20% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> for 1 h, where the absorbance was measured against standard phenol at 650 nm. The content of total flavonoids was quantified at 417 nm in a mixture containing sample extract, 10% AlCl<sub>3</sub>, 1 M K – acetate and 95% ethanol against a standard of quercetin.

#### Total antioxidant capacity (TAC)

The phosphomolybdate method was used to determine the total antioxidant capacity of the ethanolic extracts, employing ascorbic acid (ASA) as a standard [24]. A 0.3 ml aliquot of sample extract was blended with 3 ml of reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate). The tubes were incubated in a water bath at 95 °C for 90 min. Afterwards, the tubes were left to cool to room temperature, and the absorbance was measured at 695 nm against a blank of ASA. The TAC was expressed as mg/g ASA equivalent.



Fig. 1 A The seeds of *L. arabicus* were germinated on half of the Murashige and Skoog (MS) media. B Callus was induced from stem explant MS media supplemented with 1 mg/l 2,4-D and 1 mg/l BA

#### Determination of ascorbic acid

Ascorbic acid, as a non-enzymatic antioxidant, was estimated according to [25]. Callus tissues were extracted with 5% sulfosalicylic acid. The reaction mixture contained 2% Na molybdate, 0.15 N  $H_2SO_4$ , 1.5 mM  $Na_2HPO_4$  and callus extract. The mixture was incubated at 60 °C in a water bath for 40 min and then immediately cooled. The absorbance was measured at 660 nm, and the ascorbic acid content was calculated as mg/g fresh mass (FM).

#### **Evaluation of stress biomarkers**

The contents of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  and malondialdehyde (MDA) were assessed according to [26, 27], respectively. Fresh calli (0.1 g) were extracted in 0.1% trichloroacetic acid to estimate  $H_2O_2$  concentration. The callus extract was mixed with phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and KI<sub>2</sub> (1 M), and the absorbance was read at 390 nm. The level of  $H_2O_2$  was calculated with the aid of a coefficient of 0.28  $\mu$ M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. For MDA detection, as a product of lipid peroxidation, callus samples were extracted in 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. The reaction mixture consisted of sample extract and 0.67% (w/v) TBA; the mixture was boiled for 20 min and then immediately cooled. The absorbance was read at 532 and 600 nm, where the extinction coefficient (155 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) was utilized to measure the MDA concentration.

## Quantitation of relative expression levels of the specific key genes

Fresh *L. arabicus* callus tissues were pulverized in liquid nitrogen to extract total RNA using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). To obtain complementary DNA (cDNA), a reverse RNA transcription reaction was performed using Invitrogen's superscript III Reverse Transcriptase Kit in a thermocycler (Inc., PTC-100<sup>TM</sup> Programmable Thermal Controller, USA).

The expression patterns of specific key genes involved in secondary metabolism were analyzed via quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) using a Rotor-Gene 6000 (QIAGEN, Q 5 PLEX System, Germany). The reaction mixture contained SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Fermentas, USA) and specific primer pairs, as described in Table S1. The gene transcript levels were normalized to those of the GAPDH reference gene. The initiation step in the amplification program was adjusted to 95 °C for 10 min for denaturation, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30 s. All sample manipulations were performed in triplicate. The quantification of relative transcripts and expression levels of the studied genes was performed during the extension phase using the 2  $^{--\Delta\Delta\bar{C}T}$  method [28].

### Quantitative analyses of phenolic acids and flavonoids

The ethanol extract of L. arabicus was prepared for use in high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis using an Agilent 1260 series [29]. The standard compound mixtures were utilized for quantification including phenolic compounds (rosmarinic acid, caffeic acid, syringic acid, coumaric acid, vanillin, ferulic acid, chlorogenic acid and cinnamic acid) and flavonoids (daidzein, catechin, rutin, naringenin, quercetin and kaempferol). The HPLC chromtogram for the standard mixture is shown in Fig. S3. The separation was carried out using an Eclipse C18 column (4.6 mm×250 mm i.d., 5 µm) for flavonoid and phenolic compound analysis. The separation of phenolic acids and flavonoids was carried out with a mobile phase consisting of water (A) and 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile (B) at a flow rate of 0.9 ml/min. The mobile phase was programmed consecutively in a linear gradient as follows: 0 min (82% A); 0-5 min (80% A); 5-8 min (60% A); 8-12 min (60% A); 12-15 min (82% A); 15-16 min (82% A); and 16-20 (82%A). The multi-wavelength detector was monitored at 280 nm. The injection volume was 5 µl for each of the sample solutions. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C.

#### Statistical analysis

The results are presented as the mean of three replicates±standard error (SE). Differences between treatments for the different measured variables were tested by one-way variance (ANOVA), followed by Duncan's test with significant differences found (p < 0.05) using XLSTAT software (version 2014.5.03). The Pearson correlation coefficient and principle component analysis (PCA) between different parameters and different DPS treatments were performed using XLSTAT software.

#### Results

#### Qualitative GC-MS analysis of DPS

The major phytochemical components, identified via GC analysis, are listed in Table 1 according to the obtained chromatogram (Fig. S1). At RT of 12.726 min, 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF) was detected as the most abundant compound. The second highest component was 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4H-pyranone (DDMP), which was observed at RT of 10.625 min. The 2-furanone, 5-heptyldihydro and palmitic acid were detected as the third-highest compounds at RT of 17.043 and 24.416 min, respectively. Furthermore, 3-deoxy-dmannoic lactone and phenol 2,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl were detected at RT of 19.344 and 17.798 min, respectively. The D-alanine N-propargyloxycarbonyl- isohexyl ester and 2-ethylcyclohexyl ester were identified at RT of 8.324 and 12.481 min, respectively. Alternatively, several fatty acids, including palmitic acid, octadecanoic

No	Area %	Compounds	RT	RI	Height	Molecular formula
1	20.914	5-(Hydroxymethyl) furfural	12.726	1233.2	108,709,800	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
2	12.571	2,3-Dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4H-Pyran-4-one	10.625	1154.4	26,333,422	$C_6H_6O_4$
3	7.761	2(3H)-Furanone, 5-heptyldihydro-	17.043	1574	6,687,944	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
4	7.549	Palmitic acid	24.416	1962	34,546,876	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>32</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
5	3.307	3-Deoxy-d-mannoic lactone	19.344	1667	4,305,016	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>5</sub>
6	1.974	D-Alanine, N-propargyloxycarbonyl-, isohexyl ester	8.324	1725	4,880,929	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>21</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>
7	1.517	Pentanoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester	12.481	936	5,017,558	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
8	1.345	Phenol, 2,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl	17.798	1517	1,585,498	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O
9	1.311	Octadecanoic acid	27.922	2200	289,267.0	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>36</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
10	1.203	Myristic acid	21.324	1763	265,465.2	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
11	1.062	Tridecan-1-ol	27.477	1575	234,357.7	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O
13	0.969	Oxalic acid	19.249	748	4,435,632	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
14	0.895	Linoleic acid	24.035	2145.8	4,140,784	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>32</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
15	0.808	Hexadecanol	23.095	1880	178,167.7	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O

Table 1 Phytochemical constituents identified in DPS by GC-MS

RT Retention time, RI Retention index

acid, myristic acid, pentanoic acid and linoleic acid, were recorded with low ratios at RT of 24.416, 27.922, 21.324 and 24.035, respectively. Furthermore, tiny amounts of fatty alcohols (tridecan-1-ol and hexadecanol) and oxalic acid were observed.

## Phytochemical characterization of DPS

The DPS was phytochemically characterized to screen its components and interpret their promoting impact, as shown in Table S2. The mineral composition of DPS exhibited the highest P content, with 8.3 mg/g dry mass (DM). The level of N was 4 mg/g DM, while the contents of K and Ca were similarly represented (approximately 1 mg/g DM). The level of Mg ions was the lowest at 0.2 mg/g DM. On the other hand, DPS analysis revealed marked antioxidant activity (20.8 mg ASA/g DM), demonstrating the high availability of antioxidants. In this context, phenolic compounds were highly detected at 45.5 mg/g DM, and total flavonoid compounds were detected at a convenient level.

#### Biomass and secondary metabolites assessment

As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, treatment of *L. arabicus* callus with DPS had an enhanced effect on biomass yield. The serial sets of DPS powder caused a gradual increase in fresh and dry biomasses, while 8 g/l DPS exhibited the most pronounced improvement by 218% and 406%, respectively compared to those of the control.

On the other hand, the content of total phenolics and total flavonoids, as secondary metabolic markers, were determined as shown in Fig. 4. The results indicated that, compared with those in the control, the DPS-supplemented calli in the treatment group had significantly elevated levels of total phenolics in a dose-dependent manner, with a maximum increase of 80% occurring at 8 g/l DPS. Regarding the total flavonoid content, both the 4 and 8 g/l DPS treatments exhibited significant increase percentages of 12% and 24%, respectively, in comparison to the control.



Fig. 2 Effect of different concentrations of date palm seed powder (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l) on L. arabicus L callus

#### Antioxidant capacity and stress biomarkers detection

The obtained results shown in Fig. 5 indicate that the addition of DPS doses to the culture media significantly elevated the TAC and ASA contents when compared to their counterparts in the control callus tissues. Maximum increases of 154% and 41% in TAC and ASA, respectively, were recorded in 8 g/l DPS-treated calli relative to the control. In addition, supplementation with DPS in the culture media significantly increased the ASA content as a sign of enhanced TAC compared to the control treatment. Callus treated with 10 g/l DPS showed a

non-significant increase of 17% in TAC in comparison with the control.

Stress marker (MDA and  $H_2O_2$ ) contents were determined to investigate whether the application of DPS generates oxidative stress in treated *L. arabicus* calli, as shown in Fig. 6. Currently, the high level of DPS (10 g/l) exhibited significant increases in MDA and  $H_2O_2$  contents by 153% and 29%, respectively, in comparison to the control. However, lower DPS doses (2, 4 and 8 g/l) caused non-significant changes in MDA and  $H_2O_2$  contents, matching control levels.



Fig. 3 A Fresh biomass and (B) dry biomass of *L. arabicus* L callus grown under different concentrations of DPS (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l). The data are means  $\pm$  SE. Different small letters indicate statistically significant differences between different treatments according to Duncan's test (*P* < 0.05)



**Fig. 4** A total phenolic content and (**B**) total flavonoid content of *L. arabicus* L callus grown under different concentrations of DPS (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l). The data are means  $\pm$  SE. Different small letters indicate statistically significant differences between different treatments according to Dunnett's test (*P* < 0.05)



**Fig. 5 A** Total antioxidant capacity and (**B**) ascorbic acid, of *L. arabicus* L callus grown under different concentrations of DPS (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l). The data are means  $\pm$  SE. Different small letters indicate statistically significant differences between different treatments according to Duncan's test (*P* < 0.05)



**Fig. 6** A  $H_2O_2$  and (B) MDA of *L. arabicus* L callus grown under different concentrations of DPS (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l). The data are means ± SE. Different small letters indicate statistically significant differences between different treatments according to Duncan's test (P < 0.05)

## Modifications of the expression level of genes in *L. arabicus* callus

Changes in the expression levels of key genes involved in secondary metabolite biosynthetic pathways were also examined. The relative gene expression levels of *PAL*, *CHS*, *CHI*, *FLS* and *DXR* were determined to explore the eliciting role of DPS levels, as shown in Fig. 7. The application of DPS different sets markedly elevated the expression of these genes, indicating higher transcript levels comparable to the control. The moderate dose of DPS extract (8 g/l) was responsible for the highest increase in *PAL*, *CHS*, *CHI*, *FLS* and *DXR* expression by 2.0-, 2.4-, 4.0-, 4.9- and 1.6-fold, respectively, compared with the nontreated control.

#### Quantitative analyses of phenolic acids and flavonoids

HPLC was employed to quantitatively differentiate the major phenolic and flavonoid components in DPS-treated *L. arabicus* calli (Table 2, Fig. S2). The results revealed eight phenolic compounds (rosmarinic acid, caffeic acid, syringic acid, coumaric acid, vanillin, ferulic

acid, chlorogenic acid and cinnamic acid) and six flavonoids (daidzein, catechin, rutin, naringenin, quercetin and kaempferol). The results reflected a highly increased concentration of phenol and flavonoid constituents under DPS treatment, especially at 8 g/l, which was 145% greater than that of the untreated control. Compared to those of the control, considerable amounts of cinnamic acid derivatives, such as chlorogenic acid (15.2-fold) and rosmarinic acid (2.2-fold) were obtained at 8 g/l DPS, while the maximum caffeic acid level (4-fold) was recorded at 4 g/l DPS. In addition, significant quantities of the benzoic acid derivative vanillin and the cinnamic acid derivative ferulic acid were highly accumulated in 8 g/l DPS-treated calli.

Among the flavonoid constituents, 8 g/l DPS had the highest concentrations of catechin, quercetin rutin by 2.4-, 0.31- and 0.17-fold greater than those of the control, respectively. The naringenin level peaked at 10 g/l DPS by 2.3-fold compared to the control. Conversely, the DPS-recommended dose (8 g/l) caused a significant reduction in kaempferol content relative to the control.



**Fig. 7** Relative gene expressions of *CHS, CHI, FLS, PAL* and *DXR* in *L. arabicus* L callus. Data are means of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different small letters indicate statistically significant differences between different treatments according to Duncan, s test (P < 0.05)

Category	Compounds	0	2 g/l	4 g/l	8 g/l	10 g/l	RT			
		µg/ml					min			
Phenolic	Rosmarinic acid	$0.45 \pm 0.02^{e}$	0.63±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	1.24±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.43±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.85±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	11.9			
	Caffeic acid	$1.38 \pm 0.8^{d}$	$2.17\pm0.3^{cd}$	$5.41 \pm 0.35^{a}$	$4.04 \pm 0.67^{b}$	$2.43 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$	6.09			
	Syringic acid	$0.27 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$0.18 \pm 0.01^{cd}$	$0.34 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$0.22 \pm 0.08^{bc}$	$0.11 \pm 0.02^{d}$	6.49			
	Coumaric acid	$0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$0.23\pm0.06^{a}$	$0.11 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.19 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$0.21 \pm 0.03^{a}$	8.90			
	Vanillin	$0.23 \pm 0.05^{d}$	$0.49 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.75 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.97 \pm 0.07^{a}$	$0.74 \pm 0.02^{b}$	9.76			
	Ferulic acid	$0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$	ND	$0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$0.09 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$0.10 \pm 0.01^{a}$	10.0			
	Chlorogenic acid	$6.14 \pm 0.34^{\circ}$	$13.76 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$15.59 \pm 1.8^{b}$	$21.39 \pm 2.3^{a}$	15.7±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	4.15			
	Cinnamic acid	$0.12 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc}$	$0.18 \pm 0.05^{abc}$	$0.21 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$0.19 \pm 0.04^{ab}$	19.3			
Flavonoids	Catechin	$0.67 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$1.13\pm0.8^{ab}$	$3.05 \pm 1.9^{a}$	$3.12 \pm 1.5^{a}$	$1.28\pm0.9^{ab}$	4.62			
	Rutin	$0.62\pm0.2^{ab}$	$0.68\pm0.1^{ab}$	$0.70 \pm 0.14^{ab}$	$0.79 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$0.52 \pm 0.08^{b}$	6.8			
	Naringenin	$0.13 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$0.33 \pm 0.06^{b}$	$1.59 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$1.89 \pm 0.9^{a}$	$2.43 \pm 0.7^{a}$	10.29			
	Quercetin	$0.57 \pm 0.05^{d}$	$0.33\pm0.04^{c}$	$0.56 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.88 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$0.76 \pm 0.08^{b}$	17.12			
	Kaempferol	$1.62 \pm 0.6^{a}$	ND	$0.36 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$0.97 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$1.15 \pm 0.2^{ab}$	20.3			
	Daidzein	$0.13 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.11\pm0.04^{\text{b}}$	$0.24 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$0.09 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$0.21 \pm 0.08^{a}$	16.07			
		$20.18\pm1.1^d$	$19.07 \pm 0.6^{c}$	$30.19 \pm 2.4^{b}$	$36.28 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$26.68 \pm 2.14^{b}$				
	Category Phenolic Flavonoids	CategoryCompoundsPhenolicRosmarinic acid Caffeic acid Syringic acid Coumaric acid Vanillin Ferulic acid Chlorogenic acid Cinnamic acidFlavonoidsCatechin Rutin 	Category         Compounds         0           Phenolic         Rosmarinic acid         0.45 ± 0.02 <sup>e</sup> Caffeic acid         1.38 ± 0.8 <sup>d</sup> Syringic acid         0.27 ± 0.01 <sup>ab</sup> Coumaric acid         0.07 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup> Vanillin         0.23 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup> Ferulic acid         0.07 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup> Chlorogenic acid         0.12 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup> Chlorogenic acid         0.12 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup> Chlorogenic acid         0.67 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup> Rutin         0.62 ± 0.2 <sup>ab</sup> Naringenin         0.13 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup> Quercetin         0.57 ± 0.05 <sup>d</sup> Kaempferol         1.62 ± 0.6 <sup>a</sup> Daidzein         0.13 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Category} & \mbox{Compounds} & \mbox{0} & \mbox{2 g/l} \\ \hline \mbox{$\mu$g/ml$} \\ \hline \mbox{Phenolic} & \mbox{Rosmarinic acid} & 0.45 \pm 0.02^{e} & 0.63 \pm 0.01^{d} \\ \mbox{Caffeic acid} & 1.38 \pm 0.8^{d} & 2.17 \pm 0.3^{cd} \\ \mbox{Syringic acid} & 0.27 \pm 0.01^{ab} & 0.18 \pm 0.01^{cd} \\ \mbox{Coumaric acid} & 0.07 \pm 0.01^{b} & 0.23 \pm 0.06^{a} \\ \mbox{Vanillin} & 0.23 \pm 0.05^{d} & 0.49 \pm 0.01^{c} \\ \mbox{Ferulic acid} & 0.07 \pm 0.01^{b} & ND \\ \mbox{Chlorogenic acid} & 0.12 \pm 0.01^{c} & 0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc} \\ \mbox{Cinnamic acid} & 0.12 \pm 0.01^{c} & 0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc} \\ \mbox{Cinnamic acid} & 0.67 \pm 0.1^{b} & 1.13 \pm 0.8^{ab} \\ \mbox{Rutin} & 0.62 \pm 0.2^{ab} & 0.68 \pm 0.1^{ab} \\ \mbox{Naringenin} & 0.13 \pm 0.05^{b} & 0.33 \pm 0.06^{c} \\ \mbox{Quercetin} & 0.57 \pm 0.05^{d} & 0.33 \pm 0.04^{c} \\ \mbox{Kaempferol} & 1.62 \pm 0.6^{a} & ND \\ \mbox{Daidzein} & 0.13 \pm 0.03^{b} & 0.11 \pm 0.04^{b} \\ \mbox{20.18 \pm 1.1^{d}} & 19.07 \pm 0.6^{c} \\ \end{array}$	Category         Compounds         0         2 g/l         4 g/l           Phenolic         Rosmarinic acid $0.45 \pm 0.02^{e}$ $0.63 \pm 0.01^{d}$ $1.24 \pm 0.02^{b}$ Caffeic acid $1.38 \pm 0.8^{d}$ $2.17 \pm 0.3^{cd}$ $5.41 \pm 0.35^{a}$ Syringic acid $0.27 \pm 0.01^{ab}$ $0.18 \pm 0.01^{cd}$ $0.34 \pm 0.03^{a}$ Coumaric acid $0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$ $0.23 \pm 0.06^{a}$ $0.11 \pm 0.03^{b}$ Vanillin $0.23 \pm 0.05^{d}$ $0.49 \pm 0.01^{c}$ $0.75 \pm 0.03^{b}$ Ferulic acid $0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$ ND $0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$ Chlorogenic acid $6.14 \pm 0.34^{c}$ $13.76 \pm 0.5^{b}$ $15.59 \pm 1.8^{b}$ Cinnamic acid $0.12 \pm 0.01^{c}$ $0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc}$ $0.18 \pm 0.05^{abc}$ Flavonoids         Catechin $0.67 \pm 0.1^{b}$ $1.13 \pm 0.8^{ab}$ $3.05 \pm 1.9^{a}$ Rutin $0.62 \pm 0.2^{ab}$ $0.68 \pm 0.1^{ab}$ $0.70 \pm 0.14^{ab}$ $Naringenin$ Naringenin $0.13 \pm 0.05^{b}$ $0.33 \pm 0.04^{c}$ $0.56 \pm 0.01^{c}$ Quercetin $0.57 \pm 0.05^{d}$ $0.33 \pm 0.04^{c}$ $0.56 \pm 0.01^{c}$ </td <td>CategoryCompounds02 g/l4 g/l8 g/lPhenolicRosmarinic acid<math>0.45 \pm 0.02^{e}</math><math>0.63 \pm 0.01^{d}</math><math>1.24 \pm 0.02^{b}</math><math>1.43 \pm 0.04^{a}</math>Caffeic acid<math>1.38 \pm 0.8^{d}</math><math>2.17 \pm 0.3^{cd}</math><math>5.41 \pm 0.35^{a}</math><math>4.04 \pm 0.67^{b}</math>Syringic acid<math>0.27 \pm 0.01^{ab}</math><math>0.18 \pm 0.01^{cd}</math><math>0.34 \pm 0.03^{a}</math><math>0.22 \pm 0.08^{bc}</math>Coumaric acid<math>0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}</math><math>0.23 \pm 0.06^{a}</math><math>0.11 \pm 0.03^{b}</math><math>0.19 \pm 0.04^{a}</math>Vanillin<math>0.23 \pm 0.05^{d}</math><math>0.49 \pm 0.01^{c}</math><math>0.75 \pm 0.03^{b}</math><math>0.97 \pm 0.07^{a}</math>Ferulic acid<math>0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}</math>ND<math>0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}</math><math>0.09 \pm 0.02^{a}</math>Chlorogenic acid<math>6.14 \pm 0.34^{c}</math><math>13.76 \pm 0.5^{b}</math><math>15.59 \pm 1.8^{b}</math><math>21.39 \pm 2.3^{a}</math>Cinnamic acid<math>0.12 \pm 0.01^{c}</math><math>0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc}</math><math>0.18 \pm 0.05^{abc}</math><math>0.21 \pm 0.02^{a}</math>Chlorogenic acid<math>6.14 \pm 0.34^{c}</math><math>13.76 \pm 0.5^{b}</math><math>15.59 \pm 1.8^{b}</math><math>21.39 \pm 2.3^{a}</math>Cinnamic acid<math>0.12 \pm 0.01^{c}</math><math>0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc}</math><math>0.18 \pm 0.05^{abc}</math><math>0.21 \pm 0.02^{a}</math>Gatechin<math>0.67 \pm 0.1^{b}</math><math>1.13 \pm 0.8^{ab}</math><math>3.05 \pm 1.9^{a}</math><math>3.12 \pm 1.5^{a}</math>Rutin<math>0.62 \pm 0.2^{ab}</math><math>0.68 \pm 0.1^{ab}</math><math>0.70 \pm 0.04^{a}</math><math>0.79 \pm 0.08^{a}</math>Naringenin<math>0.13 \pm 0.05^{b}</math><math>0.33 \pm 0.04^{c}</math><math>0.56 \pm 0.01^{c}</math><math>0.88 \pm 0.03^{a}</math>Kaempferol<math>1.62 \pm 0.6^{a}</math>ND<math>0.36 \pm 0.03^{c}</math><math>0.97 \pm 0.05^{b}</math>Daidzein<math>0.13 \pm 0.03^{b}</math><math>0.11 \pm 0.04^{b}</math></td> <td>Category         Compounds         0         2 g/l         4 g/l         8 g/l         10 g/l           Phenolic         Rosmarinic acid         0.45±0.02<sup>e</sup>         0.63±0.01<sup>d</sup>         1.24±0.02<sup>b</sup>         1.43±0.04<sup>a</sup>         0.85±0.03<sup>c</sup>           Caffeic acid         1.38±0.8<sup>d</sup>         2.17±0.3<sup>cd</sup>         5.41±0.35<sup>a</sup>         4.04±0.67<sup>b</sup>         2.43±0.25<sup>c</sup>           Syringic acid         0.27±0.01<sup>ab</sup>         0.18±0.01<sup>cd</sup>         0.34±0.03<sup>a</sup>         0.22±0.08<sup>bc</sup>         0.11±0.02<sup>d</sup>           Coumaric acid         0.07±0.01<sup>b</sup>         0.23±0.06<sup>a</sup>         0.11±0.03<sup>b</sup>         0.97±0.07<sup>a</sup>         0.74±0.02<sup>b</sup>           Vanillin         0.23±0.05<sup>d</sup>         0.49±0.01<sup>c</sup>         0.75±0.03<sup>b</sup>         0.97±0.07<sup>a</sup>         0.74±0.02<sup>b</sup>           Ferulic acid         0.07±0.01<sup>b</sup>         ND         0.07±0.01<sup>b</sup>         0.99±0.02<sup>a</sup>         0.10±0.01<sup>a</sup>           Chlorogenic acid         6.14±0.34<sup>c</sup>         13.76±0.5<sup>b</sup>         15.59±1.8<sup>b</sup>         21.39±2.3<sup>a</sup>         15.7±1.5<sup>b</sup>           Cinnamic acid         0.12±0.01<sup>c</sup>         0.14±0.04<sup>bc</sup>         0.18±0.05<sup>abc</sup>         0.21±0.02<sup>a</sup>         0.19±0.04<sup>ab</sup>           Flavonoids         Catechin         0.67±0.1<sup>b</sup>         1.13±0.8<sup>ab</sup>         3.05±1.9<sup>a</sup>         3.12±1.5<sup>a</sup>         1.28±0.9<sup>ab</sup></td>	CategoryCompounds02 g/l4 g/l8 g/lPhenolicRosmarinic acid $0.45 \pm 0.02^{e}$ $0.63 \pm 0.01^{d}$ $1.24 \pm 0.02^{b}$ $1.43 \pm 0.04^{a}$ Caffeic acid $1.38 \pm 0.8^{d}$ $2.17 \pm 0.3^{cd}$ $5.41 \pm 0.35^{a}$ $4.04 \pm 0.67^{b}$ Syringic acid $0.27 \pm 0.01^{ab}$ $0.18 \pm 0.01^{cd}$ $0.34 \pm 0.03^{a}$ $0.22 \pm 0.08^{bc}$ Coumaric acid $0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$ $0.23 \pm 0.06^{a}$ $0.11 \pm 0.03^{b}$ $0.19 \pm 0.04^{a}$ Vanillin $0.23 \pm 0.05^{d}$ $0.49 \pm 0.01^{c}$ $0.75 \pm 0.03^{b}$ $0.97 \pm 0.07^{a}$ Ferulic acid $0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$ ND $0.07 \pm 0.01^{b}$ $0.09 \pm 0.02^{a}$ Chlorogenic acid $6.14 \pm 0.34^{c}$ $13.76 \pm 0.5^{b}$ $15.59 \pm 1.8^{b}$ $21.39 \pm 2.3^{a}$ Cinnamic acid $0.12 \pm 0.01^{c}$ $0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc}$ $0.18 \pm 0.05^{abc}$ $0.21 \pm 0.02^{a}$ Chlorogenic acid $6.14 \pm 0.34^{c}$ $13.76 \pm 0.5^{b}$ $15.59 \pm 1.8^{b}$ $21.39 \pm 2.3^{a}$ Cinnamic acid $0.12 \pm 0.01^{c}$ $0.14 \pm 0.04^{bc}$ $0.18 \pm 0.05^{abc}$ $0.21 \pm 0.02^{a}$ Gatechin $0.67 \pm 0.1^{b}$ $1.13 \pm 0.8^{ab}$ $3.05 \pm 1.9^{a}$ $3.12 \pm 1.5^{a}$ Rutin $0.62 \pm 0.2^{ab}$ $0.68 \pm 0.1^{ab}$ $0.70 \pm 0.04^{a}$ $0.79 \pm 0.08^{a}$ Naringenin $0.13 \pm 0.05^{b}$ $0.33 \pm 0.04^{c}$ $0.56 \pm 0.01^{c}$ $0.88 \pm 0.03^{a}$ Kaempferol $1.62 \pm 0.6^{a}$ ND $0.36 \pm 0.03^{c}$ $0.97 \pm 0.05^{b}$ Daidzein $0.13 \pm 0.03^{b}$ $0.11 \pm 0.04^{b}$	Category         Compounds         0         2 g/l         4 g/l         8 g/l         10 g/l           Phenolic         Rosmarinic acid         0.45±0.02 <sup>e</sup> 0.63±0.01 <sup>d</sup> 1.24±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 1.43±0.04 <sup>a</sup> 0.85±0.03 <sup>c</sup> Caffeic acid         1.38±0.8 <sup>d</sup> 2.17±0.3 <sup>cd</sup> 5.41±0.35 <sup>a</sup> 4.04±0.67 <sup>b</sup> 2.43±0.25 <sup>c</sup> Syringic acid         0.27±0.01 <sup>ab</sup> 0.18±0.01 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34±0.03 <sup>a</sup> 0.22±0.08 <sup>bc</sup> 0.11±0.02 <sup>d</sup> Coumaric acid         0.07±0.01 <sup>b</sup> 0.23±0.06 <sup>a</sup> 0.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup> 0.97±0.07 <sup>a</sup> 0.74±0.02 <sup>b</sup> Vanillin         0.23±0.05 <sup>d</sup> 0.49±0.01 <sup>c</sup> 0.75±0.03 <sup>b</sup> 0.97±0.07 <sup>a</sup> 0.74±0.02 <sup>b</sup> Ferulic acid         0.07±0.01 <sup>b</sup> ND         0.07±0.01 <sup>b</sup> 0.99±0.02 <sup>a</sup> 0.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup> Chlorogenic acid         6.14±0.34 <sup>c</sup> 13.76±0.5 <sup>b</sup> 15.59±1.8 <sup>b</sup> 21.39±2.3 <sup>a</sup> 15.7±1.5 <sup>b</sup> Cinnamic acid         0.12±0.01 <sup>c</sup> 0.14±0.04 <sup>bc</sup> 0.18±0.05 <sup>abc</sup> 0.21±0.02 <sup>a</sup> 0.19±0.04 <sup>ab</sup> Flavonoids         Catechin         0.67±0.1 <sup>b</sup> 1.13±0.8 <sup>ab</sup> 3.05±1.9 <sup>a</sup> 3.12±1.5 <sup>a</sup> 1.28±0.9 <sup>ab</sup>			

**Table 2** Quantitative determination of phenolic acids and flavonoid compounds of *L. arabicus* L. callus treated with DPS (0, 2, 4, 8, 10 g/l) by using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

The data are means ± SE. Different small letters indicate statistically significant differences between different treatments according to Duncan's test (P<0.05)

#### Pearson correlation coefficient

Pearson's simple correlation was applied to discern the relationships between the physiological and molecular attributes recorded in different treatments with DPS application (Fig. 8). The results indicated that the phenolic and flavonoid contents and total antioxidant capacity were strongly correlated with each other and positively correlated with the increased expression levels of the *PAL, CHS, CHI* and *FLS* genes. In addition, the ascorbic acid content was positively correlated with flavonoid, *CHS, CHI and FLS* expression levels. Furthermore, dry weight was significantly correlated with phenolic, flavonoid, TAC and ASA contents. On the other hand, the MDA content was negatively correlated with fresh and dry callus biomasses, secondary metabolites (phenolics and flavonoids) and TAC.

### Principal component analysis

The principal component analysis results interpreted DPS treatments with physiological attributes and relative expressions of studied genes (Fig. 9). The first four components (PCs) accounted for 100% of the total variation, with the first three PCs with eigenvalues  $\geq$  1 explaining 93.70% of the total variation. The first PC accounted for 70.27% of the total variation in the data that was significantly correlated with flavonoids, *CHS*, ASA, dry weight, *DXR*, TAC, *PAL*, *CHI*, *FLS*, phenols and fresh weight, in descending order. PC2 explained 23.43% of the total variation and Was mainly influenced mainly by MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. In the PCA biplot of the first two PCs, which accounted for 70.27% of the overall variance.

As represented in Fig. 10, PCA was performed to reveal the interrelationships of phenolic and flavonoid fractions in the control and different DPS sets and to confirm



Fig. 8 Pearson correlation of physiological parameters and gene expressions level for secondary metabolites pathway measured at control and different DPS concentrations of *L. arabicus* L callus (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l)



Biplot (axes PC1 and PC2: 93.70 %) after Varimax rotation

Fig. 9 Principal component analysis of physiological parameters and gene expression levels for secondary metabolites pathway measured at the control and different DPS concentrations of *L. arabicus* L callus (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l DPS)



Fig. 10 Principal component analysis of phenolic and flavonoids compounds measured at control and different DPS concentrations of *L. arabicus* L callus (2, 4, 8 and 10 g/l)

the variations among the aforementioned fractions. The results showed that the first four components (PCs) with eigenvalues  $\geq 1$  accounted for 100% of the total variation.

The first PC accounted for 48.41% of the total variation in the data and was significantly correlated with rosmarinic acid, vanillin, catechin, chlorogenic acid, cinnamic acid, caffeic acid and naringenin in descending order. PC2 explained 21.1% of the total variation and was influenced mainly by kaempferol, ferulic acid and quercetin. PC3 accounted for 18% of the total variation and was strongly correlated with syringic acid and coumaric acid. PC4 accounted for 10.9% of the total variation and was correlated mainly with daidzein and rutin. PC4 accounted for only 4.64% of the total variation and had no noteworthy correlation with any of the measured traits. The PCA biplot of the first two PCs accounted for 44.11% of the overall variance.

## Discussion

Among natural elicitors, plant extracts are good sources of several phytochemicals that can elicit and boost plant growth and secondary mechanisms. The DPS was phytochemically characterized to screen its components and interpret its promoting impact. The eliciting trait of DPS could be attributed to its high macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) and antioxidants contents. Likewise, previous results reported a rich elemental composition of date seed powder attaining high amounts of Ca, K and Mg [30]. Furthermore, high levels of antioxidant compounds including phenolics, flavonoids, carotenoids and sterols were previously demonstrated in DPS [17, 30]. Elicitation strategies usually rely on triggering the expression of genes involved in the plant stress response via the activation of specific receptors in the plasma membrane [31].

The results of GC analysis revealed the presence of a wide range of compounds that might be responsible for the high antioxidant potential of the DPS (Table 1, Fig. S1). For instance, HMF is the most abundant compound with a maximum peak area. HMF was reported to have crucial biological roles as an antioxidant, combating oxidative injury [32–34]. Like other fruits and vegetables, dates are known to produce HMF and other furan derivatives, probably from sugar dehydration [35]. The second major constituent was DDMP, which was identified as a strong antioxidant with free radical scavenging potential and wide availability in various plants [36]. Hence, date seeds are regarded as crucial sources of antioxidants and many phenolic compounds that are found in 65 to 78% of seeds [37].

Typically, adding elicitors to in vitro cultures improved cell growth and activated the production of secondary metabolites by switching primary metabolism as a defense response [38]. In this context, the present research investigated the ability of DPS powder to act as a natural elicitor. These findings are directly associated with the rich mineral composition of DPS (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) and elevated antioxidants that could boost callus metabolic processes and biomass. It was reported that the level of minerals, such as Ca, K, Si, and Mg, in date seed powder played a considerable role in the optimization of callus growth [30]. Furthermore, the addition of organic nitrogenous compounds (such as D-alanine N-propargyloxycarbonyl-isohexyl ester) could serve as a primary and rapid source for improved growth and surpasses the utilization of inorganic nitrogen sources [39]. Similarly, Akhtar et al. [40] reported that organic N sources and antioxidants reduced the oxidative browning of shoots and improved callus induction in *Rosa centifolia* during in vitro propagation. Thus, the distinct representation of bioactive components in DPS (Table 1) could be linked directly to the increase in callus biomass, particularly after 8 g/l treatment.

The measured total phenolic and flavonoid contents were significantly enhanced by DPS supplementation, where the favorable dose was 8 g/l. Phenolics play distinct roles in cell division, regulation of hormonal actions and nutrient mineralization [7]. Similarly, various elicitors, such as yeast pectin and salicylic acid, were utilized to increase total phenol and flavonoid contents and scale up the synthesis of nutraceutical compounds [41, 42]. The enhanced synthesis of phenolic compounds in callus cultures might be correlated with the triggered mitochondrial activity [43].

As a direct consequence of DPS addition in culture media, a distinct elevation in TAC and ASA contents was observed compared to the non-treated control. The present findings indicated that high TAC in the culture media was associated with increased cell proliferation in plant tissue cultures, as proven by the strong Pearson correlation (p < 0.05) (Fig. 8). Antioxidants can protect cells from oxidative stress and maintain cell viability, leading to enhanced cell division and tissue growth [44]. The increased TAC is strongly related to the antioxidant activity of the recognized HMF in DPS characterization (Table 1), suggesting the enhancement of medicinal and biological properties of the produced *L. arabicus* L callus treated with DPS.

The detected levels of MDA and  $H_2O_2$  were considered signs of oxidative stress after the application of a higher DPS dose (10 g/l) in *L. arabicus* callus, while lower DPS doses accumulated normal levels relative to the control. Currently, MDA is a by-product of lipid peroxidation and refers to the stress intensity in plants [45]. The high dose of DPS offers increased availability of its bioactive components, such as furan derivatives, which might cause stressful conditions and high production of reactive oxygen species. However, the increase in stress markers could be linked to the enhanced level of antioxidants, including ASA, which might slightly relieve ROS and ameliorate toxicity. A principal proof was obtained by the negative Pearson correlation (p < 0.05) between the MDA contents and callus fresh and dry biomasses, secondary metabolites (phenolics and flavonoids) and TAC. Thus, the positive eliciting effect of DPS powder is a dose dependent application. In this context, optimization of the elicitor concentration is needed, as high levels can induce a hypersensitive response, leading to cell death [41].

The changes in the gene expression level of PAL, the prime enzyme in the phenylpropanoid pathway, significantly increased, with a maximum increase occurring at 8 g/l DPS compared to the control. PAL activation could be an indicator of the promotion of secondary metabolism as a direct shift from primary metabolism [46]. Thus, the aforementioned increase in phenolic and flavonoid levels by DPS application demonstrated the induction of phenylpropanoid pathways, which was evident by the overexpression of PAL. These findings were supported by the strong Pearson correlation (p < 0.05) shown in Fig. 8. To confirm the improvement in flavonoid biosynthesis after DPS treatment, the relative expression of several genes encoding enzymes mainly involoved in flavonoid synthesis was evaluated. The present results (Fig. 7) showed that the expression levels of the CHS, CHI and FLS were significantly upregulated in DPS-treated calli compared to the control, with the highest fold change in calli exposed to 8 g/l DPS. Likewise, chitosan-elicited Isatis tinctoria L. hairy root cultures attained elevated expression levels of PAL, CHS and CHI genes, which directly resulted in an increase in the total flavonoid content [47].

Moreover, our results revealed significant enhancements in *DXR* expression levels in calli treated with DPS in comparison to the control, with the highest fold change at 8 g/l DPS. The increased transcript levels of the *DXR* gene could account for the increased level of its functional protein and enzyme activity in *L. arabicus* callus. *DXR* is defined as an eliciting-responsive gene that widely contributes to normal plant growth and development boosting stress tolerance [48]. Therefore, this distinct upregulation of the *DXR* gene by DPS as an eliciting treatment could present evidence for activated terpenoid biosynthesis.

The phenolic acid and flavonoid contents of the treated calli were quantitatively analyzed using HPLC. The cinnamic acid derivatives, including chlorogenic and caffeic acid, were enhanced after DPS treatments with the greatest increase percentages compared to the control. They have remarkable biological properties, such as antioxidant, antibacterial, anticancer and anti-inflammatory properties [49, 50]. Chlorogenic and caffeic acids are produced by the shikimic acid pathway through aerobic respiration [51]. Their elevated biosynthesis and accumulation can be attributed to the elicited *PAL* gene expression. In this regard, the boosted activity of PAL was previously reported to be the main target of chlorogenic acid overproduction in *Eucommia ulmoides* [52]. Furthermore, rosmarinic acid level was highly increased as a key advantage of DPS treatment due to its known antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antimutagenic effects in both the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries [53]. In addition, vanillin and ferulic acid levels were significantly induced in 8 g/l DPS-treated calli. Vanillin is known to have a principal therapeutic potential. The vanillin is known to be synthesized by ferulic acid decarboxylation; where enhanced ferulic acid level can be directed for vanillin production [54]. Therefore, these improvements in phenolic acid production are considerable proof of the potent eliciting ability of DPS.

The flavonoid components, including catechin, quercetin, rutin and naringenin, were highly increased by DPS application compared to the control. Various studies have revealed the vital role of catechin, quercetin and rutin as therapeutic agents that exhibit antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anticarcinogenic activities [55]. The current DPS application indicated that the increased expression of CHS, CHI and FLS directly contributed to the upregulation of flavanols (catechin, quercetin and rutin) and flavanones (naringenin). Similarly, the overproduction of quercetin and rutin in Delonix elata callus was recorded by nanoparticles elicitation due to the induction of physiological and biochemical pathways [56]. However, the addition of the DPS-recommended dose (8 g/l) significantly decreased the kaempferol content relative to the control. The present findings may point to its potent utilization for the synthesis of key metabolites or a direct switch in their biosynthetic routes. It has been reported that kaempferol can serve as a precursor for integration into the production of the vital respiratory cofactor ubiquinone (coenzyme Q), benefiting metabolic processes and growth [57]. Thus, the highest secondary metabolites levels with potent nutraceutical values were successfully achieved by culture feeding with costless DPS powder in L. arabicus.

### Conclusion

The present study provides an unprecedented application of date palm seeds as a sustainable and costless plant elicitor. The phytochemical characterization of DPS revealed distinct phytocomponents, accounting for its vital eliciting role. The DPS treatment induced growth and phenolic and flavonoid content in *L. arabicus* calli, leading to increased antioxidant activity. Interestingly, DPS promoted the overexpression of eliciting-responsive genes (*PAL, CHS, CHI, FLS* and *DXR*) which are involved in the phenylpropanoid, flavonoid and terpenoid synthesis pathways. Taken together, in vitro feeding with DPS could be utilized as a promising elicitor and nutrient source, targeting the production of phenolics and flavonoids in *L. arabicus* culture. Therefore, further innovative approaches dealing with DPS need to be applied to define its superior biological and pharmacological characteristics.

#### Abbreviations

- ASA Ascorbic acid
- DPS Date palm seeds
- PAL Phenylalanine ammonia lyase
- CHS Chalcone synthase
- CHI Chalcone isomerase ELS Elayonol synthase
- FLS Flavonol synthase
- DXR Deoxyxylulose phosphate reductoisomerase
- TAC Total antioxidant capacity
- H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Hydrogen peroxide
- MDA Malondialdehyde

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12870-024-04919-7.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. GC-MS chromatogram of the chemical constituents of date palm seeds (DPS). Fig. S2. HPLC chromatograms of phenolic and flavonoid compounds identified in A: control and DPS treated *L. arabicus* callus (B: 2 g/l, C: 4 g/l, D: 8 g/l and E: 10 g/l). Fig. S3. HPLC chromtogram for the standard polyphenols mixture. **Table S1.** Sequences of specific primers used in qRT-PCR. **Table S2.** Quantitative nutritional contents of DPS powder.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2023R318), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The authors would also like to acknowledge the support provided by Tanta University in Egypt.

#### Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, D.E.E. and A.M.K; methodology, D.E.E., A.M.K. and G.A.R; software, D.E.E; validation, D.E.E. and A.M.K; formal analysis, D.E.E; investigation and visualization, D.E.E. and A.M.K; writing—original draft preparation, D.E.E., A.M.K and G.A.R; writing—review and editing, D.E.E., A.M.K., F.A.S. and G.A.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Funding

This research was funded by Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2023R318), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

#### Availability of data and materials

Data is provided within the manuscript or supplementary information files.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta 31527, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, College of Science, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia. <sup>3</sup>Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Al Azhar University (Girls Branch), Cairo, Egypt. Received: 23 November 2023 Accepted: 17 March 2024 Published online: 27 March 2024

#### References

- 1. Youssef AMM, EL-Swaify ZASE-S, Maaty DA, Youssef MM. Phytochemistry and antiviral properties of two lotus species growing in Egypt. Vitae. 2021;28:348069.
- Girardi FA, Tonial F, Chini SO, Sobottka AM, Scheffer-Basso SM, Bertol CD. Phytochemical profile and antimicrobial properties of Lotus spp. (Fabaceae). An Acad Bras Cienc. 2014;86:1295–302.
- Khan T, Khan MA, Mashwani Z-R, Ullah N, Nadhman A. Therapeutic potential of medicinal plants against COVID-19: the role of antiviral medicinal metabolites. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol. 2021;31:101890.
- Movahedi A, Almasi Zadeh Yaghuti A, Wei H, Rutland P, Sun W, Mousavi M, et al. Plant secondary metabolites with an overview of populus. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:6890.
- Patel M, Pandey S, Kumar M, Haque M, Pal S, Yadav N. Plants metabolome study: emerging tools and techniques. Plants. 2021;10:2409.
- Erb M, Kliebenstein DJ. Plant secondary metabolites as defenses, regulators, and primary metabolites: the blurred functional trichotomy. Plant Physiol. 2020;184:39–52.
- Sharma A, Shahzad B, Rehman A, Bhardwaj R, Landi M, Zheng B. Response of phenylpropanoid pathway and the role of polyphenols in plants under abiotic stress. Molecules. 2019;24:1–22.
- Ferreira SS, Antunes MS. Re-engineering plant phenylpropanoid metabolism with the aid of synthetic biosensors. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:701385.
- 9. Liu W, Feng Y, Yu S, Fan Z, Li X, Li J, et al. The flavonoid biosynthesis network in plants. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:12824.
- Biała W, Jasiński M. The phenylpropanoid case it is transport that matters. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:1–8.
- Patel MK, Kumar M, Li W, Luo Y, Burritt DJ, Alkan N, et al. Enhancing salt tolerance of plants: from metabolic reprogramming to exogenous chemical treatments and molecular approaches. Cells. 2020;9:1–26.
- 12. Pichersky E, Raguso RA. Why do plants produce so many terpenoid compounds? New Phytol. 2018;220:692–702.
- Gao W, Wang X, Purente N, Muhammad L, Zhou Y, He M. A 1-deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase gene probably involved in the synthesis of terpenoids in Chrysanthemum indicum var. aromaticum. Can. J Plant Sci. 2018;98:1254–64.
- Wölwer-Rieck U, May B, Lankes C, Wüst M. Methylerythritol and Mevalonate pathway contributions to biosynthesis of Mono-, Sesqui-, and Diterpenes in glandular trichomes and leaves of stevia rebaudiana bertoni. J Agric Food Chem. 2014;62:2428–35.
- Zhang L, Lin S, Hu Y, Zheng T, Yang X, et al. Cloning of DXR gene and its expression analysis during fruit ripening in loquat. J Fruit Sci. 2013;30:563–6.
- Al-Najar B, Bououdina M, Judith Vijaya J, Nair RR, Tatarchuk T. Removal of toxins from the environment using date palm seeds. In: Naushad M, Lichtfouse E, editors. Sustainable agriculture reviews 34. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019. p. 207–45.
- Bentrad N, Gaceb-Terrak R. Evaluation of the level of biomolecules isolated from date palm seeds (Phoenix dactylifera L) and in vitro antioxidant property. Biomedicine. 2020;10:23–9.
- Kumar Patel M, Fanyuk M, Feyngenberg O, Maurer D, Sela N, Ovadia R, et al. Phenylalanine induces mango fruit resistance against chilling injuries during storage at suboptimal temperature. Food Chem. 2023;405(Pt B):134909.
- 19. Allen SE, Grimshaw HM, Parkinson JA, Quarmby C, et al. Chemical analysis of ecological materials. London: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1974.
- Allen S, Grimshaw HM, Parkinson JA, Quarmby C. Chemical analysis of ecological materials. London: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1989.
- 21. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant. 1962;15:473–97.
- Jindal KK, Singh RN. Phenolic content in male and female Carica papaya: a possible physiological marker for sex identification of vegetative seedlings. Physiol Plant. 1975;33:104–7.
- Chang C-C, Yang M-H, Wen H-M, Chern J-C. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. J Food Drug Anal. 2002;10:178–82.

- Ahmed D, Baig H, Zara S. Seasonal variation of phenolics, flavonoids, antioxidant and lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity of methanolic extract of Melilotus indicus and its sub-fractions in different solvents. Int J Phytomedicine. 2012;4:326.
- 25. Oser BL. Hawk's Physiological Chemistry. 14th ed. New York: McGraw-Hills; 1979.
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A. Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants: protective role of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci. 2000;151:59–66.
- Heath RL, Packer L. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts: I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1968;125:189–98.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2–ΔΔCT method. Methods. 2001;25:402–8.
- Oliva M, Ovadia R, Perl A, Bar E, Lewinsohn E, Galili G, et al. Enhanced formation of aromatic amino acids increases fragrance without affecting flower longevity or pigmentation in Petunia x hybrida. Plant Biotechnol J. 2015;13:125–36.
- Warnasih S, Salam S, Hasanah U, Ambarsari L, Sugita P. Total phenolic, flavonoid content and metabolite profiling of methanol extract of date (Phoenix dactylifera) seeds by LC-QTOF-MS. In: AIP Conference Proceedings. 2020. p. 030029.
- Makowski W, Tokarz KM, Tokarz B, Banasiuk R, Witek K, Królicka A. Elicitation-based method for increasing the production of antioxidant and bactericidal phenolic compounds in Dionaea muscipula. J Ellis tissue Molecules. 2020;25:1–19.
- Zhao L, Chen J, Su J, Li L, Hu S, Li B, et al. In vitro antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural. J Agric Food Chem. 2013;61:10604–11.
- Li W, Qu XN, Han Y, Zheng SW, Wang J, Wang YP. Ameliorative effects of 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furfural (5-HMF) from Schisandra chinensis on alcoholic liver oxidative injury in mice. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;16:2446–57.
- 34. Hsieh H, Lin J, Chen K, Cheng K, Hsieh C. Thermal treatment enhances the a-glucosidase inhibitory activity of bitter melon (Momordica charantia) by increasing the free form of phenolic compounds and the contents of Maillard reaction products. J Food Sci. 2021;86:3109–21.
- 35. Chen PX, Tang Y, Zhang B, Liu R, Marcone MF, Li X, et al. 5-Hydroxymethyl-2-furfural and derivatives formed during acid hydrolysis of conjugated and bound phenolics in plant foods and the effects on phenolic content and antioxidant capacity. J Agric Food Chem. 2014;62:4754–61.
- Chen Z, Liu Q, Zhao Z, Bai B, Sun Z, Cai L, et al. Effect of hydroxyl on antioxidant properties of 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4 H -pyran-4-one to scavenge free radicals. RSC Adv. 2021;11:34456–61.
- 37. Fikry M, Yusof YA, Al-Awaadh AM, Abdul Rahman R, Chin NL, Ghazali HM. Antioxidative and quality properties of full-fat date seeds brew as influenced by the roasting conditions. Antioxidants. 2019;8:226.
- Raghavendra S, Ramesh CK, Kumar V, Moinuddin Khan MH. Elicitors and precursor induced effect on L-Dopa production in suspension cultures of Mucuna pruriens L. Front Life Sci. 2011;5:127–33.
- Haroun SA, Shukry WM, El-Sawy O, et al. Effect of asparagine or glutamine on growth and metabolic changes in Phaseolus vulgaris under in vitro conditions. Biosci Res. 2010;7:1–21.
- Akhtar G, Jaskani MJ, Sajjad Y, Akram A. Effect of antioxidants, amino acids and plant growth regulators on in vitro propagation of rosa centifolia. Iran J Biotechnol. 2016;14:51–5.
- Al-Khayri JM, Naik PM. Elicitor-induced production of biomass and pharmaceutical phenolic compounds in cell suspension culture of date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.). Molecules. 2020;25:4669.
- Kanthaliya B, Joshi A, Arora J, Alqahtani MD, Abdallah EF. Effect of biotic elicitors on the growth, antioxidant activity and metabolites accumulation in in vitro propagated shoots of Pueraria tuberosa. Plants. 2023;12:1–16.
- Ali AMA, El-Nour MEM, Yagi SM. Total phenolic and flavonoid contents and antioxidant activity of ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.) rhizome, callus and callus treated with some elicitors. J Genet Eng Biotechnol. 2018;16:677–82.
- Saad-Allah KM, Ragab GA. Sulfur nanoparticles mediated improvement of salt tolerance in wheat relates to decreasing oxidative stress and regulating metabolic activity. Physiol Mol Biol Plants. 2020;26:2209–23.

- Ragab G, Saad-Allah K. Seed priming with greenly synthesized sulfur nanoparticles enhances antioxidative defense machinery and restricts oxidative injury under manganese stress in helianthus annuus (L.) seedlings. J Plant Growth Regul. 2020;5:1894–902.
- 46. Barros J, Dixon RA. Plant phenylalanine/tyrosine ammonia-lyases. Trends Plant Sci. 2020;25:66–79.
- Jiao J, Gai QY, Wang X, Qin QP, Wang ZY, Liu J, et al. Chitosan elicitation of Isatis tinctoria L. hairy root cultures for enhancing flavonoid productivity and gene expression and related antioxidant activity. Ind Crops Prod. 2018;124:28–35.
- Xu C, Wei H, Movahedi A, Sun W, Ma X, Li D, et al. Evaluation, characterization, expression profiling, and functional analysis of DXS and DXR genes of Populus trichocarpa. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2019;142:94–105.
- Alam M, Ashraf GM, Sheikh K, Khan A, Ali S, Ansari MM, et al. Potential therapeutic implications of caffeic acid in cancer signaling: past, present, and future. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:845871.
- Liang N, Kitts DD. Role of chlorogenic acids in controlling oxidative and inflammatory stress conditions. Nutrients. 2015;8(1):16.
- Wang L, Pan X, Jiang L, Chu Y, Gao S, Jiang X, et al. The biological activity mechanism of chlorogenic acid and its applications in food industry: a review. Front Nutr. 2022;9:1–22.
- 52. Ye J, Han W, Deng P, Jiang Y, Liu M, Li L, et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis to identify candidate genes related to chlorogenic acid biosynthesis in Eucommia ulmoides Oliv. Trees. 2019;33:1373–84.
- Sahraroo A, Babalar M, Mirjalili MH, Fattahi Moghaddam MR, Nejad ES. In-vitro callus induction and rosmarinic acid quantification in callus culture of Satureja khuzistanica Jamzad (Lamiaceae). Iran J Pharm Res IJPR. 2014;13:1447–56.
- Matam P, Parvatam G, Shetty NP. Enhanced production of vanillin flavour metabolites by precursor feeding in cell suspension cultures of Decalepis hamiltonii Wight and Arn., in shake flask culture. 3 Biotech. 2017;7:376.
- 55. Bernatoniene J, Kopustinskiene DM. The role of catechins in cellular responses to oxidative stress. Molecules. 2018;23:1–11.
- 56. Tarroum M, Alfarraj NS, Al-Qurainy F, Al-Hashimi A, Khan S, Nadeem M, et al. Improving the production of secondary metabolites via the application of biogenic zinc oxide nanoparticles in the calli of delonix elata: a potential medicinal plant. Metabolites. 2023;13:905.
- Soubeyrand E, Johnson TS, Latimer S, Block A, Kim J, Colquhoun TA, et al. The peroxidative cleavage of kaempferol contributes to the biosynthesis of the benzenoid moiety of ubiquinone in plants. Plant Cell. 2018;30:2910–21.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.